Single-Dish Radio Polarimetry in the F-GAMMA Program with the Effelsberg 100-m Radio Telescope
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Abstract. Studying the variability of polarized AGN jet emission in the radio band is crucial for understanding the dynamics of moving shocks as well as the structure of the underlying magnetic field. The 100-m Effelsberg Telescope is a high-quality instrument for studying the long-term variability of both total and polarized intensity as well as the electric-vector position angle. Since 2007, the F-GAMMA program has been monitoring the linear polarized emission of roughly 60 blazars at 11 frequencies between 2.7 and 43 GHz. Here, we describe the calibration of the polarimetric data at 5 and 10 GHz and the resulting F-GAMMA full-Stokes light curves for the exemplary case of the radio galaxy 3C 111.

1 Introduction

Incoherent synchrotron radiation of leptons accelerated in the jet’s magnetic field of radio-loud Active Galactic Nuclei (AGN) [4] is the major emission mechanism in the radio band. Depending on the underlying field configuration and the orientation of the jet towards the line-of-sight a net degree of linear polarization of several percent for the core and shocked jet can be observed [9, 20]. Linear polarization studies allow us to probe both the underlying magnetic field and via variability studies also the evolution of shocked components [1, 2].

Within the F-GAMMA program [3, 14] both total intensity (Stokes I) and linear polarimetric data of roughly 60 Fermi blazars as well as some radio galaxies have been observed monthly with the Effelsberg 100-m Telescope since 2007. Unlike the majority of the target sources, 3C 111 shows prominent extended emission, resembling a FR II morphology [5] with two radio lobes and hot spots. The extended radio emission exhibits a steep radio spectrum. Due to Faraday rotation we have to expect some degree of depolarization for integrated single-dish measurements towards lower radio frequencies [13]. High frequency observations, however, are sensitive to compact core- and jet emission.

The F-GAMMA program has produced an extensive data base for a large source sample at multiple wavelengths between 2.7 and 43 GHz. Here we describe the calibration of total intensity and linear polarization at 5 and 10 GHz. In particular we present results for the radio galaxy 3C 111.

2 Müller Calculus and Polarization Properties

The so-called Müller Calculus [16] allows to calibrate both total intensity and polarimetric data. The polarization state of an electromagnetic wave can be described by the Stokes formalism with the Stokes four-vector $(I, Q, U, V)^T$. From that the total intensity $I$, polarized intensity $P = \sqrt{Q^2 + U^2 + V^2}$, degree of polarization $PD = \sqrt{Q^2 + U^2 + V^2}/I$ and the electric vector position angle (EVPA) $\chi = 0.5 \arctan U/Q$ can be derived. The aim is to compute the source rest-frame Stokes vector $S_{src} = (I, Q, U, V)^T_{src}$ from that at the observer’s frame $S_{obs} = (I, Q, U, V)^T_{obs}$, which is affected by instrumental effects. The corresponding relation is

$$S_{obs} = M_{ij} R_{ij} S_{src},$$

(1)

The Müller matrix $M_{ij}$ contains all calibration factors, whereas $R_{ij}$ describes the rotation of the source rest-frame EVPA and thus the beam-integrated EVPA in the horizontal coordinate-system of the telescope with the parallactic angle. As incoherent synchrotron radiation is negligibly intrinsically circularly polarized, the Stokes V parameter is negligible for most AGN jets [10, 13]. In turn we only calibrate for linear polarization here. Writing down the matrix elements yields

$$\begin{pmatrix} I \\ Q \\ U \\ V \end{pmatrix}_{obs} = \begin{pmatrix} m_{1,1} & m_{1,2} & m_{1,3} \\ m_{2,1} & m_{2,2} & m_{2,3} \\ m_{3,1} & m_{3,2} & m_{3,3} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I \\ Q \\ U \end{pmatrix}_{p}.$$

(2)
Within the Müller matrix the element $m_{11}$ and accordingly the “sensitivity” $m_{11}^{-1}$ calibrate the total intensity while the $2 \times 2$ matrix with the elements $m_{22}, m_{32}, m_{33}$ translates between biased and unbiased Stokes parameters containing linear polarization information. Here we use the vector $(I, Q, U)^T$ that is the vector $S_{ew}$ already rotated with the parallactic angle of the observation. The expansion of Eq. 2 yields a system of three linear equations. As we observe a set of multiple calibrators of known polarization several times each, each of the three linear equations again forms a system of equations with three matrix elements as unknown parameters. In our case these three equation systems are overdetermined and a least-squared fit can be used to determine the unknown parameters. Due to the linearity of the equations the fit can be solved directly.

### 3 Realization with the Effelsberg 100-m Radio Telescope

In the F-GAMMA program, observations at 11 frequencies between 2.7 and 43 GHz are being conducted. Here, we focus on the analysis of the data sets at 5 and 10 GHz. The receivers at these two frequencies show similar performance. The Effelsberg 100-m Telescope provides an overall system with high sensitivity and gain.

#### 3.1 The Setup

Table 1 gives an overview of the input and the output of the used receivers fed both by the main horn (denoted as “M”) that is pointed on source and the weather horn (“W”) that is pointed slightly off source to measure the nearby background. For the calibration of linearly polarized channels, data from the weather horn can be neglected as any influence of it cancels out. Each horn is recording both left- and right handed circular polarized signals (LCP/RCP) entering the receiver system. The signals are getting auto-correlated yielding the direct total power (TP) output signals TP A and B combining to total intensity as TPA + TPB. Furthermore, both signals additionally pass the polarimeter calculating cross terms equaling the Stokes parameters $Q$ and $U$.

#### 3.2 Calibration of data from the F-GAMMA program

The observing mode used by the F-GAMMA program is based on "cross-scans", that is, slewing over the source position both in azimuth and elevation direction. Some non-variable and well-studied [15, 16] sources within the sample are required to calibrate the data. We use 3C 286, 3C 161, 3C 48 as linearly polarized sources and NGC 7027

---

Table 1. Channel allocation for the 5 and 10 GHz receivers for both the main and weather horn (M/W) and appropriate channels.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>channel</th>
<th>Polarization</th>
<th>output signal horn</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a/e</td>
<td>LCP</td>
<td>TP A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b/f</td>
<td>RCP</td>
<td>TP B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c/g</td>
<td>cross (Q)</td>
<td>sin(A/B)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d/h</td>
<td>cross (U)</td>
<td>cos(A/B)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

![Figure 1. Effelsberg cross-scan profiles for the polarization calibrator 3C 286 at 10 GHz in total intensity, Q and U equaling the channels TP A + TP B, sin(A/B) and cos(A/B).](attachment:image.png)
Table 2. Average sensitivity factors 5 and 10 GHz receivers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>m_{11}</th>
<th>m_{-11}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>28 mm</td>
<td>0.78 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 mm</td>
<td>0.66 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

units of [K/Jy]. The sensitivities remain relatively constant over time. Table 2 summarizes the derived average sensitivity factors with standard deviations. The temporal behavior of the other Müller matrix elements are encoded in quantities as the instrumental polarization

\[ p_{\text{instr}} = \sqrt{m_{22}^2 + m_{33}^2/|m_{11}|}, \]

the instrumentally induced EVPA \( \chi_{\text{instr}} = \arctan(m_{31}/m_{21}) \) – while any other EVPA rotation is contained in the lower right 2 × 2 rotation matrix with the angle \( \chi_{\text{rot}} = \arctan\left((m_{32} - m_{23})/(m_{22} + m_{33})\right) \) – and the depolarization term \( p_{\text{depol}} = (m_{22}^2 + m_{33}^2)/|m_{11}|. \)

We do not further discuss the values of depolarization as they are hard to derive and thus underlie strong scatter. The instrumentally induced polarization stays constant over the full time of monitoring in the range of 0 – 1% at 5 GHz. At 10 GHz we find similar values until the beginning of 2011 with a sudden increase to ~ 9%. The parameters \( \chi_{\text{instr}} \) and \( \chi_{\text{rot}} \) at 5 GHz are subject to some scatter reaching values of ~40° < \( \chi_{\text{instr}} \) < ~80° and ~100° < \( \chi_{\text{rot}} \) < 150° before summer 2010. Afterwards we find mean values of \( \chi_{\text{instr}} \sim -50° \) and \( \chi_{\text{rot}} \sim 90° \). At 10 GHz the scatter reaches up to summer 2011. We find values of ~90° < \( \chi_{\text{instr}} \) < 90° and ~140° < \( \chi_{\text{rot}} \) < 140°. Both converge to \( \chi_{\text{instr}} \sim -40° \) and \( \chi_{\text{rot}} \sim 0° \) after summer 2011.

The reason for the convergence right after the change of the reduction pipeline in summer 2010 is the use of more conservative uncertainties for the cross-scan derived parameters Stokes Q and U. That allows the Müller matrix fits to converge to one similar solution. The delayed convergence at 10 GHz is due to too large uncertainties of measured Stokes Q and U values up to summer 2011 manifesting themselves in widely differing Müller matrix elements. After summer 2011 the phase switch within the 10 GHz receiver got broken. At the same time the uncertainties start to be more realistic making \( \chi_{\text{instr}} \) and \( \chi_{\text{rot}} \) converge, which may be a coincidence. The switch allows to subtract two signals of 180° phase difference within the polarimeter reducing the noise. The software, however, can still extract polarimetry data from these epochs ignoring the phase switch. The rise of the instrumental PD might be connected with that. At the cost of matrices being variable in that respect, the overall calibration is stable within the uncertainties.

3.4 Results of calibration

The results of the calibration are best demonstrated in Fig. 3. Here the complete raw data of 3C 286 are plotted in red when originating from epochs before summer 2010 and in blue for epochs after that. Black represents data calibrated with the derived Müller matrices scattering within a point-cloud distribution. Those points are additionally rotated when applying a rotation matrix with the appropriate parallactic angle of the respective observation covering a part of a circle (orange points). In absence of an instrumental bias the parallactic rotation of the EVPA would result in those brown data distributed on a circle centered around zero with the polarized intensity equal to the radius (Fig. 3). Obviously the raw data are deviating from that circle. The radius is reduced by the sensitivity calibration factors \( m_{22} \) and \( m_{33} \) while the orientation is changed by any rotation induced by the 2 × 2 sub-matrix described by the elements \( m_{22}, m_{23}, m_{32}, m_{33} \).

4 Long-term polarimetry of 3C 111

The influence of extended emission on integrated linear polarization measurements has to be fully understood before interpreting calibrated polarimetry data. Most F-GAMMA program sources are blazars, which do not show substantial extended emission resolved by the Effelsberg beam. In the more complex case of the radio galaxy 3C 111, we mapped the kiloparsec scale structure at both 5 GHz and 10 GHz. At 5 GHz, the ~200 arcsec scale structure [11] is nearly unresolved. At 10 GHz (Fig. 5), the two lobes are clearly resolved from the core emission. Cross-scans are driven along the indicated arrows in Fig. 5 and are picking up the core and inner jet emission at 10 GHz.
5 Conclusions

For the first time we study the long term stability of polarimetry calibration for the 5 and 10 GHz receivers of the 100-m Effelsberg Radio Telescope. We derive one Müller matrix for each observing session to calibrate the polarimetric raw data with the by-product of total intensity calibration perfectly consistent with former work. It appears essential to properly estimate the uncertainties on measured raw data when calibrating weak signals in polarized channels with a limited set of calibrator observations. Especially, the $2 \times 2$ matrix elements $m_{22}, m_{23}, m_{32}, m_{33}$ and the derived parameters describing instrumental rotations and cross-term effects between Stokes $Q$ and $U$ is very sensitive to calibration. The stable calibration is ensured by some variability of calibration matrices not being due to unstable receivers. We also analyze Effelsberg calibrated data of 3C 111 at 10 GHz revealing pronounced variability due to shocked jet components identified as well in high resolution MOJAVE VLBI maps.
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