Direct Determination of Ground-State Transition Widths and Natural Level Widths with the Method of Relative Self Absorption

C. Romig\textsuperscript{1, a}, T. Beck\textsuperscript{1}, J. Beller\textsuperscript{1}, J. Birkhan\textsuperscript{1}, A. Endres\textsuperscript{2}, M. Fritzsche\textsuperscript{1}, U. Gayer\textsuperscript{1}, J. Glorius\textsuperscript{2}, J. Isaak\textsuperscript{3, 4}, L. Mertes\textsuperscript{1}, H. Pai\textsuperscript{1}, N. Pietralla\textsuperscript{1}, P. Ries\textsuperscript{1}, D. Savran\textsuperscript{3, 4}, M. Scheck\textsuperscript{1, 5}, L. Schnorrenberger\textsuperscript{1}, K. Sonnabend\textsuperscript{6}, V. Werner\textsuperscript{1}, and M. Zweidinger\textsuperscript{1}

\textsuperscript{1}Institut für Kernphysik, Technische Universität Darmstadt, Schloßgartenstraße 9, 64289 Darmstadt, Germany
\textsuperscript{2}Institut für Angewandte Physik, Goethe-Universität Frankfurt, Max-von-Laue-Straße 1, 60438 Frankfurt a.M., Germany
\textsuperscript{3}ExtreMe Matter Institute EMMI and Research Division, GSI Helmholtzzentrum für Schwerionenforschung, Planckstraße 1, 64291 Darmstadt, Germany
\textsuperscript{4}Frankfurt Institute for Advanced Studies, Ruth-Moufang-Straße 1, 60438 Frankfurt a.M., Germany
\textsuperscript{5}School of Engineering, University of the West of Scotland, Paisley PA1 2BE, United Kingdom
\textsuperscript{6}SUPA, Scottish Universities Physics Alliance, Glasgow G12 8QQ, United Kingdom

Abstract. The method of relative self absorption is based on the technique of nuclear resonance fluorescence measurements. It allows for a model-independent determination of ground-state transition widths, natural level widths, and, consequently, of branching ratios to the ground state for individual excitations. Relative self-absorption experiments have been performed on the nuclei \textsuperscript{6}Li and \textsuperscript{140}Ce. In order to investigate the total level width for the \textsuperscript{0}\textsuperscript{+} state of \textsuperscript{6}Li at an excitation energy of 3.56 MeV in \textsuperscript{6}Li, a high-precision self-absorption measurement has been performed. In the case of \textsuperscript{140}Ce, self absorption has been applied for the first time to study decay widths of dipole-excited states in the energy regime of the pygmy dipole resonance.

1 Introduction

The Relative Self-Absorption (RSA) \cite{1, 2} approach allows for the direct determination of ground-state transition widths, natural level widths, and, consequently, of branching ratios to the ground state for individual excitations. Relative self-absorption experiments have been performed on the nuclei \textsuperscript{6}Li and \textsuperscript{140}Ce. In order to investigate the total level width for the \textsuperscript{0}\textsuperscript{+} state of \textsuperscript{6}Li at an excitation energy of \(3.56 \text{ MeV}\) in \textsuperscript{6}Li, a high-precision self-absorption measurement has been performed. In the case of \textsuperscript{140}Ce, self absorption has been applied for the first time to study decay widths of dipole-excited states in the energy regime of the pygmy dipole resonance.
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The measurements on $^6$Li and $^{140}$Ce have been conducted at the Darmstadt High Intensity Photon Setup (DHIPS) [14]. An extensive description of the measurements and the analysis is provided in Ref. [2]. In the following, the method of RSA will be introduced shortly. Furthermore, the results achieved from both measurements will be presented and discussed.

2 The RSA Method

In RSA experiments, an absorption target (absorber) made of the material of interest is irradiated with a $\gamma$-ray beam and the modified photon flux having passed through the absorber is investigated. In particular, the resonant absorption of photons resulting in absorption lines in the spectral distribution is studied. The resonant-absorption effect is directly related to the ground-state transition width $\Gamma_0$. Absorption lines are more pronounced for excited states and, thus, a measure for this quantity. However, being mostly a few eV wide and being also affected by atomic-attenuation effects in the absorber, they cannot be measured directly exploiting high-resolution $\gamma$-ray spectroscopy. At this point, the idea of self absorption enters, suggesting to use a scattering target (scatterer) which is made of the same material as the absorber to quantify the resonant absorption in the absorber. For this purpose, commonly two measurements are performed, the actual RSA measurement where the $\gamma$-ray beam passes through the absorber before irradiating the scatterer and a standard NRF measurement where the scatterer is irradiated with an unmodified beam. In this scenario, the self absorption

$$R = \frac{N_{NRF} - N_{RSA/f}}{N_{NRF}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)$$

is defined as the relative decrease of the number of NRF reactions $N_{RSA}$ in the scatterer in the RSA measurement with respect to the corresponding number of reactions $N_{NRF}$ in the NRF measurement, i.e., the NRF measurement serves as a reference. However, the decrease of NRF reactions cannot solely be attributed to resonant absorption of photons. It is also affected by atomic attenuation effects. Those can be corrected for by measuring relative to a monitoring target (monitor) which, for this purpose, is added to the scatterer. Ideally, the monitor has a few strongly excited states which are well distributed over the regarded energy range. The transition widths of the monitor must not be known. The decrease of NRF reactions in the monitoring target is exclusively attributed to atomic attenuation effects. Consequently, with the number of scattering reactions $N_{NRF}^{mon}$ and $N_{RSA}^{mon}$ in the monitor, a correction factor $f = N_{RSA}^{mon}/N_{NRF}^{mon}$ can be determined which allows for the correction of the atomic-attenuation effect. Additionally, the monitor corrects for variations of measuring times, photon-flux intensities, dead times, and other possible global normalisation factors. Consequently, the systematic uncertainties are drastically reduced.

The experimentally determined self absorption has to be compared to an analytically deduced expression of the self absorption $R_{\gamma,\Gamma}$ depending on $\Gamma_0$ and $\Gamma$ to extract these quantities. It is obtained via

$$R_{\gamma,\Gamma} = \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{d_1}^{d_2} \alpha(E,z) \cdot dEdz}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{d_1}^{d_2} \alpha(E,z) \cdot dEdz},$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)$$

where the resonance-absorption density $\alpha(E,z)$ is integrated over the effective length of the scatterer. It represents a measure of the probability for resonant absorption of a photon with energy $E$ at a penetration depth $z$ into the target. The quantities $d_1$ and $d_2$ denote the thickness of the absorber and the scatterer.

From a comparison of $R$ and $R_{\gamma,\Gamma}$, the ground-state transition width $\Gamma_0$ can be extracted. In combination with the result for $^{11}$B from the NRF experiment, the natural level width $\Gamma$ and subsequently the branching ratio $^{11}$B$/^{6}$Li to the ground state can be deduced, as well. During the analysis, the so-called Bayesian approach [15] and the principle of maximal information entropy [16] have been exploited. In this approach, probability-density functions of all quantities have consequently been used to propagate the uncertainties in the measurement. This approach allows also for the exclusion of non-physical parts of these distributions such as $R < 0$ or $^{11}$B$/^{6}$Li $> 1$. The results presented in the following are the most probable values of these probability-density functions together with the 68.3 % confidence interval surrounding it. However, these numbers should not be misinterpreted as classical Gaussian uncertainties.

3 High-Precision RSA Measurement on $^6$Li

Figure 1 shows the spectra that have been taken during the NRF and the RSA measurement on $^6$Li. The RSA spectrum has been normalised to the NRF spectrum exploiting a $^{11}$B monitoring target. Therefore, the peaks corresponding to transitions of $^{11}$B are equally high. The deviation between the measured intensities corresponding to the ground-state transition of the $0^+_1$ state of $^6$Li can be
exclusively attributed to resonant absorption. The self absorption of this level accounts to $R = 0.5193(15)$ corresponding to a relative uncertainty of only 0.3 %. For the measurements, Li$_2$CO$_3$ has been used as target material. However, the Debye temperature, a crucial ingredient of the conventional analysis of the RSA measurements, of $^6$Li in this chemical compound is not yet measured. Consequently, the corresponding $M1$ decay width cannot yet be determined. Nevertheless, independent of the actual Debye temperature the relative uncertainty of the decay width accounts to $\sim 1.1\%$ which demonstrates the high precision achievable with the RSA approach.

4 Decay Pattern of the PDR in $^{140}$Ce

From the RSA experiment on $^{140}$Ce, ground-state transition strengths as well as branching ratios to the ground state have been determined for 29 excited states in the energy regime of the PDR. The corresponding results are shown in Fig. 2 as a function of $\Gamma_0/\Gamma$, which is the result of the NRM measurement on $^{140}$Ce. Figure 2 (a) depicts the ground-state transition widths $\Gamma_0$. The green line corresponds to $\Gamma_0 = \Gamma_0/\Gamma$, i.e., $\Gamma_0/\Gamma = 1$. In most cases, the results for $\Gamma_0$ agree with this line within their 68.3 % confidence interval. Thus, they predominantly decay directly to the ground state. However, in particular the weaker excited states exhibit also values of $\Gamma_0$ that are larger than $\Gamma_0/\Gamma$ which means that they have a branching ratio to the ground state being smaller than one. Eventually, in a few cases, $\Gamma_0$ has been found to be significantly smaller than $\Gamma_0/\Gamma$ which would correspond to a non-physical branching ratio to the ground state being larger than one. Those cases may be explained when an observed peak in the measured spectra corresponds to two levels being located within such a close proximity to each other that they cannot be resolved with the given experimental resolution. In such a case, the self absorption of the supposedly single state with combined photon scattering cross section $\propto \Gamma_0^2/\Gamma_i' = \sum \Gamma_i^2/\Gamma_i$, would be overestimated as compared to the true self absorption values due to the individual widths $\Gamma_0 < \Gamma_i'$ resulting in a too large or even non-physical value for the would-be branching ratio $\Gamma_0/\Gamma_i'$.

The corresponding ground-state branching ratios $\Gamma_0/\Gamma_i$ are shown in Fig. 2 (b). The red circles correspond to a conventional analysis of the RSA experiment, whereas the blue squares illustrate the results of the Bayesian analysis approach. With this approach, the non-physical part $\Gamma_0/\Gamma_i > 1$ has been excluded. As a consequence, branching ratios being larger than one are shifted to the physically allowed region. The obtained data for $\Gamma_0/\Gamma_i$ show that the rather strongly excited states decay predominantly directly back to the ground state. In contrast, more weakly excited states exhibit smaller branching ratios to the ground state and, hence, also decay via intermediate states.

These observations provide a first detailed insight in the decay pattern of the pygmy dipole resonance and may be used for a comprehensive test of the nuclear statistical model in the energy regime of the PDR.
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