Comparative experimental study of dynamic compressive strength of mortar with glass and basalt fibres
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Abstract. Specimen reinforced with glass and basalt fibers were prepared using Standard Portland cement (CEM I, 52.5 R as prescribed by EN 197-1) and standard sand, in accordance with EN 196-1. From this cementitious mixture, a reference cement mortar without fibers was first prepared. Compressive strength, modulus of elasticity, and mod of fracture were determined for all specimens. Static and dynamic properties were investigated using Instron testing machine and split Hopkinson pressure bar, respectively. Content of the glass fibers in the mortar does not influence the fracture stress at static loading conditions in a clearly observed way. Moreover at dynamic range 5% content of the fiber results in a significant drop of fracture stress. Analysis of the basalt fibers influence on the fracture stress shows that optimal content of this reinforcement is equal to 3% for both static and dynamic loading conditions. Further increase of the fiber share gives the opposite effect, i.e. drop of the fracture stress.

1. Introduction

Concrete is considered as a brittle material. Its tensile strength is relatively low in comparison with compressive strength. In order to increase tensile properties of mortar reinforcement in a form of fibers may be included. As a consequence mortar matrix composite reinforced with fibers is created. Various fibres may be applied for the purposes of matrix strengthening, i.e. steel, glass, synthetic, basalt, carbon, organic. Diameter of reinforcement is usually within the range from 0.2 mm to 2 mm, whereas length is between 25 mm and 60 mm. Fibers are homogenously distributed in random directions inside the matrix, however there are some special solutions where fibers are placed along major directions.

Optimal content of reinforcement may substantially increase tensile strength of mortar composite, and slightly increase compressive behaviour. Moreover fiber presence may influence stress-strain behaviour of material. Since matrix material without reinforcement shows brittle behaviour, therefore fracture is rapid without capability to take a load after crack initiation. Addition of fiber may change this behaviour because after fracture of matrix reinforcement is responsible for carrying the load.

Basalt fibers has very good physical and mechanical properties therefore mortar based composites reinforced with this material are often used in special structures, i.e. nuclear reactors covers or high buildings elevations. Since high costs of basalt fibers application of this type of composites is limited. The second discussed material for reinforcement, i.e. glass fiber has lower tensile strength, higher elongation and three times lower costs in comparison with basalt one. However it is not corrosive resistant what substantially reduces its practical application.

Dynamic mechanical properties of fibre reinforced composites are described by compressive dynamic increase factor (CDIF) and tensile dynamic increase factor (TDIF). In order to determine high strain rate properties split Hopkinson pressure bar (SHPB) methodology is usually applied. This technique was applied to estimate compressive and bending strength of steel fibers reinforced mortar [1]. It was found that increase of steel fibers content up to 1.5% increases both compressive (from 51 MPa to 75 MPa) and bending strength (from 6 MPa to 10 MPa). It was also confirmed, that fiber addition prevents brittle fracture of composite. Dynamic tests [2] done with use of pre-tension Hopkinson bar show that high performance fibre reinforced concrete properties may be strongly affected by high temperature. It is extremely important in many civil engineering and military applications where structure resistance against dynamic loadings may be lowered by fire.

This work presents analysis of compressive strength of concrete reinforced by basalt and glass fibres under quasi-static and dynamic loading conditions.

2. Experimental method

Both types of specimen (reinforced with glass and basalt fibers) were prepared using Standard Portland cement (CEM I, 52.5 R as prescribed by EN 197-1) and standard sand, in accordance with EN 196-1. From this cementitious mixture, a reference cement mortar (water/cement ratio: 0.5) without fibers was first prepared. The fiber reinforced specimens were then prepared by adding a given fiber
Table 1. Flexural tensile and compressive strength of reference and fiber reinforced mortars obtained through static tests.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Flexural tensile strength [MPa]</th>
<th>Compressive strength [MPa]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reference mortar</td>
<td>6.57 ± 0.13</td>
<td>70.20 ± 1.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glass fiber 3%</td>
<td>7.78 ± 0.31</td>
<td>57.58 ± 2.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glass fiber 5%</td>
<td>8.37 ± 0.69</td>
<td>50.46 ± 2.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basalt fiber 3%</td>
<td>8.72 ± 0.97</td>
<td>57.15 ± 0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basalt fiber 5%</td>
<td>8.53 ± 0.63</td>
<td>52.10 ± 1.42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

content (in weight) of glass and basalt fibers to the cementitious mixture. Glass fibers and basalt fibers with same size (12 mm in length and 14 µm in diameter) were used, thus allowing to better compare the respective performance in fibre reinforced concrete under dynamic loading conditions, taking into account applications of those materials to protective structures. For the same reason, both types of specimens were prepared with same fiber content (3% and 5% in weight). Four different types of fiber reinforced mortar were hence obtained. Compressive strength, modulus of elasticity, and mod of fracture were determined for all specimens. Basic quasi-static parameters of tested materials are shown in Table 1. Static and dynamic properties of tested specimens were investigated experimentally using universal Instron 8802 testing machine equipped with Aramis measure system, and split Hopkinson pressure bar equipped in Phantom v1210 high speed camera, respectively. Quasi-static tests were carried out at strain rate equal to 0.005 1/s whereas dynamic tests were performed at strain rate equal to 800/s.

Split Hopkinson Pressure Bar [3–9], presented in Fig. 1, was equipped with incident and transmitter bars 20 mm in diameter and 2000 mm in length, which were made of high strength maraging steel, σₚ = 2100 MPa. The signals acquired from the strain gauges were amplified by the wideband bridge Vishay amplifier and digitized by an oscilloscope. The initial velocity of the striker, which was accelerated in a pressure gas launcher was measured by two sets of diodes and photo detectors coupled to a digital counter. Based on the waveforms recorded by a digital oscilloscope for transmitted εₜ (t) and reflected εᵣ (t) waves and the known cross sectional area of the bars A and the specimen Aₛ, the speed of the elastic wave propagation in the material of the bars C₀ and the test-piece length L, it is possible to determine stress σ(t), strain ε(t) and strain rate ˙ε(t) in the specimen using the following formulas:

ε(t) = E \left( \frac{A}{Aₛ} \right) \varepsilonₜ(t) \quad (1)

ε(t) = -\frac{2C₀}{L} \int \varepsilonᵣ(t)dt \quad (2)

˙ε(t) = \frac{d\varepsilon(t)}{dt} = -\frac{2C₀}{L} \varepsilonᵣ(t). \quad (3)

3. Results

The course of compression tests at quasi-static and dynamic loading conditions are shown if Figs. 2–6.

Additionally quasi-static results were analysed using digital image correlation method. It may be observed that damage mode changes with strain rate, i.e. at quasi-static loadings number of leading cracks is lower than at dynamic loading conditions. This behaviour is independent on tested material.

Figure 1. Split Hopkinson bar arrangement applied in the dynamic tests. 1 – digital oscilloscope, 2 – base, 3 – steel bars, 4 – pressure tank, 5 – tensometer bridge.

Figure 2. Deformation of reference mortar at (a) quasi-static loading conditions, (b) dynamic loading conditions.
Figure 3. Deformation of specimen reinforced with 3% of glass fiber at (a) quasi-static loading conditions, (b) dynamic loading conditions.

Figure 4. Deformation of specimen reinforced with 5% of glass fiber at (a) quasi-static loading conditions, (b) dynamic loading conditions.

Figure 5. Deformation of specimen reinforced with 3% of basalt fiber at (a) quasi-static loading conditions, (b) dynamic loading conditions.

Figure 6. Deformation of specimen reinforced with 5% of basalt fiber at (a) quasi-static loading conditions.

Figure 7. Compressive strength of tested materials at (a) quasi-static loading conditions, (b) dynamic loading conditions.

Figure 8. Influence of fiber content on the compressive strength of tested materials.
is equal to 50 MPa. Increase of strain rate up to 800/s induces increase of strength up to 100 MPa. Therefore dynamic strength is two time higher than quasi-static one. Fiber content equal to 3% gives strengthening effect at low at high strain rate deformation independently on fiber material. Further increase of fibre content results in opposite effect, i.e. drop of compressive strength.

Stress-strain curves of matrix and composites are presented in Figs. 9–12. It may be observed that in the dynamic loading condition damage has more rapid character than at quasi-static one. As a consequence stress value after reaching maximum value quickly drops to 0. Effect of load carrying by reinforcement fibers after crack initiation was not observed.

4. Summary

Analysis of the basalt and glass fibers influence on the fracture stress shows that optimal content of this reinforcement is equal to 3% for both static and dynamic loading conditions. Further increase of the fiber share gives the opposite effect, i.e. drop of the fracture stress.
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