

The general configuration-space Faddeev formalism for studying pd scattering

Vladimir M Suslov^{1,a}, Mikhail A Braun², Igor Filikhin¹, Ivo Slaus³, and Branislav Vlahovic¹

¹North Carolina Central University, 1801 Fayetteville St., Durham, NC, USA

²Dep. of High Energy physics, University of St. Petersburg, St. Petersburg, 199034 Russia

³Rudjer Boskovich Institute, Zagreb, 10000 Croatia

Abstract. The configuration-space Faddeev equations are derived for p-d scattering taking into account the difference in interaction between the participant particles. Appropriate modifications have been made in the well-known configuration-space equations for n-d scattering. To show the effect of these modifications, the s-wave calculations are performed for bound state and scattering problems. We model the charge symmetry breaking effect for ³H and ³He with a modified Malfliet-Tjon MT I-III potential. Results obtained for elastic n-d and p-d scattering at $E_{lab}=14.1$ MeV are compared with our prediction (Ref. [1]) and those of the Los-Alamos/Iowa group (Ref. [2]).

1 Introduction

The isotopic formalism was developed for the study of neutron-deuteron scattering in the framework of the configuration space Faddeev equations (Ref. [3]). Charge-independence breaking in the three-nucleon system was investigated in elastic neutron-deuteron and breakup processes (Ref. [4]). Here we study proton-deuteron scattering. Presence of the electromagnetic interaction requires one to consider the neutron and proton to be different particles and precludes literal use of the isotopic formalism of (Ref. [3]). So the FNNM equations used in (Ref. [5]) have to be changed.

Taking the neutron as particle 1 and protons as particles 2 and 3 we have the requirement $\Psi(1, 2, 3) = -\Psi(1, 3, 2)$. To satisfy this condition, we present Ψ in terms of Faddeev components as

$$\Psi(1, 2, 3) = \Phi_1(1, 2, 3) + \Phi_2(2, 3, 1) - \Phi_2(3, 2, 1), \quad (1)$$

where it is understood that in $\Phi(i, k, l)$ particles are grouped as $i + (kl)$ and Φ_1 is antisymmetric in the last pair of arguments: $\Phi_1(1, 2, 3) = -\Phi_1(1, 3, 2)$. As function $\Phi_2(2, 3, 1)$ has no definite properties under interchange $3 \leftrightarrow 1$ we encounter permutations which are not cyclic $P_{12}(231) = (321)$, $P_{13}(123) = (321)$. In terms of these operators and operators P^\pm we obtain for the independent components Φ_1 and Φ_2 a system

$$\begin{aligned} (E - \Delta - v_1(2, 3))\Phi_1(1, 2, 3) &= v_1(2, 3)(P^- \Phi_2(1, 2, 3) - P_{13}\Phi_2(1, 2, 3)), \\ (E - \Delta - v_2(3, 1))\Phi_2(2, 3, 1) &= v_2(3, 1)(P^+ \Phi_1(2, 3, 1) - P_{12}\Phi_2(2, 3, 1)). \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

^ae-mail: vsuslov@ncu.edu

Here $v_1(2, 3)$ is a sum of the Coulomb and nuclear potentials and $v_2(3, 1)$ is the pure nuclear potential:

$$v_1(2, 3) = v_c(2, 3) + v_{pp}(2, 3), \quad v_2(3, 1) = v_{pn}(3, 1). \quad (3)$$

The final pair of equations is essentially the same as for the nd case with changes described above.

$$\begin{aligned} \left[E + \frac{\hbar^2}{m} (\partial_{x_1}^2 + \partial_{y_1}^2) - v_\alpha^{ll}(x_1, y_1) \right] \Phi_{1,\alpha}^{\lambda_0, s_0, M_0}(x_1, y_1) &= \sum_{\beta} \left[v_c(x_1) + v_{pp}(x_1) \right]_{\alpha\beta} \left[\Phi_{1,\beta}^{\lambda_0, s_0, M_0}(x_1, y_1) + \right. \\ &\left. \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^1 du \sum_{\gamma} \left(g_{\beta\gamma}^{(-)}(y_1/x_1, u) \Phi_{2,\gamma}^{\lambda_0, s_0, M_0}(x_2, y_2) - g_{\beta\gamma}^{(13)}(y_1/x_1, u) \Phi_{3,\gamma}^{\lambda_0, s_0, M_0}(x_3, y_3) \right) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where $(x_2, y_2) = P^-(x_1, y_1)$ and $(x_3, y_3) = P_{13}(x_1, y_1)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \left[E + \frac{\hbar^2}{m} (\partial_{x_2}^2 + \partial_{y_2}^2) - v_\alpha^{ll}(x_2, y_2) \right] \Phi_{2,\alpha}^{\lambda_0, s_0, M_0}(x_2, y_2) &= \sum_{\beta} \left[v_{pn}(x_2) \right]_{\alpha\beta} \left[\Phi_{2,\beta}^{\lambda_0, s_0, M_0}(x_2, y_2) + \right. \\ &\left. \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^1 du \sum_{\gamma} \left(g_{\beta\gamma}^{(+)}(y_2/x_2, u) \Phi_{1,\gamma}^{\lambda_0, s_0, M_0}(x_1, y_1) - g_{\beta\gamma}^{(12)}(y_2/x_2, u) \Phi_{3,\gamma}^{\lambda_0, s_0, M_0}(x_3, y_3) \right) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where $(x_1, y_1) = P^+(x_2, y_2)$ and $(x_3, y_3) = P_{12}(x_2, y_2)$. In these formulas the multi index $\alpha = \{l, \sigma, j, s, \lambda\}$, $g_{\alpha\alpha'}^{(\pm)}$ and $g_{\alpha\alpha'}^{(ik)}$ are representatives of the operators $2P^\pm$ and $2P_{ik}$ in the MGL basis (Ref. [6]).

2 s-wave approach. Elastic scattering

In the s -wave approach there exists a single equation in the spin-quartet case for quantum state $\alpha = \{0, 1, 1, 3/2, 0\}$ and our new results for n-d and p-d elastic amplitudes at $E_{lab}=14.1$ MeV calculated with the Malfliet-Tjon MT-I-III potential do not practically differ from our predictions (Ref. [1]) and those of the Los-Alamos/Iowa group (Ref. [2]) and we do not present them here. However in the spin-doublet case there exist three equations for quantum states $\alpha_1 = \{0, 0, 0, 1/2, 0\}$ and $\alpha_2 = \{0, 1, 1, 1/2, 0\}$, one for Φ_{1,α_1} and two for Φ_{2,α_i} , ($i=1,2$). For the ppn system, a set of equations is written as:

$$\begin{aligned} \left[E + \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} (\partial_{x_1}^2 + \partial_{y_1}^2) - v_q^{00} \right] \Phi_{1,\alpha_1}(x_1, y_1) &= [v^c(x_1) + v_{pp}(x_1)]_{\alpha_1\alpha_1} \left[\Phi_{1,\alpha_1}(x_1, y_1) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^1 du h_{0000}^0(y_1/x_1, u) \right. \\ &\times \left[\left(-\frac{1}{2} \Phi_{2,\alpha_1}(x_2, y_2) - \frac{1}{2} \Phi_{2,\alpha_1}(x_3, y_3) \right) + \left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \Phi_{2,\alpha_2}(x_2, y_2) + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \Phi_{2,\alpha_2}(x_3, y_3) \right) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left[E + \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} (\partial_{x_2}^2 + \partial_{y_2}^2) - v_q^{00} \right] \Phi_{2,\alpha_1}(x_2, y_2) &= [v_{pn}(x_2)]_{\alpha_1\alpha_1} \left[\Phi_{2,\alpha_1}(x_2, y_2) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^1 du h_{0000}^0(y_2/x_2, u) \right. \\ &\left. \left(-\frac{1}{2} \Phi_{1,\alpha_1}(x_1, y_1) - \frac{1}{2} \Phi_{2,\alpha_1}(x_3, y_3) - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \Phi_{2,\alpha_2}(x_3, y_3) \right) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left[E + \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} (\partial_{x_2}^2 + \partial_{y_2}^2) - v_q^{00} \right] \Phi_{2,\alpha_2}(x_2, y_2) &= [v_{pn}(x_2)]_{\alpha_2\alpha_2} \left[\Phi_{2,\alpha_2}(x_2, y_2) \right. \\ &\left. + \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^1 du h_{0000}^0(y_2/x_2, u) \left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \Phi_{1,\alpha_1}(x_1, y_1) - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \Phi_{2,\alpha_1}(x_3, y_3) + \frac{1}{2} \Phi_{2,\alpha_2}(x_3, y_3) \right) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

In the s -wave approach the functions $g_{\alpha\alpha'}^{(\pm)}$ and $g_{\alpha\alpha'}^{(ik)}$ are reduced to functions $h_{0000}^0(y_i/x_i, u) = x_i y_i / (x_k y_k)$. Here (x_k, y_k) are the coordinates of the integrand components $\Phi_{1,2}$ and ($k \neq i$).

In the deuteron domain (x_2 finite, $y_2 \rightarrow \infty$) the asymptotic condition for the component Φ_2 corresponding to elastic channel:

$$\Phi_{2,\alpha_2}^{0,1/2,1/2}(x_2, y_2) \sim \left\{ \delta_{\sigma 1} \delta_{J 1} e^{i\Delta_0^c} F_0^c(qy_2) + e^{-i\Delta_0^c} \left(G_0^c(qy_2) + iF_0^c(qy_2) \right) a_{01/2,01/2}^{1/2} \right\} \psi_l(x_2). \quad (9)$$

In formula (9) $F_0(qy_2)$ and $G_0(qy_2)$ are the regular and irregular Coulomb functions and $\psi_l(x_2)$ is the s -wave component of the deuteron wave function ($l = 0$). Amplitudes Φ_{1,α_1} and Φ_{2,α_1} have zero initial conditions and zero elastic asymptotics.

In the breakup domain we have for Φ_{1,α_1} and $\Phi_{2,\alpha_{1,2}}$ the asymptotics:

$$\Phi_{1,\alpha_1}^{0,1/2} \sim e^{-W_1(\theta_1)} A_{1,\alpha_1}^{0,1/2}(\theta_1), \quad \Phi_{2,\alpha_{1,2}}^{0,1/2} \sim \mathcal{E}_0 A_{2,\alpha_{1,2}}^{0,1/2}(\theta_2), \quad \mathcal{E}_0 = 2\pi \int_{-1}^1 du e^{iW_2(\hat{\mathbf{x}}_2, \hat{\mathbf{y}}_2)}, \quad u = \cos(\hat{\mathbf{x}}_2 \hat{\mathbf{y}}_2). \quad (10)$$

In these formulas the Coulomb distorted phases W_1 and W_2 are as following

$$W_1(\theta) = -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{E}} \frac{me^2}{\hbar^2} \frac{\ln(2\sqrt{EX})}{\cos\theta}, \quad \cos\theta = \frac{x_1}{X}, \quad (11)$$

and

$$W_2(\hat{\mathbf{x}}_2, \hat{\mathbf{y}}_2) = -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{E}} \frac{me^2}{\hbar^2} \frac{X}{|\mathbf{x}_2/2 + \sqrt{3}\mathbf{y}_2/2|} \ln(2\sqrt{EX}), \quad X = \sqrt{x_i^2 + y_i^2}. \quad (12)$$

The two doublet amplitudes are given as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{\alpha_1}(\theta_2) &= A_{2,\alpha_1}(\theta_2) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^1 du h_{0000}^0(y_2/x_2, u) \\ &\left(g_{11} A_{1,\alpha_1}(\theta_1) - g_{11}^{(12)} A_{2,\alpha_1}(\theta_3) - g_{12}^{(12)} A_{2,\alpha_2}(\theta_3) \right) \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{\alpha_2}(\theta_2) &= A_{2,\alpha_2}(\theta_2) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^1 du h_{0000}^0(y_2/x_2, u) \\ &\left(g_{21} A_{1,\alpha_1}(\theta_1) - g_{21}^{(12)} A_{2,\alpha_1}(\theta_3) - g_{22}^{(12)} A_{2,\alpha_2}(\theta_3) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Equations (6 - 8) violate the isospin symmetry because of distinctions between nn , pp and np forces. The charge asymmetry is obtained by allowing the strengths of the central nn and pp forces to be different from np one. We modify MT-I-III potentials to reproduce singlet n - n and p - p scattering data by scaling the pn potential by factors 0.982 and 0.9745 for nn and pp , respectively. While MT-I-III uses for a_{np} , a_{nn} and a_{pp} the value of scattering length is -23.5 fm, we modify the potential to produce their scattering lengths to agreement with experimental data (Ref. [10]).

The accuracy of this adjustment procedure is checked by calculating binding energies for ^3H and ^3He using MT-I-III potential and its modifications. Our new results and previous ones from Ref. [7] and Ref. [8] obtained in isospin formalism are given in table 1. Our new results calculated applying a new set of three Faddeev equations with modified MT-I-III NN potentials are in a good agreement with those from Ref. [7] and Ref. [8]. Results for our Coulomb energy ΔB_c and CSB energy $\Delta B(\text{CSB})$ are given in table 1.

In the s -wave approach the value of ΔB_c is 661 keV slightly different from the result of 693 keV (Ref. [9]). Our result for the charge-symmetry breaking energy $\Delta B(\text{CSB})$ is 61 keV close to 71 keV evaluated by Miller et al. [10]. Our new results for phase shifts and elasticities for n -

Table 1. $B(^3\text{H})$ and $B(^3\text{He})$ binding energies (in MeV), the Coulomb energy ΔB_c (in keV), CSB effect for energy $\Delta B(\text{CSB})$ (in keV). The results of Ref. [7] (Ref. [8]) are given in brackets (square brackets). m_n (m_p) is the mass of neutron (proton).

		m_n	m_p	$B(^3\text{H})$	$B(^3\text{He})$	ΔB_c	$\Delta B(\text{CSB})$
MT-I-III		939.0	939.0	8.545			
		–	–	(8.535)	(7.868)		
		–	–	[8.54]	[7.88]		
		939.565	938.272	8.548	7.882		
Modified MT-I-III	nn	–	–	8.396	7.735	661	
	pp	–	–		7.674	–	61

Table 2. Spin-doublet case. n-d and p-d elastic shifts and inelasticities at $E_{lab}=14.1$ MeV.

	n - d			p - d		
	MT-I-III	[1]	modified MT-I-III	MT-I-III	[1]	modified MT-I-III
$\delta(\text{deg})$	106.16	105.47	105.56	111.05	108.06	110.76
η	0.4653	0.4649	0.4744	0.533	0.4929	0.536

and p-d breakup scattering at $E_{lab}=14.1$ MeV calculated assuming the neutrons and protons to be distinguishable particles are presented in table 2. One concludes that CSB is visible in phase shifts and inelasticities for neutron-deuteron and proton-deuteron scattering at $E_{lab}=14.1$ MeV. However these results have been obtained applying partly artificial procedure for constructing s -wave singlet nn and pp components of MT-I-III potential. Therefore we are currently extending our studies using the charge dependent AV18 NN potential.

Acknowledgements

This work is supported by the NSF (HRD-1345219).

References

- [1] V.M. Suslov and B. Vlahovic, Phys. Rev. C **69** (2004) 044003.
- [2] J.L. Friar, G.L. Payne, W. Glöckle, D. Hüber, and H. Witala, Phys. Rev. C **51** (1995) 2356.
- [3] A.A. Kvitsinsky, Yu.A. Kuperin, S.P. Merkuriev, A.K. Motovilov, S.L. Yakovlev, EChYa, 17 (1986) 267-317.
- [4] H. Witala, W. Glöckle, and H. Kamada, Phys. Rev. C **43** (1991) 1619.
- [5] V.M. Suslov, M.A. Braun, I. Filikhin, B. Vlahovic, I. Slaus, Few-Body Syst. (2011) 50; 267.
- [6] S.P. Merkuriev, C. Gignoux, A. Laverne, Annals of Physics **99**, 30-71 (1976).
- [7] J.L. Friar, B.F. Gibson, G.L. Payne, Phys. Rev. C **24** (1981) 2279.
- [8] J. Bernabeu, V.M. Suslov, T.A. Strizh, S.I. Vinitzky, Hyperfine interaction 110/102 (1996) 391-399
- [9] S.A. Coon and R.C. Barrett, Phys. Rev. C **36** (1987) 2189.
- [10] G.A. Miller and W.T.H. van Oers, arXiv:nucl-th/9409013