

Pre-equilibrium α -particle emission as a probe to study α -clustering in nuclei

O.V. Fotina^{1,2a}, S.A. Goncharov^{1,2}, D.O. Eremenko^{1,2}, S.Yu. Platonov^{1,2}, O.A. Yuminov², V.L. Kravchuk³, F. Gramegna⁴, T. Marchi⁴, M. Cinausero⁴, M. D'Agostino⁵, M. Bruno⁵, G. Baiocco⁵, L. Morelli⁵, M. Degerlier⁶, G. Casini⁷, S. Barlini⁷, S. Valdrè⁷, S. Piantelli⁷, G. Pasquali⁷, A. Bracco⁸, F. Camera⁸, O. Wieland⁸, G. Benzoni⁸, N. Blasi⁸, A. Giaz⁸, A. Corsi⁸, D. Fabris⁹
for the NUCL-ex and HECTOR collaboration

¹Physical Department, Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia

²Skobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear Physics, Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia

³National Research Center "Kurchatov Institute", Moscow, Russia.

⁴INFN, Laboratori Nazionali di Legnaro, I-35020, Legnaro, Italy

⁵Dipartimento di Fisica, University of Bologna and INFN sezione di Bologna, Italy

⁶University of Nevsehir, Science and Art Faculty, Physics Department, Nevsehir, Turkey

⁷Dipartimento di Fisica, University of Firenze and INFN Sezione di Firenze, Italy

⁸Dipartimento di Fisica, University of Milano and INFN Sezione di Milano, Italy

⁹INFN Sezione di Padova, Italy

Abstract. A theoretical approach was developed to describe secondary particle emission in heavy ion collisions, with special regards to pre-equilibrium α -particle production. The probabilities of neutron, proton and α -particle emission have been evaluated for both the equilibrium and pre-equilibrium stages of the process. Effects due the possible cluster structure of the projectile which has been excited during the collisions have been experimentally evidenced studying the double differential cross sections of p and α -particles emitted in the E=250MeV $^{16}\text{O} + ^{116}\text{Sn}$ reaction. Calculations within the present model with different clusterization probabilities have been compared to the experimental data.

1. Introduction

Double-differential spectra $\delta^2\sigma/\delta\Omega\delta E$ of emitted light charged particles have been measured in the reaction $^{16}\text{O} + ^{116}\text{Sn}$ at energies of 130MeV and 250MeV with the GARFIELD+HECTOR coupled setups at Laboratori Nazionali di Legnaro. The experimental distributions have been compared to our model predictions. A satisfactory agreement is observed for the proton distributions at both energies over the measured angular range as it can be seen in Figure 1. On the contrary for α particles, while a quite good agreement persists between theory and experiment at large angles, a significant difference can be observed at forward directions at both energies as shown in Figure 2. This effect is a result of the production of the secondary alpha particles during non-equilibrium stage of fusion nuclear reaction. A modified version of the statistical code PACE was used as a basis to describe the emission mechanisms: the main modification was related to the insertion of a non-equilibrium stage in the fusion reaction. The relaxation processes in the nuclear system occurring during the fusion reaction

^aCorresponding author: fotina@srd.sinp.msu.ru




Figure 1. Double differential spectra (Cross Section (CS) in arbitrary units) for protons for the 250MeV (top) and 130MeV (bottom) $^{16}\text{O} + ^{116}\text{Sn}$ reactions. Experimental data are shown in red. Open circles show the pre-equilibrium part of the spectra. The continuous line is the sum of the predicted evaporative and pre-equilibrium contributions [4-6].




Figure 2. Double differential spectra (CS in arbitrary units) for α particles for the 250 (top) and 130MeV (bottom) $^{16}\text{O} + ^{116}\text{Sn}$ reactions. Symbols are the same as in Figure 1.

was accounted for by the exciton model. One of the more intricate questions is the description of the angular distributions of secondary particles emitted in the non-equilibrium stage of the reaction. In the

present work we used two methods to describe the angular distributions. The first one (case *A*) is based on the exciton model of Griffin [1] modelling the orbital angular momentum of the emitted particle in the framework of the optical model. The second one (case *B*) is the hybrid exciton model suggested in [2, 3], where cluster/light ion induced reactions are considered. More detailed description of the two methods can be found in [7] and ref. therein. The best agreement to the data was obtained by tuning the free parameters for both cases A and B, which resulted close one to each other. For the sake of simplicity the result of the estimations is shown in Fig. 1-2 only for case B.

The pre-equilibrium emission contribution, whatever the implemented recipe (A or B), is not sufficient to explain the experimental α -particle production, especially those observed at forward angles. Therefore additional effects, as the clustering structure of the projectile nucleus, have to be considered. To this end we propose an upgraded version of the model, which takes into account the projectile cluster structure effects on the particle emission.

2. Brief Description of the model.

A schematic description will be given on the first steps necessary to simulate the effect of the cluster structure of the projectile: in both cases, *A* (*Griffin exciton model*) and *B* (*Hybrid exciton model*), the main parameter to be set is the initial number of excitons. According to the estimate from the work of E. Betak [8], the initial number of excitons for the ^{16}O cases discussed above is $n_0=16$. The method for estimating the formation probability of the cluster, together with the algorithm used in the Monte-Carlo procedure to determine the exciton energies for cluster/light ion induced reactions, were presented in the work of M. Blann and M.B. Chadwick [9].




Figure 3. Energy distribution of α -clusters (black line e_4) and carbon (red dots e_{12}) from random number x .

We used the expression $e = E \left(1 - (1 - x)^{2/(n_0 - 2)} \right)$ in order to estimate the energy e of the cluster consisting of n_0 initial excitons. Here E is the initial projectile energy and x is a random number with uniform distribution in $(0,1)$. In our case, according to the energy conservation law, if e_4 is the α -cluster energy emitted by the oxygen projectile, the remaining carbon nuclei should have energy $e_{12} = E_{16\text{O}} - B_{\alpha^-} - e_4$. An example of the cluster energy distribution for $E_{16\text{O}}=250$ MeV is presented in Fig 3.

As a first step we use the probability of the α -clusters formation as a free parameter. Currently, the computer code modification is performed only for the case A.

3 Results and conclusions

The results of the calculated double differential cross sections for α -particles with different probabilities of α -clustering pre-formation in comparison with estimations ones without clustering

(Case A) are shown in Figure 4. As it can be seen the inclusion of some pre-formed α -structure better describes the experimental data. Nevertheless these results open up some more specific questions: what are the real energy and angular distributions of this fast emitted particles and how can be the formation probability of cluster determined?




Figure 4. Double differential spectra (Cross-Section (CS) in arbitrary units) for α particles for the 250 MeV $^{16}\text{O} + ^{116}\text{Sn}$ reaction. Experimental data are shown in red. Calculated events in the case of different α -clustering pre-formation probabilities (10% black line and 50% cyan line) in the ^{16}O projectile. The black dashed line is the case A estimations without α -clustering in the Oxygen.

In order to answer to some of these questions in a model-independent way, we recently performed at the Legnaro National Laboratory an experiment aimed at investigating the α -particle emission from the hot ^{81}Rb nucleus. The same compound nucleus has been formed at the same projectile velocity (16 AMeV) reactions with an α -cluster ^{16}O projectile on ^{65}Cu target and with a non- α -cluster ^{19}F projectile on ^{62}Ni target. The experiment was performed using the GARFIELD and RCo detection arrays. The main goal of this experiment is to measure and to compare the experimental pre-equilibrium α -particle yields for the two systems. It is expected, in fact, that any difference in the experimental results can be interpreted as a model-independent way to establish the influence of the projectile α -cluster structure on the spectra of α -particles emitted during the reaction phases. Experimental results will be even compared to model calculations as described in this contribution.

References

1. J.J. Griffin, Phys. Rev. Lett., **17** (1966) 478
2. G. Mantzouranis, H.A. Weidenmuller and D. Agassi Z. Phys. **A276**, 145 (1976) 3
3. J.M. Akkermans, H. Gruppelaar and G. Reffo, Phys. Rev. **C22**, 73 (1980) 4
4. V.L. Kravchuk et al., Int. Journ. Mod. Phys. E **20**, 1050 (2011) 1
5. O.V. Fotina et al., Int. Journ. Mod. Phys. E **19**, 1134 (2010)
6. O.V. Fotina et al., Phys. Atom. Nucl., **73**, 1317(2010)
7. D.O. Eremenko et al., Phys. Atom. Nucl. **65**, 18(2002).
8. E. Betak, Fizika B **12**, 11 (2003)
9. M. Blann, M.B. Chadwick, Phys. Rev. C **62**, 034604 (2000)