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Abstract. The recent observational, theoretical, and numerical progress made in understanding stellar magnetism is discussed. Particularly, this review will cover the physical processes thought to be at the origin of these magnetic fields and their variability, namely dynamo action arising from the interaction between convection, rotation, radiation and magnetic fields. Some care will be taken to cover recent analytical advances regarding the dynamics and magnetism of radiative interiors, including some thoughts on the role of a tachocline. Moreover, recent and rapidly advancing numerical modeling of convective dynamos will be discussed, looking at rapidly rotating convective systems, grand minima and scaling laws for magnetic field strength. These topics are linked to observations or their observational implications.

1 Introduction

Whence came the crossroads? With HMI’s new additions to helioseismology, Kepler’s magnificent asteroseismic capabilities, and numerical breakthroughs with dynamo simulations, the last five years have been an exciting time during which the community has uncovered a few new plot twists in the mystery that is the dynamics occurring within stars.

One such mystery that has long dogged astrophysicists has been the source of the Sun’s magnetism and its variability. While pieces of this mystery appear to be solved, there are still many vexing details that are left unexplained, such as why the cycle period is 22 years and why it varies in both duration and amplitude. Moreover, why surface magnetic features migrate toward the pole and equator as the cycle advances is still not clearly determined. Also, a long-running debate within the stellar community has been what is the precise role of a tachocline, which is the region where there likely is a distinct change in a star’s rotation profile near the boundaries of its convective zones. In particular, the term tachocline originates from observations of the Sun’s differential rotation [1], as shown in Figure 1(a), where the latitudinal differential rotation in its convective exterior is seen to transition to a latitudinally-uniform rotation in its convectively-stable, radiation-dominated interior. Part of the debate resides in a tachocline’s role in a dynamo, being whether or not it influences the duration of the cycle period or the even-odd cycle parity [2]. Another piece of the debate regards the role of turbulent diffusion processes in homogenizing the rotation profile [3], as well as its apparent paradoxical ability to prevent the spread of the differential rotation into the stable region [4]. Some of these topics have begun to be addressed in global-scale dynamo simulations, as well as having been scrutinized both analytically and in local-scale simulations, as will be discussed in §4.

One such mystery was lurking in the large set of Kepler data for red giants. When parsed in the diction of asteroseismology, this data yields the measurement of many mixed modes. These modes behave like an acoustic wave (p-mode) near the stellar surface, but change their character to buoyancy-driven gravity waves (g-modes) within the convectively-stable core of those red giants [e.g., 11, 12], where these convectively-excited modes evanescently tunnel into the core. These modes can thus provide both information about the envelope and the core of the red giant, such as identifying the current evolutionary state of the star [e.g., 13, 14], as well as assessing the relative differential rotation of these two zones [e.g., 15, 16]. The enigma hidden in this data was the question: why are the
dipole mixed modes suppressed for some red giants and not for others? An astute prediction proposes that this effect is due to the magnetic field present within and near the core of red giants, being dubbed the magnetic “greenhouse effect” [17,18]. Thus, Kepler observations have revealed the asteroseismic traces of the remnants of potentially very strong magnetic fields that were earlier built deep within the convective core of intermediate mass stars, such as the F-type and A-type stars. Such dynamos will be the topic of §7.

2 Magnetism Across the HR-Diagram

The physics underlying the generation of magnetic fields in astrophysical objects, including stars, appears to be quite generic, with an interaction of plasma motion and large-scale rotation playing key roles. In stars, the most vigorous motions occur in their convective regions. Massive stars have a convective core below a radiative exterior, whereas stars less massive than about 1.6 $M_\odot$ have an outer convective envelope above a radiative zone, and the lowest mass stars are fully convective. The magnetic field generated in those regions is largely responsible for the majority of the observed magnetic phenomena in, or above, the stellar photosphere such as starspots, a chromosphere, coronal plasma, flares, and coronal mass ejections. The detailed study of our nearest star, the Sun, has provided at least a framework for interpreting the X-ray emissions of other cool stars [19]. Indeed, most cool stars, except cool giant stars, have been found to exhibit characteristics grossly similar to what we know from the Sun. Most of these stars have variable X-ray emission at temperatures of at least 1-2 MK and occasional flaring.

Some of the behavior exhibited by low, intermediate, and high mass stars is displayed in Figure 2. There appear to be three distinct mass (or luminosity) ranges where there are changes in the power law relationship between X-ray luminosity ($L_X$) and bolometric luminosity as in Figure 2(a). The $L_X$-Mass ratio in Figure 2(b) shows that the intermediate mass range between the low-mass A-type stars and the higher-mass B-type stars has $L_X \propto M$, which may be due to strong shocks in their winds. When scaled by $L_{bol}$, as in Figure 2(c), two other regimes become evident, with one for the lower mass stars and another for the massive O-type stars where $L_X \propto L_{bol}$. The lower mass stars have a relatively high level of X-ray emission, whereas the relative X-ray emission declines significantly with higher mass and becomes nearly constant beyond about 16 $M_\odot$.

The precise nature of the physical processes driving these changes in X-ray emission is not known. Though, as always, there are a few hints about what might be occurring. For instance, the saturation of $L_X$ at low masses is potentially a result of the strong magnetic confinement of their winds or a saturation of those stars dynamos, both of which could be due to the rapid rotation of the star. The saturation for higher mass stars may result from two mechanisms: one could be dynamo action in the Fe- and He-opacity bump convection zones; the other may be due to changing properties of the winds and mass loss for the O-type stars. It may be possible to disentangle these processes through asteroseismic measurements of these higher-mass stars, looking for a shift from a dominance of g-modes to p-modes.

3 Magnetic Activity-Rotation Correlation

William Herschel was the first to extend the contemporary idea of the rotation of the Sun and the planets to other stars [20]. In fact, he went so far as to attribute the periodic light curves that he observed for other stars to star spots.
Rotating in and out of the line-of-sight [21]. Eighty years later, the first Doppler measurements of the Sun [22] were made confirming its rotation. Shortly thereafter and using similar techniques, studies of stellar rotation shed light on two regimes of stellar rotation along the main-sequence that depends upon mass [e.g., 23, 24]. In particular, they found that stars more massive than about 1.6 $M_\odot$ rotate fairly rapidly at an average speed of around 140 km s$^{-1}$ with a slow increase with mass and reaching a plateau above about 10 $M_\odot$. Stars less massive than 1.6 $M_\odot$, on the other hand, show a steep decline in $v \sin i$ with decreasing mass. There is a distribution of their projected equatorial rotation speed ($v \sin i$) about this mean, as the statistics in the above references show and as can be seen in Figure 3.

Spectroscopic surveys of nearby field stars and clusters reveal a potential reason for the rapid decline of a star’s rotational period with age. Based on observations of chromospheric lines in young clusters and old field stars [e.g., 26], it is apparent that magnetic activity declines with age. Indeed, the observational link between rotation, convection, and magnetic activity was described in [24], where an attempt is made to ascertain why stars less massive than about 1.5 $M_\odot$ rotate more slowly than more massive stars. A direct link between these physical processes is made when employing concepts pioneered in dynamo theory [2, 27]. As such, it is posited that the loss of an outer convection zone leads to an inability to generate a strong, large-scale magnetic field that would otherwise efficiently brake a star’s rotation. Though, this logic would not hold for the most massive stars as they too have outer convection zones, however their relatively short lives lead to less time for wind-related breaking on the main-sequence even if their mass-loss rate is greater.

For lower-mass stars, the link between age, magnetic activity, and rotation rate was put into perspective and formulated into what is now widely accepted as the “Sukmanich $t^{-1/2}$ law” for the decay of a star’s rotation rate and chromospheric magnetic activity [28]. In particular, two regimes of dynamo behavior seem apparent along the main sequence. As the star spins down, there is a transition between two regimes of chromospheric activity, with a sparsely populated gap between them dubbed the “Vaughan-Preston Gap” [e.g., 29]. This can be seen most easily in Figure 3, which shows the rotation period versus mass for several clusters of increasing age.

The relationship between stellar rotation and proxies of magnetic activity remains an important diagnostic of the dynamo processes likely responsible for stellar magnetism in low mass stars. Chromospheric variability measured in Ca II, and other chromospheric lines, as well as the X-ray observations that probe stellar coronae, indicate that there is a monotonic increase in activity with rotation rate. This increase is halted as the rotation rate reaches a critical point, after which either these indicators of magnetic activity or these star’s dynamos become saturated. Indeed,
both coronal and chromospheric activity measures show such a saturation (Figure 4), though it is more prominent in the X-ray observations.

The first tentative connection between X-ray luminosity and rotation was established by [32], reporting that X-ray luminosity scaled as $L_X \propto (v \sin i)^{3.9}$ for low mass stars and that the X-ray luminosities of higher mass stars depends only on the bolometric luminosity. The scaling with rotation for low mass stars suggested that, like the Sun, X-ray emitting coronal magnetic activity is linked to the rotationally-constrained convection that drives the magnetic dynamo running in the outer convection zones of these stars, whereas more massive stars without such an outer convection zone likely produce X-rays primarily through shocks in their powerful winds. With more data, the nearly quadratic proportionality between $L_X$ and $v \sin i$ was found to have a limited domain of applicability, since the ratio of X-ray to bolometric luminosity reaches a peak saturation of about $10^{-3}$ that is effectively independent of rotation rate and spectral type [e.g., 33–35]. The rotational period at which this saturation level is reached, however, does depend upon spectral type, with lower mass stars reaching this state at longer periods when compared to higher mass stars (Figure 4a). This can be attributed to the decrease in the vigor of the convection as one moves down the range of masses [36].

It is unclear whether the constancy of the X-ray luminosity below a threshold rotation period is derived of a fundamental limit on the dynamo itself [e.g. 33], a quenching arising from the pervasion of strong magnetic structures across much of the stellar surface [33], or a centrifugal stripping of the corona caused by the high rotation rates [37]. There could also be changes in the X-ray production mechanisms as well as their X-ray spectral content. However, recent work has aimed at modelling the coupling of a simple dynamo to a wind model, with the aim of describing this transition through fairly simple physics [38, 39].

Characteristics similar to the X-ray flux also hold for chromospheric measures of magnetic activity as can be seen in Figure 4. This figure shows the ratio of chromospheric Ca H and K luminosity $L_{\text{HK}}^d/d$ to bolometric luminosity for a sample of main-sequence stars ranging in mass between 0.3 and 1.6 $M_\odot$, with data from [30] and [31]. The ratio of X-ray luminosity and bolometric luminosity, also plotted with data from [10], has a much greater dynamic range, spanning six orders of magnitude compared to the roughly 1.5 orders of magnitude for the Ca H and K observations. Yet both show an increase of activity with decreasing rotation period and an eventual saturation. It is of note that the chromospheric activity seems to saturate at longer periods and that there are few stars that are rotating rapidly that show Ca H and K emission, at least in this sample.

If we now separate the X-ray observations by spectral type, the trends become more obvious as in Figure 5(a) for the G-type stars. Speaking broadly, the low mass stars of K, G, and F-type share similar characteristics in their X-ray emission involving increasing emission with more rapid rotation and a regime of saturated emission. What differs between them is the rapidity of the onset of this state of constant emission with rotation, the rotation period at which it saturates, and the maximum luminosity. The maximum luminosity seems to be determined by the bolometric luminosity, as surmised from Figure 4 where the data collapse onto the same fit. The rotation period at which it saturates may be related to the maximum achievable dynamo action, wherein the dynamo can no longer extract energy from the star’s differential rotation due to strong Lorentz feedbacks.

The rate of increase of X-ray emission with decreasing rotation period suggests a direct link to the Rossby number of the flows within these stars in that it measures the efficiency of the interaction of rotation and convection in building both the differential rotation and the magnetic field. In particular, there appears to be a change in the
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**Figure 5:** X-ray observations are shown for individual stars that are binned by their spectral type, which cover a range of rotation periods and masses. X-ray luminosity ($L_X$) normalized by the solar value and displayed with rotation period in days as abscissa. The color indicates a star’s mass within the spectral type. (a) Spectral type G stars, with the Sun’s position indicated. (b) Spectral type M stars, with stars above 0.3 $M_\odot$ as starred symbols and those below this mass as diamonds. The dotted lines indicate fits to the data for the scaling with period, the saturation value, and the rotation period corresponding to the beginning of the saturation (Constructed with data from [10]).
slope of the decrease of X-ray emission with rotation period, from 1.7 to 2.5 between the F and K-type stars. This may indicate that the K-type stars have the most efficient conversion of kinetic to magnetic energy. An interesting feature of stars about the mass of the Sun, namely the G and F-type stars, is that there seems to be a regime of super-saturation with the X-ray luminosity decreasing at the rate of greatest rotation, which is a topic of ongoing research. What is also evident from all of these observations is that the Sun, while thought of as a magnetically active and robustly influential object, is in fact hundreds of times less active in both chromospheric and coronal proxies than some of its more rapidly rotating brethren, which serves to accentuate the overwhelming activity that must be present at the surfaces of these other stars.

4 Tiny Stars with Strong Magnetic Fields, and the Influence of a Tachocline

In the Sun, the tachocline is a site of strong radial shear revealed by helioseismology, which is a matching layer between the differentially rotating outer convection zone and an interior rotating as a solid body (see Figure 1). Other stars with a radiative interior may also have a tachocline. In an interface-type dynamo, the tachocline is thought to play a major role in the overall dynamo action. Such a layer below the convection zone can store and, through the radial differential rotation, convect small-scale magnetic field into a larger toroidal structure. When the magnetic field strength is sufficiently large, this field can become buoyant and thus could provide the seed magnetic field for the convective dynamo in the exterior convection zone [41, 42].

What is possibly more remarkable in the X-ray data is the transition between stars that possess a radiative core and those that are fully convective (Figure 5(b)). Indeed, most of the stars in our galaxy are less massive than our Sun, with more than half of all stars being M-dwarfs. These are stars that are less than half the mass of the Sun and thus between tens and thousands of times less luminous than them. These low-mass stars had been believed to harbor magnetic dynamos quite distinct from those in Sun-like stars. It seems appropriate that there should be a difference between stars that have an interface-type dynamo, with a region of penetration and convective overshoot, and those that are fully convective.

An intriguing suite of observations of low-mass stars in Hα indicates that there is a transition in magnetic activity lifetime from the more massive stars that become relatively inactive after about one billion years to the lower-mass fully-convective stars, which appear to remain active for much longer [43]. Indeed, the trend likely continues past the pp-chain fusion limit into the category of giant planets [40], with those objects potentially remaining active for their lifetimes. Moreover, as seen in Figure 6, the fraction of spectral-type L and M dwarfs that are active in Hα drops from a peak value of about 85% at spectral-type M9 to about 2% at spectral type M0.

A trend that is likely related can also be seen in open cluster data, wherein the variance in the distribution of the rotation rate for stars in the cluster decreases with time [25]. For stars with masses between about 0.3 and 1.3 solar masses that are evolving along the main sequence, the rotation-rate distribution slowly transits from a nearly uniform distribution to a tight distribution about a mean value. However, if the stars’ masses fall below the fully-convective threshold value, the distribution of rotation rates appears to remain nearly uniform. This picture of long-term activity remaining even if the star spins down is largely consistent with observations both in X-ray, Hα, and Ca II spectral bands.

Hence, for these three observational measures of rotation rate, activity fraction, and activity lifetime, the behavioral transition occurs over a specific range of stellar mass. In particular, it occurs where main-sequence stars shift from having a convective envelope to being fully convective. Furthermore, such a transition may demark a region where a tachocline has a decreasing influence on the star’s magnetic and rotational evolution, given that the radius of the convectively-stable radiative interior decreases for lower masses.

Stitching these pieces together hints at a question: it appears that stars with a tachocline, or at least with a radiative interior, spin down more rapidly than their fully-convective counter parts, so is the spin-down related to the internal dynamo? When comparing these two kinds of stars, the fully-convective stars tend to have stronger magnetic fields with simpler geometry [44, 45]. They are also more rapidly rotating. This all should lead to a more fierce wind and stronger angular momentum loss [46]. So, why is it that these stars remain active so long and retain much of their zero-age main-sequence angular velocity? It may be that the presence of a stable region is a critical component in the dynamo that inherently drives these stars’ magnetic activity and hence impacts their rotational history. Yet precisely how a such a region could influence both of these facets of stellar evolution is unclear.

Figure 6: Fraction of active L and M dwarfs, showing the transition from a low to high activity for stars less massive than spectral type M4 [Adapted from data in 40]. The red line denotes the mean value and the blue boxes show the range of uncertainty.
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5 Prandtl Numbers and Large and Small-scale Dynamos

A powerful tool currently available in modern dynamo theory is 3D numerical simulations. In direct numerical simulations (DNS), all the turbulent processes are fully captured, from the driving scale to the dissipation scales. Although these simulations are typically far removed from the length scales important to astrophysical processes, they can shed light on the small–scale dynamics that strongly influence the transport properties of the larger-scale system. The aim of these simulations is usually to try to make contact with theoretical predictions of turbulent spectra, such as the Kolmogorov scaling. However, as with large-eddy simulations (LES) that parameterize the small-scale physics, they are limited by computational resources in obtaining ever larger resolution and are constrained in their ability to run large suites of simulations that accurately probe large swaths of parameter space.

There are many approaches to these problems: some that are true DNS in the sense that a particular physical system with its empirically determined diffusion coefficients are simulated, some that utilize other diffusive operators, and still others that employ only an implicit numerical diffusion. The first method assumes that the number of atoms within a grid cell is still large enough that the diffusion approximation to the collisional interactions is valid, whereas the last assumes effectively the same thing but with a numerically defined diffusion coefficient. This numerical diffusion could be considered a running coupling constant under the nomenclature of renormalization theory. The same can be said for LES schemes that explicitly and artificially increase the level of the Laplacian diffusion in the system. Thus there is some connection between these two schemes, though it may not be simple due to the anisotropies that are often present in LES of global-scale flows.

For simulations retaining the diffusion approximation to collisional interactions, there are two dynamo regimes one of low magnetic Prandtl number ($P_m$) and another of large $P_m$. Theoretically, one should expect that at large $P_m$ the magnetic Reynolds number is much greater than that of the fluid Reynolds number, which leads to the length scales of the magnetic field being smaller than that of the velocity field. At low $P_m$, the expectation should be the opposite that the generated magnetic fields roughly tend to be of larger scale than the velocity field. This does not preclude smaller scale intermittent fields for the low-$P_m$ regime or vice versa for the converse, rather it is more a statement about which components of the magnetic field contain the most energy: the large-scales for low-$P_m$ dynamos and the small-scales for high-$P_m$ dynamos. Using the Braginskii definitions of the viscous and magnetic diffusivities [47] and stellar models, one can determine the ranges of magnetic Prandtl numbers within a star. For dynamos in stars with convective exteriors, the low-$P_m$ regime is of greatest interest. Using the Sun as an example, the molecular $P_m$ ranges between about $10^{-1}$ in the core to roughly $10^{-6}$ at the photosphere, decreasing exponentially toward it. Other low-mass, main-sequence stars are roughly similar, with a low $P_m$ throughout the bulk of their domains. Higher-mass, main-sequence stars, in contrast, have relatively large molecular values of $P_m$ ranging from about 10 throughout the convective core and remaining nearly unity until the near-surface region is reached at which point it drops to about $10^{-2}$. So, the convective cores of massive stars and the convective envelopes of low mass stars represent very different dynamo regimes with respect to the scales possessing the greatest magnetic energy.

At small scales, the $P_m$ parameter regimes have been studied extensively with numerical simulations. The primary results of these simulations are that, at large magnetic Prandtl number, the magnetic field generation is of the stretch-twist-fold variety where its structures are of a smaller scale than the viscous dissipation scale [e.g., 48]. On the other side of the Prandtl number coin, the low $P_m$ regime has the stretch-twist-reconnect mechanism, where the flows act similar to the large $P_m$ regime, but instead of the flows dissipating and the magnetic field folding on itself, the magnetic field dissipates leading to reconnection [e.g., 49, 50]. These two dynamo regimes are sketched in Figure 7, showing the saturated phase of the dynamos. While such simulations are instructive for creating subgrid-scale models and understanding the small-scale physics, they lack a direct connection to the global-scale phenomena that are more directly observable for astrophysical objects.

Likewise, there are many well-studied analytical or semi-analytical large-scale dynamos, for instance consider
those of [51], [52], [53], and [54]. These dynamos generate magnetic fields that have spatial scales greater than the velocity scales producing them. The Sun and the Earth, among many other astrophysical objects, seem to possess global-scale fields and as such they are likely large-scale dynamos with a cascade of energy moving from small-scale turbulence to large-scale magnetic fields. For stellar and planetary dynamos, the mechanisms building large-scale field is directly linked to their rotation. In these global-scale dynamos, one manner in which the rotation is crucial is that the Coriolis force induces a net kinetic helicity in the small-scale turbulent flows. Correlations between the fluctuating velocity components of the turbulence consequently yield Reynolds stresses that act to maintain the bulk differential rotation through an inverse cascade of kinetic helicity and energy. This inverse cascade is thus the indirect source of the differential rotation that fuels the generation of toroidal field, whereas direct action of the convection is largely to transform this toroidal field into poloidal field as in an $\alpha$-effect. Thus the structure of the convection and the differential rotation that it supports is key to the overall nature of the global-scale stellar dynamo.

If the entire spectrum of the convective dynamo and its associated dynamics is resolved, the dissipation of the energy injected into the system is governed by the molecular values of the diffusivities. Using Braginskii plasma diffusivities for a solar-like metallicity [47] and a set of MESA stellar models to obtain the density and temperature profiles [55], one can define the average expected molecular Prandtl number in either the convective core of a massive star or the convective envelope of a lower mass star. To be specific, the equations of interest are

$$\nu = 3.210^{-5} \frac{\eta^{5/2}}{\rho \Lambda}, \quad \kappa_{\text{cond}} = 1.810^{-6} \frac{\eta^{5/2}}{\rho \Lambda}, \quad \eta = 1.210^{6} \Lambda T^{-3/2}_{\text{e}},$$

where $\nu$ is the kinematic viscosity, $\eta$ is the magnetic diffusivity, $\kappa_{\text{cond}}$ is the electron thermal diffusivity, $\kappa_{\text{rad}}$ is the radiative thermal diffusivity, $T_{\text{e}}$ is the electron temperature in electron volts, and $\Lambda$ is the Coulomb logarithm. These were computed assuming the conditions of a MHD plasma. In particular, it is assumed that charge neutrality holds and that the temperatures are not excessively high, so the Coulomb logarithm is well-defined. Moreover, in the charge-neutral regime, the magnetic diffusivity is density independent because the electron collision time scales as the inverse power of ion density and the conductivity is proportional to the electron density times the electron collision time. So, one can find that the thermal Prandtl number $Pr$ and magnetic Prandtl number $Pm$ scale as

$$Pr = \frac{\nu}{(\kappa_{\text{cond}} + \kappa_{\text{rad}})} , \quad Pm = 2.710^{-11} \frac{T_{\text{e}}^{4}}{\rho \Lambda \nu} .$$

In most cases, the radiative diffusivity is several orders of magnitude larger than the electron conductivity, so it dominates the thermal Prandtl number.

This prescription for the Prandtl numbers has been applied to MESA models of stars on the zero-age main-sequence in the mass range between 0.03 and 100 $M_{\odot}$ as shown in Figure (8). There, it is clear that all stars fall into the low thermal Prandtl number regime, whereas one can find two regimes of magnetic Prandtl number. This is directly related to where the convective region is located. For massive stars with convective cores, the temperature and density averaged over the convective volume are quite high when compared to lower-mass stars with a convective envelope. Such a high temperature leads to a large magnetic Prandtl number. This implies that there could be two fundamentally different kinds of convective dynamo action in low-mass versus high-mass stars.

### 6 Solar-like Stars and Their Cycles

From the perspective of dynamo theory, lower mass stars fall into the category of large-scale low-$Pm$ dynamos. Nearly all such stars are observed to rotate to some degree and to have surface magnetic activity, as discussed above. Moreover, for sufficiently massive stars, they are likely to support a tachocline that separates their convective regions from the stable radiative zone below. Simulations of such stars have begun to show how the tachocline can influence the dynamo in ways that go beyond the original idea of the interface-type dynamo such as those of [64] and [42].

Having examined some of the basic principles of how differential rotation is built and maintained and examined how accurately global-scale models can capture such hydrodynamics, the topic of global-scale dynamo simulations in a solar setting must be addressed. To date, several different numerical models of solar convective dynamos have achieved cyclical polarity reversals, whether
or not a tachocline is present. In all cases of such cycling global-scale dynamos, the interaction of convection, rotation, and magnetism are crucial ingredients. Though, precisely how the mechanisms are arranged so that a solar-like differential rotation can be maintained, and simultaneously yield a large-scale, periodic dynamo, has yet to be ascertained. Indeed, how the multiply-scaled convection of a thermally driven system, like the Sun, semiempirically maintains a global-scale kinetic helicity and sustains the massively disparate scales of the Sun’s magnetism is seemingly a mystery.

The earliest attempts to address these issues with global-scale solar dynamo simulations were those of Gilman and Glatzmaier, who used an anelastic and spherical harmonic based spectral code to simulate the dynamics [65] rather than the finite difference Boussinesq scheme of [66]. A series of simulations were laid out in [67] that aimed at assessing how varying the magnetic Prandtl number \( Pm \) and the rotation rate \( \Omega \) modified the character of the resulting dynamo. In general, it was found that magnetic cycles are a common feature, provided that there is sufficient energy in the bulk toroidal fields and that the Lorentz force feedback on the established differential rotation was not too large. Moreover, Gilman also noted that there is little preference for symmetry or anti-symmetry about the equator and that the toroidal bands of magnetic field tended to propagate toward the poles. It was also found that, for a fixed Rayleigh number (ratio of thermal driving to diffusion), Taylor number (ratio of the Coriolis force to viscous diffusion), and thermal Prandtl number (ratio of viscous to thermal diffusion), there is a peak dynamo efficiency with \( Pm \sim 1 \). For \( Pm \) below unity, the efficiency reached a plateau and for those cases with \( Pm \) above unity it decreased.

Yet the mismatch between those early simulations and helioseismic inferences effectively ended that line of investigation for about 15 years. A similar approach to simulating global-scale dynamos was, however, restarted roughly around 2004 with the inclusion of magnetic fields into the anelastic spherical harmonic (ASH) code (see [56] for instance). These 21st century global-scale convective solar dynamo simulations were carried out at moderate \( Pm \), ranging between 2 and 4. Hence it was much more difficult for a large-scale dynamo to take hold. In keeping with that notion, the fluctuating fields dominated the magnetic energy, accounting for most of the back-reaction on the flow via Lorentz forces, whereas the mean fields were relatively weak. There were also no hints of periodic reversals or dynamo wave behavior. Again as expected with a large \( Pm \) dynamo, the magnetic fields exhibit a complex and small-scale spatial structure and highly-variable time evolution. The radial magnetic field is swept into the downflow lanes, being stretched and folded there before reaching the resistive scale and reconnecting. The toroidal fields are organized into somewhat larger scales near the equator appearing as twisted ribbons, whereas they form

Figure 9: Modern 3-D global-scale convective dynamo simulations, showing snapshots of their toroidal magnetic fields in Mollweide projection. The effective magnetic Prandtl number (\( Pm \)) and rotation rate \( \Omega \) of each simulation is indicated. (a) First dynamo simulation using ASH [56]. (b) ASH simulation with a tachocline [57]. (c) Large-scale, persistent toroidal structures dubbed wreaths maintained amidst moderately turbulent convection at lower \( Pm \) [58]. (d) EULAG solution with regular decadal-time-scale magnetic reversals [59]. (e) ASH simulation with quasi-periodic reversals [60]. (f) Recent continuation of (c) with lower diffusion and higher resolution. The greater levels of turbulence permits quasi-periodic cycles to emerge [61]. (g) Cyclic dynamo solution with the Pencil code, showing wreath formation in a spherical segment [62]. (h) EULAG solution rotating at 3 \( \Omega \), for comparison with (c), (f), and (i) at the same rotation rate [63]. (i) Recent simulation using slope-limited diffusion in ASH exhibiting very regular cycles. Typical toroidal magnetic field strengths are between 1 and 10 kG in these simulations, with sense of fields suggested by color.
has shown that solutions with very regular cycles can be achieved within its implicit large eddy simulation (ILES) framework. Third, the Pencil code that simulates compressive convection has also found cyclical solutions with large-scale toroidal field structures within its spherical wedge geometry.

The first 3D nonlinear convective dynamo simulation, beyond those of Gilman and Glatzmaier in the 1980s, that indicated that sustained large-scale toroidal magnetic field structures could be built within the solar convection zone was presented in [58]. A snapshot of this solution’s toroidal magnetic field is shown in Figure 9c and its evolution is shown in Figure 12a. The persistence of such structures had previously been thought impossible, as one might expect that the highly turbulent convection should rapidly erode it, transporting the magnetic flux to the boundaries of the domain. What separated this simulation from those undertaken a few years earlier was its higher rotation rate (or lower Rossby number) and its lower $Pm$, being about 16 times smaller. The salient point that this simulation brings forth was that the rate of generation of magnetic field through the mean shear of the differential rotation could overpower the rate of its destruction by convection and dissipation. Indeed, the convection instead acts to sustain the differential rotation through Reynolds stresses, which indirectly aids in the generation of the toroidal fields. The convection then in turn converts the toroidal field into poloidal field, creating a positive feedback loop that sustains the wreaths against resistive decay. Although this simulation hinted that perhaps fields could be sustained within the solar convection zone, it still did not have cyclical behavior. Furthermore, it was still quite laminar, so the ability to sustain such large-scale magnetic field structures at greater levels of turbulence and increased complexity of the velocity field was still in question.

That question was partly answered in concurrent dynamo calculations utilizing the EULAG code. The ILES implementation of this code allows it to minimize the impacts of diffusion and achieve more complex velocity
The toroidal field. (b) Two magnetic loops achieved in an ASH simulation utilizing a dynamic Smagorinski scheme shown in a field line rendering [adapted from [69]].

Figure 11: (a) A sketch of the processes leading to magnetic loop formation in ASH simulations with dynamic Smagorinski: downflows pin the foot points of the loops, shear between the upflow and downflow builds a large magnetic diffusivity gradient at the edge of the loop leading to diamagnetic induction that builds radial field of the correct sign, while advection and buoyancy lift the inner section of the toroidal field. (b) Two magnetic loops achieved in an ASH simulation utilizing a dynamic Smagorinski scheme shown in a field line rendering [adapted from [69]].

and magnetic fields at a given resolution than ASH can achieve. The solar dynamo modeled with this computational framework exhibited many multi-decadal cycles of roughly 40 years that were quite regular and persisted for roughly 500 years of evolution despite the fairly complex flow fields permitted by the low numerical diffusion [59, 70]. A snapshot of the toroidal magnetic field from this simulation is shown Figure 9d and its character in time and latitude is illustrated in Figure 12c. The turbulent EMF in these simulations is more amenable to a mean-field theory description than the earlier ASH simulations, which may in part be due to the greater complexity of the velocity field. Part of the reason for the greater agreement between these dynamos and mean-field theory may lie in the simple argument that as the number of convective cells becomes increasingly large the average poloidal magnetic field generation may approach a mean value. Such a characteristic might be expected if the averaging operator obeys the central limit theorem, or if the arguments given in [51] hold.

Further exploration of the rotation rate and \( Pm \) parameter space using the ASH code continued apace, with a quasi-periodic cycling dynamo solution published in [60] and a multitude of solutions explored in [68]. The toroidal fields of the cycling solution are shown in Figure 9, exhibiting the high degree of longitudinal connectivity that can be achieved within a low-\( Pm \) global-scale dynamo and also lending credence to their moniker of wreaths. These fields form at or near the regions of the greatest latitudinal shear in the differential rotation, which is why they tend to be generated closer to the equator. Such characteristics can be seen in several of the dynamo solutions from [68] as shown in Figures 12a–d. The dynamo behaviors exhibited within the menagerie of simulations in this latter paper seem to roughly correspond to the regimes described in [67], as is illustrated in Figure 10. The Gilman picture suggests that, as the magnetic diffusivity is reduced, dynamo action becomes increasingly likely and that cycles become more likely over a small region. Eventually, however, Lorentz-force feedback quenches both the convection and differential rotation. Similarly, as the rotation rate is increased, cycles become more likely to a point and then past this threshold they become less likely due to the rotational suppression of convection. Yet another set of simulations that sought to determine the influence of the density scale height and convective driving has recently been carried out that finds distinct transitions between dipolarity, multi-polarity, and cyclic dynamos [71], though in less of a solar context. Indeed, they found that their anelastic dynamo models produced a broad range of magnetic field geometries, with dipolar configurations for the least stratified and most rapidly rotating and a gradual transition to multipolar configurations if either the stratification or the rotation rate was increased. As the density stratification is increased the convective columns in their simulations become increasingly concentrated in a thin boundary layer near the upper boundary and at low latitudes. Such patterns of convection tended to be non-axisymmetric solutions. Furthermore, in multipolar solutions, zonal flows became more significant and led to the production of toroidal field through the \( \Omega \)-effect. In those cases, dynamo waves seemed to then play an important role, leading to polarity reversing dynamos.

Continuing the rapid pace in solar dynamo modeling and under the assumptions of dynamic Smagorinski, mildly buoyant magnetic structures have been realized in a cycling dynamo simulation [Figure 11; 61, 69]. In this fortuitous simulation, the dynamic Smagorinski diffusion permits a greater degree of turbulence than can be achieved in the eddy viscosity model. It helps to establish a pattern of quasi-buoyant magnetic structures that form within the convection zone, which is in contrast to the common wisdom that they could only form in the tachocline as suggested in [42]. Regardless of how these structures come about, they tend to have statistics strikingly similar to the statistics of solar observations regarding Joy’s and Hale’s laws [72]. These magnetic structures arise from the structure of the flow field, natural buoyancy, and partly from
diamagnetic processes. The latter process has not yet been fully considered, so the logic of it will be expanded upon a bit here. The downflows help to pin down the foot points of the loop-like magnetic fields, whereas the upflow between two downflows advects a portion of the magnetic field toward the upper boundary of the simulation. The natural magnetic and thermal buoyancy of the structure further boost the forces, compelling it to rise.

There have been recent simulations that also exhibit two branches of propagating nonlinear dynamo waves one that is equatorward at low latitudes and another that is poleward at higher latitudes [Figure 12f; 62]. As was also seen in [71], they find that the density stratification of the simulation has a substantial impact on the overall character of the dynamo. In particular, one of their solutions exhibits both equatorward and poleward propagating dynamo waves (Figure 12f), though the reason for this is unclear. The propagation characteristics of the dynamo are attributed to the stratification. Yet the number of density scale heights that are simulated in these calculations is only about 3 to 4.5 density scale heights, which is similar to those of previous ASH simulations. It may be that the differential rotation has a region of near-surface shear, which can promote equatorward propagation provided that the sign of the kinetic helicity does not reverse at the same time. One study has shed further light on this subject by assessing the role of the columnarity of the convective structures in determining the direction of propagation of a dynamo wave [73]. In that paper, below a threshold columnarity of about 0.3, an equatorward propagating dynamo wave is established. More precisely, in some of their simulations, the direction of propagation of dynamo waves is altered primarily by an inversion of the kinetic helicity in the deep interior relative to the near-surface kinetic helicity, rather than by changes in the differential rotation. This inversion tends to occur in cases with a low Prandtl number, internal heating, and in regions where the local density gradient is relatively small.

Several recent convective dynamo simulations carried out in spherical segments with the Pencil code have also manifested unprecedented magnetic self-organization, with strong mean field generation in nonlinear dynamo regimes, and cyclic activity on decadal time scales [Figure 9g; 74]. One simulation in particular, a global-scale

![Figure 12: Time and latitude evolution of toroidal magnetic fields achieved in several global-scale convective dynamo solutions. (a-d) Dynamo calculations carried out with ASH showing four dynamo regimes arising from varying the rotation rate and magnetic diffusivity [adapted from [68]]. (c) Toroidal field evolution in a solar dynamo simulations utilizing EULAG, showing regular polarity reversals as in [59]. (f) A cycling case exhibiting polarward and equatorward branches of propagating dynamo waves in a Pencil code simulation described in [62].](image-url)
simulation using the ASH code and slope-limited diffusion, achieved a convective dynamo that exhibits many of these interesting dynamo features, generating magnetic fields with a prominent polarity cycle occurring roughly every 6.2 years for several hundred years of evolution [Figure 9i, 75, 76]. The polarity reversals seen in that simulation are related to the variation of the differential rotation and to a resistive collapse of the large-scale magnetic field. An equatorial migration of the magnetic field is also seen, which in turn is due to the strong, nonlinear modulation of the differential rotation rather than a dynamo wave. This simulation also enters an interval with reduced magnetic energy at low latitudes lasting roughly 16 years (about 2.5 polarity cycles), during which the polarity cycles are disrupted and after which the dynamo recovers its regular polarity cycles. An analysis of this grand minimum reveals that it likely arises through the interplay of symmetric and antisymmetric dynamo families. This intermittent dynamo state potentially results from the simulation’s relatively low magnetic Prandtl number.

Another set of Pencil code simulations have begun to investigate how the upper boundary can influence the operation of the dynamo, such as through the release of magnetic helicity [e.g., 77]. The generation of large-scale fields in dynamos is often associated with an upscale transfer of magnetic helicity. In order to sustain this transfer, small-scale helicity must be either dissipated or removed from the system by passing through the boundaries. A possible manifestation of this on the Sun is that the magnetic helicity released in coronal mass ejections [78]. Indeed, these recent simulations have demonstrated that convective dynamos can eject helicity through CME-like eruptions and separately form bipolar magnetic features akin to sunspots [79–81], yet it is still unclear how such events effect the operation of the dynamo.

Finally, [82] presented a suite of global-scale simulations of rotating turbulent convective dynamos that focused on determining the role of the tachocline in setting the cycle period. The first set of their simulations considered only a stellar convective envelope, whereas the second set aimed at establishing a tachocline, and so they also included the upper part of a radiative zone. For the first set of models, either oscillatory or steady dynamo solutions are obtained, depending upon the global convective Rossby number of the simulation in question. The models in the second set naturally develop a tachocline, leading to the generation of a strong mean magnetic field. Since the field is also deposited in the stable deeper layer, its evolutionary timescale is much longer than in the models without a tachocline. Due to non-local dynamo effects, the magnetic field in the upper turbulent convection zone evolves on the same timescale as the deep field. These models result in either an oscillatory dynamo with a 30 yr period or a steady dynamo depending again on Rossby number. Hence, the presence of a tachocline appears to lengthen the cycle period.

7 Intermediate-mass Stars and Convective Core Dynamos

There may be the potential to identify a few regimes for which some global-scale aspects of stellar dynamos might be estimated with only a knowledge of the basic parameters of the system. For example, consider how the magnetic energy contained in the system may change with a modified level of turbulence (or stronger driving), or how does the ratio of the dissipative length scales impact that energy, or how does rotation influence it? Some of these questions will be addressed here. Establishing the global-parameter scalings of convective dynamos, particularly with stellar mass and rotation rate, is useful given that they provide an order of magnitude approximation of the magnetic field strengths generated within the convection zones of stars as they evolve from the pre-main-sequence to a terminal phase. This in turn permits the placement of better constraints upon transport processes, such as those for elements and angular momentum, most of which occur over structurally-significant evolutionary timescales. This could be especially useful in light of the recent evidence for magnetic fields within the cores of red giants, pointing to the existence of a strong core dynamo being active in a large fraction of intermediate mass stars [17, 18].

8 Scaling of Magnetic and Kinetic Energies

Convective flows often possess distributions of length scales and speeds that are peaked near a single characteristic value. One simple method to estimate these quantities is to divine that the energy containing flows have roughly the same length scale as the depth of the convection zone and that the speed of the flows is directly related to the rate of energy injection (given here by the stellar luminosity) and inversely proportional to the density of the medium into which that energy is being injected [83]. The latter is encapsulated as $t_{\text{max}} \propto (2L/\rho_{\text{CZ}})^{1/3}$, where $\rho_{\text{CZ}}$ is the average density in the convection zone. However, such a mixing-length velocity prescription only provides an order of magnitude estimate as the precise level of equipartition depends sensitively upon the dynamics [e.g., 84]. Since stars are often rotating fairly rapidly, taking for instance young low-mass stars and most intermediate and high-mass stars, their dynamos may reach a quasi-magnetostrophic state wherein the Coriolis acceleration also plays a significant part in balancing the Lorentz force. Such a balance has been addressed and discussed at length in [2, 85], and [86] for instance.

To characterize the force balance, consider the compressive MHD Navier-Stokes vorticity equation, wherein one has that

$$\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial t} = \nabla \times \left( v \times \omega + \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{1}{c} \left( J \times B + \nabla \cdot \sigma - \nabla P \right) \right),$$

where $v$ is the velocity, $B$ is the magnetic field, $\rho$ is the density, $P$ the pressure. The following variables are also defined, with the current being $J = e \nabla \times B / 4\pi$, with $e$ being
the speed of light, \( c \) being the viscous stress tensor, and where \( \omega = \nabla \times \mathbf{v} \) and \( \omega_P = 2\Omega + \kappa \).

Taking the dot product of this equation with \( \omega \) gives rise to the equation for the evolution of the enstrophy. Integrating that equation over the volume of the convective domain and over a reasonable number of dynamical times such that the system is statistically steady yields

\[
\int dS \left[ \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \omega_P + \frac{1}{\rho} \left( \frac{\mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{B}}{c} + \nabla \cdot \omega - \nabla P \right) \right] \cdot \nabla \omega = 0.
\]

If no enstrophy is lost through the boundaries of the convective domains, then the surface integral vanishes, leaving

\[
\int dV \left[ \nabla \times \omega_P + \frac{1}{\rho} \left( \frac{\mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{B}}{c} + \nabla \cdot \omega - \nabla P \right) \right] = 0.
\]

This assumption effectively means that magnetic stellar winds will not be part of this scaling analysis. For timescales consistent with the dynamical timescales of the dynamos considered here, this is a reasonably valid assumption. Then, since \( \nabla \times \omega = 0 \), the terms in square brackets must be zero, which implies that

\[
\rho \nabla \times \omega_P + \frac{\mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{B}}{c} + \nabla \cdot \omega - \nabla P = 0.
\]

Taking the curl of this equation eliminates the pressure contribution and gives

\[
\nabla \left[ \rho \nabla \times \omega + 2 \rho \nabla \times \mathbf{v} + \frac{\mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{B}}{c} + \nabla \cdot \omega \right] = 0.
\]

This, then, is the primary balance between inertial, Coriolis, Lorentz, and viscous forces. If one again scales the derivatives as the inverse of a characteristic length scale \( \ell \), and takes fiducial values for the other parameters, the scaling relationship for the above equation gives

\[
\rho \nabla_{\text{rms}}^2 / \ell^2 + 2 \rho \nabla_{\text{rms}} \Omega_0 / \ell + B^2 / 4 \pi \ell^2 + \rho \nabla_{\text{rms}} / \ell^2 = 0,
\]

which when divided through by \( \rho \nabla_{\text{rms}}^2 / \ell^2 \) yields

\[
\text{ME/KE} \propto 1 + Re^{-1} + Ro^{-1}.
\]

Here the Reynolds number is taken to be \( Re = \nu \text{m} \ell / \nu \). However, the leading term of this scaling relationship is found to be less than unity, at least when assessed through simulations. Hence, it should be replaced with a parameter to account for dynamos that are subequipartition. This leaves the following

\[
\text{ME/KE} \propto \beta(Ro, Re) + Ro^{-1},
\]

Figure 13: The scaling of the ratio of magnetic to kinetic energy (ME/KE) with inverse Rossby number \((Ro^{-1})\). The black curve indicates the scaling defined in Equation (13), with \( \beta = 0.5 \). The blue dashed line is for magnetostrophy, e.g. \( \beta = 0 \). The green dashed line is that for buoyancy-work-limited dynamo scaling, which requires \( \text{ME/KE} \propto Re^{1/2} \). The red dashed line indicates the critical Rossby number of the star, corresponding to its rotational breakup velocity. The uncertainty of the measured Rossby number and energy ratio that arises from temporal variations are indicated by the size of the cross for each data point.

where \( \beta(Ro, Re) \) is unknown prior as it depends upon the intrinsic ability of the non-rotating system to generate magnetic fields, which in turn depends upon the specific details of the system such as the boundary conditions and geometry of the convection zone.

Thus, for a subset of dynamos such as those discussed in [87], Equation (13) may hold and the ratio of the total magnetic energy (ME) to the kinetic energy (KE) will depend upon the inverse Rossby number plus a constant offset, which will be sensitive to details of the dynamics. In some circumstances, the constant offset may also depend upon the Rossby number. Hence, such dynamos are sensitive to the degree of rotational constraint on the convection and upon the intrinsic ability of the convection to generate a sustained dynamo. Moreover, this line of argument indicates that the inertial term may give rise to a minimum magnetic energy state. This allows a bridge between two dynamo regimes, the equipartition slowly rotating dynamos and the rapidly rotating magnetostrophic regime, where \( \text{ME/KE} \propto Ro^{-1} \). For low Rossby numbers, or large rotation rates, it is possible that the dynamo can reach superequipartition states where \( \text{ME/KE} > 1 \). Indeed, it may be much greater than unity, as is expected for the Earth’s dynamo (see Figure 6 of [88]).
Indeed, as $\Lambda$ the dynamic Elsasser number $\Lambda_D$ is determined using the data itself, which is true also of the constant of proportionality in Equation (13) has been determined using the data itself, which is true also of the other two scaling laws shown in Figure (13).

In the suite of simulations presented in [87], the rotation rates employed lead to nearly three decades of coverage in Rossby number, as shown in Figure (13). In that figure, the force-based scaling derived in §8 depicted by the black curve (where $\text{ME} / \text{KE} \approx 0.5 + \text{Ro}^{-1}$) does a reasonably good job of describing the nature of the superequipartition state for a given Rossby number. Note, however, that the constant of proportionality in Equation (13) has been determined using the data itself, which is true also of the other two scaling laws shown in Figure (13).

These simulated convective core dynamos appear to enter a regime of magnetostrophy for the four cases with the lowest average Rossby number, where the scaling for the magnetostrophic regime is denoted by the dashed blue line in Figure (13). This transition to the magnetostrophic regime is further evidenced in Figure (14), which shows the dynamic Elsasser number

$$\Lambda_D = \frac{B_{\text{rms}}}{8 \pi \rho_0 \Omega_0 V_{\text{rms}} \ell}, \quad (14)$$

where $\ell$ is the typical length scale of the current density $\mathbf{J}$. Indeed, as $\Lambda_D$ approaches unity, the balance between the Lorentz and the Coriolis forces also approaches unity, indicating that the dynamo is very close to magnetostrophy.

The scaling law derived in [89] and [86] suggests that there is a direct link between the buoyancy work and ohmic dissipation, whereas the Coriolis force only requires that there be two integral length scales: one for flows parallel and another for flows perpendicular to the rotation axis. Following this logic, one can show that this requires that the level of superequipartition of the magnetic energy relative to the convective kinetic energy is proportional to $\text{Ro}^{-1/2}$. This scaling does not capture the behavior of the set of dynamos in [87] very well, as indicated by the lack of agreement between the blue line and the data points in Figure (13). In contrast, for the many dynamo simulations and data shown in [90] and [85], it does perform well. This latter fact could be related to the fact that for large $Pm$ the buoyancy work potentially has an additional $\text{Ro}$ dependence.

10 Conclusions

This conference proceeding has attempted to shine some light on the link between many observations and dynamo theory. In particular, there was a focus on the changing nature of the observed magnetism as a function of mass and rotation rate, linking Ca II, H-\alpha, and X-ray observations and magnetic activity. On the theory side, some effort was spent explaining the existence of two kinds of dynamos that depend upon magnetic Prandtl number and that they have relevance to stellar astrophysics. In particular, it was shown that there is likely a dichotomy in the kind of dynamo action taking place within stars that possess a convective core and those that possess an exterior convective envelope. Recent advances in describing the dynamos of solar-like stars was covered in a fair level of detail. Finally, there is a brief section discussing scaling laws for the level of the partitioning of magnetic energy and kinetic energy, which is relevant for intermediate and massive stars that possess a convective core.

In attempting to explain the great many observations of stellar magnetism, including its variability and intensity, dynamo theory has made some surprising advances in unexpected directions. Numerical simulations in particular have provided a fruitful path to understanding many of the observed features of the solar dynamo. While the exact processes that set the cycle period remain unknown, it has been shown that the tachocline can play a significant role in recovering longer cycle periods [82]. Most importantly, however, cyclic solutions seem now to be a more robust feature than in the past due to increased computational power and thus increased levels of turbulence. Further, the equatorward and poleward propagation of surface features, provided that they are tied to the deeper dynamics in a significant way, may follow a deeper dynamo wave, weather it is linear or nonlinear in origin [62, 73, 75].

Though promising, all of these recent convective dynamo simulations are still in the early stages of discovery. They are still quite sensitive to the treatment of diffusion where slight changes in the form and magnitudes of the diffusivities can lead to quite different behavior. Moreover, the physical mechanisms responsible for determining the cycle properties established within these various convection models remains unknown. What is clear is that these cyclic dynamos operate very differently than typical mean field dynamo models in that the meridional flow plays a minimal role in regulating the cycle period and flux emergence plays little or no role in poloidal field generation.
Indeed, as \( \Lambda \) the dynamic Elsasser number line in Figure (13). This transition to the magnetostrophic regime is denoted by the dashed blue line. For low magnetic Prandtl number and fairly rapidly rotating systems, such as the geodynamo and rapidly rotating low-mass stars, another scaling law that relies upon a balance of buoyancy work and magnetic dissipation as well as a balance between the buoyancy, Coriolis, and Lorentz forces may be more applicable. When focused on in detail, this yields a magnetic energy that scales as the kinetic energy multiplied by the inverse square root of the convective Rossby number, as has been shown to be widely applicable in such low Prandtl number and low Rossby number systems [89]. On the side of numerical experiments, a larger range of Reynolds number and level of supercriticality needs to be explored. Indeed, in [84], some work has already attempted to do this for the geodynamo.
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