Time-dependent quantum models of the dynamics of neutron transfer reactions near the barrier

Viacheslav Samarin

Flerov Laboratory of Nuclear Reactions, JINR, 141980 Dubna, Moscow Region, Russia

Abstract. Time-dependent valence neutron wave functions were calculated for nucleus-nucleus collisions ${}^{6}\text{He} + {}^{197}\text{Au}$, ${}^{18}\text{O} + {}^{58}\text{Ni}$, ${}^{48}\text{Ca} + {}^{18}\text{O}$, ${}^{40,48}\text{Ca} + {}^{238}\text{U}$. Probabilities of the neutron transfer and near-barrier population of two-center levels were calculated. The energy dependence of the neutron transfer cross section in the ${}^{6}\text{He} + {}^{197}\text{Au}$ reaction has been explained.

1 Introduction

The numerical solving of the time-dependent Schrödinger equation (TDSE) provides new possibilities for theoretical study of transfer reactions and the first capture stage of fusion reactions [1]. In this study the spin-orbital interaction [2], two-center states [3-6], the Pauli's exclusion principle [7] and the shell structure of deformed nuclei [8, 9] were taken into consideration.

2 The solving of a time-dependent Schrödinger equation

For the description of transfer reactions and the first capture stage of fusion reactions we used the approximation of the independent external (valence) neutrons. The two-component spinor wave function of each neutron changes according to the time-dependent Schrödinger equation (TDSE) with the spin-orbital interaction

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\begin{pmatrix}\Psi_1\\\Psi_2\end{pmatrix} = \left\{-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\Delta + V(\mathbf{r},t) + V_{LS}(\mathbf{r},t)\right\}\begin{pmatrix}\Psi_1\\\Psi_2\end{pmatrix}.$$
 (1)

The potential energy of a neutron with a vector radius \mathbf{r} up to the contact with the colliding nuclei surfaces is the sum of the energies of its interaction with each nucleus

$$V(\mathbf{r},t) = V_1\left(\left|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_1(t)\right|\right) + V_2\left(\left|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_2(t)\right|\right).$$
(2)

Radii of nuclei centers $\mathbf{r}_1(t)$, $\mathbf{r}_2(t)$ are determined from equations of the classical mechanics for colliding nuclei. The operator of the spin–orbital interaction is

$$V_{LS} = -\frac{b}{2\hbar} \mathbf{y} \big[(\nabla V) \mathbf{p} \big]. \tag{3}$$

Here, **p** is the momentum operator, **y** are Pauli matrices, the factor b is

$$b = R_0^2 \kappa \frac{\hbar^2}{2m^2 R_0^2 c^2} = 0.022 R_0^2 \kappa, \tag{4}$$

 κ is the phenomenological dimensionless constant, $\kappa \sim 40$, *c* is the velocity of light and $R_0 = 1$ fm. On the grid coordinates the splitting method [10, 11] results in the difference scheme of the second order accuracy. Here, the equation (1) is solved iteratively in time with the fast complex Fourier transform [11] on a spatial grid with a plane of symmetry (the collision plane). The lattice spacing in the TDSE method is 0.2 fm, which is substantially smaller than 0.8 fm in a typical timedependent Hartree-Fock (TDHF) calculation [12]. The colliding nuclei are enclosed in a box of typical dimensions $48 \times 24 \times 24$ fm³ for central collisions and $48 \times 42 \times 24$ fm³ for grazing collisions.

3 The neutrons rearrangement and transfer in low-energy nuclear reactions with spherical nuclei

3.1 The neutrons stripping in the reaction ⁶He + ¹⁹⁷Au

TDSE (1) was solved for valence halo neutrons $1p_{3/2}^2$ of the spherical nucleus ⁶He at their collisions with a ¹⁹⁷Au nucleus. The typical change of the total sub-shell probability density

$$\rho(\mathbf{r},t) = \sum_{\Omega=-j}^{j} \left(\left| \Psi_{1} \right|^{2} + \left| \Psi_{2} \right|^{2} \right)$$
(5)

^a Corresponding author: samarin@jinr.ru

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

is illustrated in Fig. 1. Here $\Omega = \pm 1/2, 3/2,...$ is the total angular momentum projection onto the axis connecting the centers of colliding nuclei (the inter-nuclear axis).



Figure 1. The change in the probability density of the valence $1p_{3/2}^2$ neutrons of the ⁶He nucleus during a collision with the ¹⁹⁷Au nucleus at an energy in the centre of mass system E = 19 MeV, a scale factor is 1 fm, and radii of the circumferences equal to radii of the nuclei. The course of time corresponds to panels from (*a*) to (*d*).

It is found, that the nucleons rearrangement and transfer are most probable for small values of full neutron angular moment projection on an inter-nuclear axis at the closed approach of nuclei. The small lattice spacing (0.2 fm) results in correct calculations of the spatial structure of the spinor wave function. The presence of a stable structure in Fig. 1 indicates to the formation of two centre (molecular) states of external neutrons. The neutron cloud in this case covers both the nuclei, and an appropriate integral can serve as a measure of the probability of the neutron transfer from one nucleus to another. Results of the cross section calculation for the formation of the ¹⁹⁸Au isotope in the ⁶He+¹⁹⁷Au reaction [13] agree satisfactorily with the experimental data [14] near the barrier (Fig. 2).



Figure 2. The energy dependence of the cross section for the formation of the ¹⁹⁸Au isotope in the ⁶He+¹⁹⁷Au reaction. Dots represent the experimental data from [14]; the dashed line, calculations for the transfer of one neutron; the solid line, calculations for the transfer of one or two neutrons. $V_{\rm B}$ is the Coulomb barrier.

The ¹⁹⁸Au isotope can also be formed as a result of the transfer of two neutrons with subsequent evaporation of one of them. After the transfer of two neutrons to the high-lying levels of the Au nucleus, one neutron can fall to a lower level, transferring a part of the energy to the other neutron, which, as a result, abandons the Au nucleus. In our calculations, we used an approximation of the spherical shape conservation of the nuclear core (alpha-particle) of the 6 He and 197 Au nuclei during the collision. The difference between the experimental data and the calculation results at the sub-barrier energies of $E < V_{\rm B}$ could be due to possible deformations of the ¹⁹⁷Au nucleus under the influence of the nuclear force between this nucleus and the ⁶He nucleus. The difference between the experimental data and the calculation results at the above-barrier energies of $E > V_{\rm B}$ could be due to the substantial changes in the states of the rest nucleons of colliding nuclei (except for the considered halo neutrons of the nucleus projectile) in inelastic and deeply inelastic processes.

3.2 The neutrons rearrangement in the central collision $^{18}O + ^{58}Ni$

The typical shape of valence neutrons total probability density in system ${}^{18}\text{O} + {}^{58}\text{Ni}$ has a structure similar to two-center states [15] at a distance near the barrier (Fig. 3).



Figure 3. The probability density of valence $1d_{5/2}^2$ -neutrons of ¹⁸O nucleus in the system ¹⁸O + ⁵⁸Ni near the barrier [15]

Two-center wave functions $\phi_{\alpha'}(\mathbf{r}, R)$ and energies $\varepsilon_{\alpha}(R)$ of the valence neutron may be calculated in the two-center shell model by solving a stationary Schrödinger equation for the distance *R* between the nuclei

$$\left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\Delta_{\mathbf{r}} + V(\mathbf{r}, R) + V_{LS}(\mathbf{r}, R)\right]\phi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{r}; R) = \varepsilon_{\alpha}(R)\phi_{\alpha}.$$
 (6)

The equation (6) was solved by the method based on the series expansion of Bessel functions [15, 16]. The total angular momentum projection Ω is the quantum number of the two-center state $\varepsilon_{\alpha}(R) = \varepsilon_{n,\Omega}(R)$, $\phi_{\alpha} = \phi_{n,\Omega}$. For the reaction ¹⁸O + ⁵⁸Ni some coefficients a_{α} of the series expansion of the time-dependent neutron wave function $\Psi(\mathbf{r}, t)$

$$\Psi(\mathbf{r},t) = \sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha} \left(R(t) \right) \phi_{\alpha} \left(\mathbf{r}; R(t) \right)$$
(7)

for the head-on nucleus-nucleus collision are plotted in Fig. 4.



Figure 4. The population $|a_{n,\Omega}(R)|^2$ of two-center levels $1d_{5/2}$ of ¹⁸O (curves 1) and $1g_{9/2}$ of ⁵⁸Ni (curves 2) for the total angular momentum projection on the inter-nuclear axis $\Omega = 1/2$ (solid curves) and $\Omega = 3/2$ (dashed curves) for $E_{c.m.} = 30$ MeV [15], $R_{\rm B}$ is the Coulomb barrier radius.

The near barrier neutron transition with Q > 0 from the $1d_{5/2}(^{18}O)$ state to the low-lied $1g_{9/2}(^{58}Ni)$ state is, in a certain sense, an "energy lift" for the two interacting nuclei [1]. The total barrier penetration probability and the fusion cross section may increase due to a gain in the relative motion energy in this case.

3.3 The neutrons transfers and the Pauli's exclusion principle

The Pauli's exclusion principle limits neutron transfers to occupied states. For two colliding nuclei with comparable values of the neutron separation energy we used few particles (N = 2, 3) Slater determinants made up on the basis of time dependent wave functions for [7]

$$\Phi_{N} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} \det \begin{bmatrix} \Psi_{1}(\mathbf{r}_{1},t) & \dots & \Psi_{1}(\mathbf{r}_{N},t) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \Psi_{N}(\mathbf{r}_{1},t) & \dots & \Psi_{N}(\mathbf{r}_{N},t) \end{bmatrix}.$$
(8)



Figure 5. (*a*) The probability density of valence $1d_{5/2}^2$ -neutrons of ¹⁸O nucleus and $1f_{7/2}^8$ -neutrons of ⁴⁸Ca nucleus in the vicinity of the Coulomb barrier [7]; (*b*) the probability of neutrons stripping (solid curve) and pick-up (dashed curve) for ¹⁸O at a central collision of ¹⁸O + ⁴⁸Ca as a function of the minimum value r_m of the distance between nuclei centers.

A typical shape of valence neutrons total probability density (Fig. 5 *a*) in the reaction ${}^{18}\text{O} + {}^{48}\text{Ca}$ is similar to the results of the TDHF calculation [17]. The probabilities of neutron transfer are plotted in Fig. 5 *b*, [7].

4 Neutron transfers in reactions with a deformed nucleus ²³⁸U

In this study the axially symmetric deformed Woods– Saxon potential

$$V(\mathbf{r}, \beta_2, \beta_4) = -V_0 \left[1 + \exp\left(\frac{r - R(\theta, \beta_2, \beta_4)}{a}\right) \right]^{-1}, \quad (9)$$

is used in the shell model of a deformed nucleus ²³⁸U. We used the following values of deformation parameters $\beta_2 = 0.215$, $\beta_4 = 0.095$ for the nucleus of ²³⁸U [18]. The typical change of total sub-shell probability density for external (valence) neutrons $1f_{7/2}^{8}$ in the reaction ⁴⁸Ca + ²³⁸U is illustrated in Fig. 6. The probability of neutron transfer is plotted in Fig. 7 [8, 9].



Figure 6. The change of probability density (5) of external neutrons of the $1f_{7/2}^{8}$ shell of ⁴⁸Ca during the central collision with the ²³⁸U at an energy in the center of mass system $E_{\rm c.m.}=195$ MeV. The angle between the symmetry axis of the deformed nucleus ²³⁸U and the initial velocity of ⁴⁸Ca equals 45°. The course of time corresponds to panels from (*a*) to (*d*).



Figure 7. The probability of the neutron stripping in the reaction ${}^{48}\text{Ca}+{}^{238}\text{U}$ as a function of the minimum distance *s* between nuclear surfaces. Angles between the symmetry axis of the deformed nucleus ${}^{238}\text{U}$ and the initial velocity of Ca nucleus equal 45° (solid line), 90° (dashed line) and 0 (dotted line).

At the present time, most of calculations of the deformed nuclei shell structure are based on the Nilsson-Strutinsky method with the expansion in harmonicoscillator wave functions (see, for example, [18, 19]). We used the above method based on the series expansion of Bessel functions [15, 16]. The top upper occupied neutron energy level of deformed nucleus $^{238}\mathrm{U}$ has the quantum number of the modulus of the total angular momentum projection Ω =5/2 to the symmetry axis and the separation energy of 6.15 MeV which is approximately equal to the experimental value. In the spherical nucleus limit $\beta_2 \rightarrow 0, \beta_4 \rightarrow 0$ this level becomes the level $1j_{15/2}$ and we used its name for marking the corresponding level in the deformed nucleus. The typical change of the probability density for the valence neutron $1j_{15/2}$ with $\Omega = 5/2$ in the reaction ${}^{40}Ca + {}^{238}U$ is illustrated in Fig. 8. The probability of the neutron pick-up is plotted in Fig. 9 [8, 9].



Figure 8. The probability density of the valence neutrons of 238 U for the initial state $1j_{15/2}$ with the angular momentum projection on the symmetry axis $\Omega=5/2$ during the central collision with 40 Ca, at the energy in the center of mass system $E_{\rm c.m.}=195$ MeV, the angle between the symmetry axis of deformed nucleus 238 U and the initial velocity of Ca nucleus equals 45° . The course of time corresponds to panels from (*a*) to (*d*).



Figure 9. Probability of the neutron pick-up in the reaction ${}^{40}\text{Ca}{+}^{238}\text{U}$ as a function of the minimum distance *s* between nuclear surfaces. Angles between the symmetry axis of the deformed nucleus ${}^{238}\text{U}$ and the initial velocity of Ca nucleus equal 45° (solid line), 90° (dashed line) and 0 (dotted line).

5 Conclusions

The proposed time-dependent quantum models based on the TDSE approach may be useful for the study of nucleons transfer reactions and the first capture stage of fusion reactions. They are easier than the TDHF method and allow more detailed calculation of the spatial structure of valence nucleons wave functions, than the TDHF method.

Acknowledgments

This work was partially supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (RFBR), research project No 12-02-01325-a.

References

- V. I. Zagrebaev, V. V. Samarin, W. Greiner. Phys. Rev. C 75, 035809 (2007)
- V. V. Samarin and K. V. Samarin, Bull. Russ. Acad. Sci. Phys. 74, 567 (2010)
- 3. V. V. Samarin, Phys. of Atom. Nucl. **72**, 1682 (2009)
- 4. V. V. Samarin, Phys. of Atom. Nucl. **73**, 1416 (2010)
- 5. V. V. Samarin, Nucl. Phys. Atom. Ener. 14, 233 (2013)
- V. V. Samarin, Phys. of Atom. Nucl. 77, 1124 (2014)
- V. V. Samarin and K. V. Samarin, Proceedings of the VI International Symposium on Exotic Nuclei, EXON 2012 (Vladivostok, Russia, 1-6 October 2012) 111 (World Scientific Publishing, Singapore, 2013)
- 8. V. V. Samarin and K. V. Samarin, *ibid*, 203 (2013)
- V. V. Samarin and K. V. Samarin, Bull. Russ. Acad. Sci. Phys. 78, 388 (2014)
- 10. M. E. Riley and B. Ritchie, Phys. Rev. A **59**, 3544 (1999)
- G. I. Marchuk, Methods of Computational Mathematics (Nauka, Moscow, 1980)
- 12. C. Golabek and C. Simenel, Phys. Rev. Lett. 103, 042701 (2009)
- V. V. Samarin and K. V. Samarin, Bull. Russ. Acad. Sci. Phys. 76, 450 (2012)
- 14. A. Kulko et al., J. Phys. G 34, 2297 (2007)
- 15. V. V. Samarin, Phys. of Atom. Nucl. **77**, N 12 (2014) (to be published)
- 16. V. V. Samarin, Phys. of Atom. Nucl. **73**, 1416 (2010)
- 17. K. Washiyama and D. Lacroix, Phys. Rev. C. 78, 024610 (2008)
- Nuclear Reaction Video (Low-Energy Nuclear Knowledge Base, FLNR, JINR, Dubna), <u>http://nrv.jinr.ru/nrv/</u>
- K. Langanke and J. A. Maruhn, S. E. Koonin, *Computational Nuclear Physics 1. Nuclear Structure.* (Springer-Verlag, Germany, 1991)