Measurement and calculation of neutron leakage spectra from slab samples of beryllium, gallium and tungsten irradiated with 14.8 MeV neutrons
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Abstract. In order to make benchmark validation of the nuclear data for gallium (Ga), tungsten (W) and beryllium (Be) in existing modern evaluated nuclear data files, neutron leakage spectra in the range from 0.8 to 15 MeV from slab samples were measured by time-of-flight technique with a BC501 scintillation detector. The measurements were performed at China Institute of Atomic Energy (CIAE) using a D-T neutron source. The thicknesses of the slabs were 0.5 to 2.5 mean free path for 14.8 MeV neutrons, and the measured angles were chosen to be 60° and 120°. The measured spectra were compared with those calculated by the continuous energy Monte-Carlo transport code MCNP, using the data from the CENDL-3.1, ENDF/B-VII.1 and JENDL-4.0 nuclear data files, the comparison between the experimental and calculated results show that: The results from all three libraries significantly underestimate the cross section in energy range of 10–13 MeV for Ga; For W, the calculated spectra using data from CENDL-3.1 and JENDL-4.0 libraries show larger discrepancies with the measured ones, especially around 8.5–13.5 MeV; and for Be, all the libraries led to underestimation below 3 MeV at 120°.

1. Experiments and calculations

1.1. Experiments

The measurements were performed by using the benchmark experimental facility at China Institute of Atomic Energy (CIAE). A schematic view of the experimental arrangement is shown in Fig. 1, which was described in detail earlier in Reference [4].

Due to a very low melting point (29.78 °C) and a very high boiling point (2229 °C), Ga becomes a candidate element in Chinese Initiative Accelerator Driven Systems (CIADS) project for liquid–metallic coolant [1]. W is one of the most promising candidate for spallation targets and other structural materials of CIADS project, as well as an important material in fission and fusion devices [2]. Be is another important material in the fission and fusion devices for multiplying neutrons in the core of fission research reactors and in the blankets of Deuteron-Tritium (D-T) fusion reactors [3]. The accuracy of nuclear data files for Ga, W and Be is very important for nuclear device design. The purpose of this work is to validate currently available modern nuclear data files, especially the CENDL-3.1 file, by carrying out benchmark experiment.
Be were taken from CENDL-3.1 [5], ENDF/B-VII.1 [6] and JENDL-4.0 [7]. The data of other materials were all taken from the ENDF/B-VII.1. A point detector estimator was used to tally the leakage neutron time of flight spectra for comparing with the measured ones. The calculations for two angles of about 60° and 120° were performed with the model shown in Fig. 2. The left sample was filled with air when the simulation was performed for 60°, while the right sample was filled with air for 120° simulation. For the background simulation, both samples were filled with air. The neutron histories adopted were 10^9 and the statistical uncertainties of each time bin were smaller than 1%.

In the MCNP simulations, the detailed experimental parameters were taken into account. These included the neutron energy distribution produced on the thick target, the angle dependent energy distribution of the source neutrons, the neutron detection efficiency, and the time structure of the pulsed beam (TME). Both of the angular distribution and angle dependent energy distribution of the source neutrons were calculated by the TARGET code [8]. The value of TME variable was defined by using experimentally measured time of flight spectra of source neutrons by the monitor.

2. Results and discussion

2.1. Ga

The neutron leakage spectra for Ga calculated with the evaluated data files comparing with the measured ones at 60° and 120° are shown in Fig. 3. From these comparison, we can conclude that:

1. In the 13–15 MeV neutron energy range, a sharp elastic peak is observed. All the calculated results using these three libraries have large discrepancies with the experimental ones.

2. In the 10–13 MeV neutron energy range, a small, but clear peak is observed. The contribution originates from discrete level of inelastic scatterings ((n,n'')d) and all MCNP simulations using the three libraries do not show a peak as the experimental data do.

3. In the 3–10 MeV neutron energy range, the contribution comes from the continuous level of inelastic scattering ((n,n'')C). For 60°, the calculated spectra with the CENDL-3.1 are overestimated about 15%. For 120°, all the calculated spectra agree well with the experiment.

4. In the 0.8–3 MeV neutron energy range, in which most of the contribution comes from the (n, 2n) reaction channel. The calculated spectra with the ENDF/B-VII.1 are overestimated about 20% at 60° and 10% at 120°.

2.2. W

The neutron leakage spectra from W calculated with the evaluated data files comparing with the measured ones at 60° and 120° are shown in Fig. 4. From these results, the following observations are made:

1. In the 12.5–15 MeV neutron energy range, the major contribution comes from the elastic scattering. The calculated elastic scattering peak with the evaluated data of the JENDL-4.0 libraries is higher than the experimental one, while those of the ENDF/B-VII.1 and CENDL-3.1 libraries are underestimated.

2. In the 7–12.5 MeV neutron energy range, the calculated spectra with the ENDF/B-VII.1 give agreement with the experimental ones at 60° and 120°, but those with the JENDL-4.0 and CENDL-3.1 are largely underestimated.
3. In the 3–7 MeV neutron energy range, the results from the ENDF/B-VII.1 and JENDL-4.0 show overestimation at 60°.

4. Below 3 MeV, the calculated spectra with the ENDF/B-VII.1 and JENDL-4.0 libraries reproduce the experimental ones, while those with the CENDL-3.1 library cause overestimation at 60°. At 120°, the results from the CENDL-3.1 give agreement with the experimental ones, while those with the JENDL-4.0 and ENDF/B-VII.1 are underestimated.

2.3. Be

The neutron leakage spectra calculated with the evaluated data files comparing with the measured ones at 61° and 121° are shown in Fig. 5. From the comparison, we can conclude that:

1. In the 12–15 MeV range at 61°, and 9.5–13 MeV range at 121°, the calculated neutron spectra with all libraries agree well with the experiment.

2. In the 2.8–12 MeV range at 61°, most contribution comes from the (n,2n) reaction, the calculated spectra with the CENDL-3.1 show seriously overestimation.

3. In the 0.8–2.8 MeV range at 121°, all the calculated neutron spectra show a significant underestimation, around 20%, especially the ENDF/B-VII.1.

3. Discussion

In order to find the source of these discrepancies between the calculated results and the experimental ones, the reaction cross sections, the secondary neutron energy spectra and the cross section angular distributions from the CENDL-3.1, ENDF/B-VII.1 and JENDL-4.0 nuclear data files were compared.

For Ga, the values of (n, n′d) cross section and the elastic scattering angular distribution should be improved in all libraries. The (n,n′)C cross section, as shown in Table 1, the value is much higher for 69Ga in the CENDL-3.1, which causes the CENDL-3.1 overestimated in the 3–10 MeV energy range.

For W, as shown in Fig. 6, the energy distribution of (n,n′)C reaction in the CENDL-3.1 is significantly lower than that in the ENDF/B-VII.1 from 7 to 13 MeV, which cause the CENDL-3.1 underestimated at this energy range. The contributions of the (n, 2n) reaction in the ENDF/B-VII.1 and JENDL-4.0 are considerably higher than CENDL-3.1 from 3 to 7 MeV, which cause the ENDF/B-VII.1 and JENDL-4.0 overestimated at this energy range.

For Be, the secondary neutron emission spectra are mainly contributed by the (n, 2n) reaction except elastic scattering. As shown in Fig. 7, the neutron energy spectra of the (n,2n) reactions those retrieved from the CENDL3.1 and JENDL4.0 are quite different. The double differential cross section data of CENDL-3.1 are treated as many inelastic scattering peaks overlap with a continuum part. Therefore many peaks can be seen in the calculated spectra.

4. Summary

Benchmark experiments to validate the existing evaluated nuclear data files for Ga, W and Be were conducted through time-of-flight spectra measurement in the energy range between 0.8 and 15 MeV. The main purpose of these experiments was to check the evaluated nuclear data in the CENDL-3.1 file. Through the experiment, we can conclude that: the elastic scattering angular distribution, the (n, n′d) cross section and (n, n′)C cross section for Ga, the energy distribution of (n, n′)C reaction, the (n, n′d) cross section and (n, n′)C cross section for W, the energy distribution of (n,2n) reaction for Be, these data in the CENDL-3.1 should be improved.
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