FP-LMTO investigation of the structural, electronic and magnetic properties of Heusler compounds Ru$_2$CrZ(Ge, Sn, Si)
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Abstract. We report structural and magnetic properties as well as band structures and density of states (DOS) of full Heusler Ru$_2$CrSi, Ru$_2$CrGe and Ru$_2$CrSn. This was performed in the frame work of self-consistent first-principle calculations, using the Full-Potential Linearized Muffin Tin Orbital (FP-LMTO) method based on the Generalized Gradient Approximation (GGA), to investigate the structure and magnetic properties through the calculation of the electronic structure, equilibrium lattice constant and magnetic properties. Our results will show that our three Full-Heusler compounds are antiferromagnets.

1 Introduction

Heusler compounds have attracted renewed interest because they have been expected to be new candidates for future applications. Full Heusler compounds are ternary intermetallics with general formula X$_2$YZ first discovered a century ago by Heusler [1]. These materials crystallize in L2$_1$ structure with space group FmN3m. This structure consists of four interpenetrating FCC (Face Centered Cubic) sublattices with the following Wyckoff coordinates: X(1/4, 1/4, 1/4), (3/4, 3/4, 3/4), Y(0, 0, 0), Z(1/2,1/2,1/2), along with the primitive translation vectors A, B and C: A(0,1/2,1/2), B(1/2,0,1/2), C(1/2,1/2,0). Typical X and Y site elements are transition metal elements and Z may be any one of the large number of s-p elements belonging to the IIIB-VB group. Most of these alloys are ferromagnetic at room temperature, though a large number of Heusler alloys are available as ferromagnetic systems, many alloys show other interesting properties like semiconducting and antiferromagnetic behaviors, for a recent review see for instance the paper by C. Felser et al. [2], Kanomata et al. [3] have grown crystals of the type Ru$_2$MnZ, where Z stands for Si, Ge and Sn. Gotoh et al. [4] have shown that these alloys are antiferromagnets with Néel temperatures near room temperature, and Ishida et al. [5] using first-principles calculations demonstrated that the ground state is antiferromagnetic (AFM). Okada et al. succeeded in synthesizing Ru$_2$CrGe and Ru$_2$CrSn, and found that Ru$_2$CrGe is an antiferromagnet with Néel temperature $T_N = 13$ K and Ru$_2$CrSn shows a spin-glass-like behavior below $T_g = 7$ K [6]. Mizutani et al. [7,8] and Brown et al. [9] have studied the full-Heusler alloys Ru$_2$Z$_2$Fe$_x$Si and Ru$_2$Z$_2$Fe$_x$Ge respectively and investigated the phase transition to ferromagnetic state where Fe are partially or totally substituted for Ru. In the present contribution we review our most recent results on the magnetic behavior as well as the electronic properties of Ru$_2$CrZ (Z= Si, Ge and Sn) obtained from first-principles electronic structure calculations. In section 2, we briefly describe the calculational model and method. The results and discussions are presented in Section 3, and a brief summary is given in Section 4.

2 Method of Calculations

To fulfill the present calculation, we have applied the FP-LMTO method [10,11] as embedded in LmtART MStudio MindLab 7 code developed by Savrasov [11, 12]. The exchange and correlation potential was calculated using GGA Perdew Wang (PW) scheme [13]. In the present study, the different muffin tin radius $R_{MT}$ used are given as follows (in a.u.): Ru$_2$CrSi: Ru(2.477), Cr(2.38), Si(2.38).

Ru$_2$CrGe: Ru(2.509), Cr(2.413), Si(2.413).

Ru$_2$CrGe: Ru(2.535), Cr(2.535), Si(2.535). In these calculations, the FP-LMTO basis set consists of the 4d$^{10}$s$^2$ and 3d$^4$4s$^1$ states for the transition elements Ru and Cr respectively. For the Z atoms, the valence states are 3s$^2$3p$^2$ of Si, 4s$^2$4p$^2$ of Ge or 5s$^2$5p$^2$ of Sn. But the filled states 4d$^{10}$ of Sn and 3d$^{10}$ of Ge may also be considered as valence states, that are the default input in the code since the energy needed to separate semi-core and valence electrons was set to above -2Ry from the vacuum zero, which results in treating of Si, Ge and Sn semi-core electrons as valence electrons. The charge density and potential are expanded in spherical harmonics inside the spheres up to $l_{max} = 6$ and Fourier transformed in the interstitial region. The maximum value of angular momentum
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In the present study, we obtained by minimizing the total energy $E_{\text{tot}}$ with respect to the experimental lattice parameters. The energy convergence criterion was set to $10^{-5}$ Ry. For $k$-space integration over the Brillouin zone, we found that our calculations converge for a $10 \times 10 \times 10$ tetrahedron mesh. The energy cut-off and the number of plane waves used in our calculations are, respectively: (80.70 Ry; 9204) for Ru$_2$CrGe, (75.40 Ry; 9204) for Ru$_2$CrSn and (84.09 Ry; 9204) for Ru$_2$CrSi. In our study the magnetic unit cell being twice that of the unit cell used in crystallography (defined above) if the AFM phase is considered. For this AFM phase, we adopt the so-called FCC-AFM type II order. More precisely, we consider the new unit structure defined by: $X(1/4, 1/4, 1/4), Y(0, 0, 0), Z(1/2, 1/2, 1/2), X(1/4, 1/4, 1/4), Y(1, 1, 1), Z(3/4, 3/4, 3/4)$, $\mathbf{A}'(1/2, 1/2, 1), \mathbf{B}'(1/2, 1, 1/2), \mathbf{C}'(1, 1, 1/2)$.

The $\uparrow$ and $\downarrow$ are set for the magnetic moment orientation along the positive and negative [001] direction, respectively (positive and negative $z$ direction). Hence, the magnetic moments on the atoms are ferromagnetically coupled on the (111) planes and antiferromagnetically coupled in adjacent planes. In Fig. 1, we show this magnetic arrangement only for the case of Cr atoms with similar magnetic arrangement on Ru and Ge atoms. In fact, this arrangement (AFM type II) has been revealed experimentally for Ru$_2$CrGe [9].

The calculated band structures of the AFM state of Ru$_2$CrZ, being quite similar, so only the Ru$_2$CrGe electronic structure is displayed in Fig. 3. Furthermore, our three compounds being antiferromagnets, then the electronic properties of the two spin-channels are similar consequently one spin-channel has been reported in Fig. 3. Our three compounds present a gap along $\Gamma - X$, i.e. in the $(\Delta)$ direction and the Fermi

It is clear that the theoretical lattice constant and the energy differences $\Delta E$ in Ru$_2$CrZ ($Z=\text{Si, Ge and Sn}$) increases with the increasing atomic number of Si→ Ge→ Sn due to the increasing size of atomic radius.

### 3 Results and discussion

#### 3.1 Structural optimization

First, to carry out the lattice constants which give the lowest total energy, we performed structural optimizations on our three Heusler compounds of interest, Ru$_2$CrSi, Ru$_2$CrGe and Ru$_2$CrSn, for the Nonmagnetic (NM), ferromagnetic (FM) and AFM phases. For

The three Heusler compounds, the results of structural optimization are shown in Fig. 2. One can clearly notice that the total energy differences $\Delta E = E_{\text{AFM}} - E_{\text{FM}}$ are negative, thus all our compounds Ru$_2$CrZ are stable in the AFM phase which confirm the experimental results. In order to calculate the ground states properties, we computed the total energies for several lattice constants and fitted them with the empirical Murnaghan equation of state [15] the values of the optimized lattice constants are given in Table 1. We note that our calculated lattice constants for Ru$_2$CrGe and Ru$_2$CrSn are in agreement with the experimental values. To the best of our knowledge, no experimental data have been reported for Ru$_2$CrSi.

It is clear that the theoretical lattice constant and the energy differences $\Delta E$ in Ru$_2$CrZ ($Z=\text{Si, Ge and Sn}$) increases with the increasing atomic number of Si→ Ge→ Sn due to the increasing size of atomic radius.

#### 3.2 Electronic and magnetic properties

The calculated band structures of the AFM state of Ru$_2$CrZ, being quite similar, so only the Ru$_2$CrGe electronic structure is displayed in Fig. 3. Furthermore, our three compounds being antiferromagnets, then the electronic properties of the two spin-channels are similar consequently one spin-channel has been reported in Fig. 3. Our three compounds present a gap along $\Gamma - X$, i.e. in the $(\Delta)$ direction and the Fermi

![Fig. 1. Magnetic moment arrangement on Cr atoms.](image)

![Fig. 2. Structural optimization: $E(a_0)$ being the ground state energy.](image)
Electronic structure of Ru\(_5\) and Cr\(_5\) parameters, we found this has no 
dependent on the Z atom in Ru\(_5\) compounds. In order to understand the influence of Z ele-
tments in Fig 5. The energy of (p) electrons is strongly 
considerably larger gap compared with the Ru com-
energy of these materials is just at the top of the va-
ence band. Calculated energy gap (in eV) are 0.35 
for Ru\(_2\)CrSi, 0.14 for Ru\(_2\)CrGe and 0.02 for Ru\(_2\)CrSn. 
We note that our Heusler Z-atoms have an influence on the energy gap, therefore, the gap decreases as the 
number of valence Z increases. The partial density of states (PDOS) of Ru\(_2\)CrGe as a function of energy is 
shown in Fig. 4, the PDOS of Ru\(_2\)CrSi and Ru\(_2\)CrSn being almost the same. The high DOS around \(E_F\) is 
associated with hybridization of Ru(4d) and Cr(3d) electrons. We notice that the Cr compound exhibits a 
considerably larger gap compared with the Ru compound. In order to understand the influence of Z ele-
ment on the gap width we plotted the PDOS of Z ele-
ments are given as follows (\(\mu_{B}\)):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Calculation</th>
<th>(a_0) A</th>
<th>(\Delta E) eV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ru(_2)CrSi</td>
<td>Our work</td>
<td>5.93</td>
<td>-0.1414</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Experimental</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other [7]</td>
<td>5.94</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ru(_2)CrGe</td>
<td>Our work</td>
<td>6.05</td>
<td>-0.110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Experimental[6,9]</td>
<td>5.97</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other</td>
<td>6.02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ru(_2)CrSn</td>
<td>Our work</td>
<td>6.26</td>
<td>-0.0984</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other [16]</td>
<td>6.233</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig. 3.** Electronic structure of Ru\(_2\)CrGe

**Fig. 4.** PDOS of Ru\(_2\)CrGe.

dition, if In (5s\(^2\) 5p\(^1\)) is chosen to be the Z element instead of Si or Ge or Sn, the situation changes drasti-
In fact, we found that Ru\(_4\)CrIn is ferrimagnetic Heusler compound with semi-metallic behavior. Fur-
thermore, when we take into account of the correlation effect by using GGA+U method with appropriate 
values for \(U\) and \(J\) parameters, we found this has no
significant effect on the semi-conducting behavior in the case of Ru$_2$CrSi (Z=Si,Ge) while it seems that the Ru$_2$CrSn compound is more sensitive since its energy gap is somewhat very small (0.02eV) as our first calculations indicate but no suitable values ($U, J$) have been obtained yet. It also is interesting to mention that the half metallic behavior is recovered within the GGA+U method in the case of Ru$_2$CrIn, more details can be found in our forthcoming paper. Let us note that the half metallic ferromagnetic behavior can be present in Ru$_{2-x}$Fe$_x$CrSi for a particular range of Fe concentration $x$ starting at $x \approx 0.5$ [7,8]. The antiferromagnetic, along with a spin-glass behavior of these Heusler compounds cannot be simply explained by itinerant exchange mechanism and need more theoretical effort.

4 Summary

In the present work, we have performed first principles calculations of structural, electronic and magnetic properties of Ru$_2$CrZ (Z=Si,Ge and Sn) full Heusler alloys within the GGA scheme. We find that our compounds have AFM ground states which are in good agreement with the experimental results. The electronic properties show that these compounds have a semiconductor behavior with indirect gap along $\Gamma - X$. We found that the Z elements have an influence on the electronic properties as well as on the magnetic properties. Therefore the energy gap decreases as the number of valence Z increases also the theoretical values of the Cr local moments become larger with increasing of the Z atomic number. The high DOS around $E_F$ is associated with hybridization of Ru(4d) and Cr(3d) electrons.
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