High Temperature Modification of SNCR Technology and its Impact on NOx Removal Process
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Abstract. SNCR (Selective non-catalytic reduction) Technology is currently being used to reach the emission limit for nitrogen oxides at fossil fuel fired power plant and/or heating plant and optimum temperature for SNCR process is in range 850 - 1050°C. Modified SNCR technology is able to reach reduction 60% of nitrogen oxides at temperature up to 1250°C. So the technology can also be installed where the flue gas temperature is too high in combustion chamber. Modified SNCR was tested using generally known SNCR chemistry implemented in CFD (Computation fluid dynamics) code. CFD model was focused on detail simulation of reagent injection and influence of flue gas temperature. Than CFD simulation was compared with operating data of boiler where the modified SNCR technology is installed. By comparing the experiment results with the model, the effect on nitrous oxides removal process and temperature of flue gas at the injection region.

1 Introduction

The retrofitting of large coal fired boilers with NOx control systems has been concluded in Western Europe many years ago and in Central Europe has been finishing.

Starting in the nineties a number of Central and Eastern European countries has joined the EU and as a consequence they also had to accept the emission limits which are in force. This means for most of the power plants that the existing boilers either have to be shut down or measures have to reach limits specified by EU regulations like NOx.

For the SCR technology, which might be the first choice and it was considered as the best available technology (BAT) in the eighties and nineties, many reliable results and experiences are available to estimate the feasibility as well as investment and operating costs with high accuracy. However, beside this fact, that the investment costs for a SCR (Selective catalytic reduction) are about ten times as high as for SCNR system, it is necessary to take into consideration also other disadvantages.

Installation of the catalyst is often very problematic especially when the boiler has a large economiser instead of an air pre-heater so that heat exchangers would have to be re-placed to install the catalyst. Design of SCR layers would generally cause static problems due to the height and weight of the catalyst and additional steel structure. The downtime of the boiler for retrofitting with SCR could cause a considerable loss of profit.

Pressure drop and problematic installation of SCR catalyst could result in higher operating costs for SCR and the investment volume increase to the multiple of the cost of a SCNR system.

The SNCR process has been enhanced for smaller boilers like waste incineration plants in last years, and it is widely considered now as the ‘Best Available Technology’ (BAT) for this size of boilers. Based on this information an increasing number of owners of power plants are seriously investigating today whether the SNCR process is feasible for their large boilers. Special attention is typically being paid to the overall cost compared to SCR.

2 Elementary description of the SNCR technology

The basic principle of NOx reduction by the NH2- radical has been known since the 1970s. A dominant chemical reaction is very simple and is defined by the following chemical formula [1], [2], [3], [4].

\[ \text{NH}_2^- + \text{NO} \rightarrow \text{N}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \]  (1)

This reaction occurs dominantly without a catalyst in the temperature window between 850-1050°C. The main problem of SNCR method is a relatively narrow temperature window (850-1050°C). If the reagent is injected into colder temperature zone in a furnace, nitrogen oxides NOx do not react with NH2- radical, because the reaction rate is slow and high concentrations of Ammonia NH3 occur in flue gas. If the reagent is
injected into warmer temperature zone of the furnace, NH₃ reacts with oxygen O₂. Therefore, nitrogen oxides are not reduced but they are produced by combustion of ammonia. However the reduction of NOₓ is only 50-65% at stochiometric ratio ca 2.8 in typical boiler and the SNCR processes achieve reasonable NOₓ reduction only in a narrow range of temperature (extremity 850-1050°C, optimum 950°C). [1], [4]

Fig. 1. NOₓ reduction as a function of temperature and illustration of temperature window [1], [4]

2.1. Elementary chemistry of SNCR process

Three different commercial processes are usually used at the present time: Thermal DeNOx, NOxOUT and RAPRENOx These methods are based on injection of Ammonia NH₃, Urea CO(NH₂)₂ and Cyanuric acid (HCNO)₃ into combustion chamber of boiler.

The Thermal DeNOx process was originally proposed by Lyon in the USA in 1975, with ammonia as a reduction reagent. This process was developed as a substitution of SCR, which eliminates disadvantage of catalytic reduction such a high cost, poisoning of catalyst, required space, etc. This process involves addition of ammonia NH₃ or ammonia water NH₄OH as a reducing reagent. The DeNOx process can be described by the following chemical net reaction [1], [4]

\[ 4\text{NH}_3 + 4\text{NO} + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow 4\text{N}_2 + 6\text{H}_2\text{O} \] (2)

First test of injecting urea solution downstream to the combustion zone to reduce NOₓ in a non-catalytic way was reported in 1977. This process was later patented in USA with a market name NOxOUT. The use of a urea as a reducing reagent is mainly interesting for reason of storage and handling. The NOxOUT process can be described by the following chemical net reaction [1], [4]

\[ 2\text{CO(NH}_2)_2 + 4\text{NO} + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow 4\text{N}_2 + 2\text{CO}_2 + 4\text{H}_2\text{O} \] (3)

Furthermore, the paper deals only with a method based on the injection of an aqueous solution of urea.

![Simplified chemical mechanism of SNCR method](image)

2.2 Kinetics data of SNCR process

Chemical reactions included in CFD are based on the Arrhenius equation (4), which describes the reaction rate [11].

\[ k = A \cdot e^{-\frac{E}{RT}} \cdot T^\beta \left( \frac{\text{mol}}{m^3}\right)^{1-n} \cdot \frac{K^{-\beta}}{s} \] (4)

where: \( k \) is reaction rate, \( A \) is pre-exponential factor, \( E \) is activation energy, \( R \) is universal gas constant, \( T \) is temperature, \( \beta \) is temperature exponent, and \( n \) is the sum of the number of reaction orders.

The Arrhenius equation describes the rate of reaction only. The chemical mechanism of every reaction must be specified with parent and children materials of reaction and with stoichiometric coefficients [11], [12], [6], [7].

The SNCR model described in this paper is based on seven-step kinetic mechanism of NO defined by Brouwer at al [3] and two-step mechanism for primary breakdown of Urea into NH₃ and HCNO defined by Rota at al. [10]

\[ (\text{NH}_2)_2\text{CO} \rightarrow \text{NH}_3 + \text{HCNO} \] (5)
\[ (\text{NH}_2)_2\text{CO} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow 2\text{NH}_3 + \text{CO}_2 \] (6)
\[ \text{NH}_3 + \text{NO} \rightarrow \text{N}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \] (7)
\[ \text{NH}_3 + \text{NO}_2 \rightarrow \text{N}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \] (8)
\[ \text{NH}_3 + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{NO} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad \text{(9)} \]

\[ \text{HCNO} + \frac{1}{4}\text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{NCO} + \frac{1}{2}\text{H}_2\text{O} \quad \text{(10)} \]

\[ \text{NCO} + \text{NO} \rightarrow \text{N}_2\text{O} + \text{CO} \quad \text{(11)} \]

\[ \text{N}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{N}_2 + \text{O}_2 \quad \text{(12)} \]

\[ \text{CO} + \frac{1}{2}\text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{CO}_2 \quad \text{(13)} \]

Another modification of SNCR kinetics mechanism can be found in many studies and papers e.g.[8],[9], and [10].

The simplified SNCR reaction mechanism is described by previous reactions. Whereas the reaction mechanism with NH2- radical is complicated that is why the proposed mechanism does not include Ammonia radical NH2-, that means the problematic non-stable transitional material, is not necessary to be specified. The proposed chemical mechanism for SNCR with Urea solution is relatively precise and simple. The complexity and computational intensity of CFD simulation depend on many materials and reactions. The reaction mechanism was proposed with respect to simulation speed and usability.

**Table 1. Kinetics parameters of SNCR Model.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reaction</th>
<th>A ( \left[ \text{mol}^{-1} \text{m}^3 \text{s}^{-1} \right] )</th>
<th>( \beta )</th>
<th>E ( \left[ \text{J} \text{mol}^{-1} \right] )</th>
<th>n</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Eqv. (5)</td>
<td>1.27E+04</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>65048</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eqv. (6)</td>
<td>6.13E+04</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>87819</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eqv. (7)</td>
<td>4.20E+02</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>315937</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eqv. (8)</td>
<td>4.20E+02</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>349937</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eqv. (9)</td>
<td>3.50E-03</td>
<td>7.65</td>
<td>544487</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eqv. (10)</td>
<td>6.24E+09</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>284637</td>
<td>1.25 ([\text{HNCO}]^1) ([\text{O}_2]^3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eqv. (11)</td>
<td>1.00E+07</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1632</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eqv. (12)</td>
<td>6.90E+12</td>
<td>-2.5</td>
<td>151075</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eqv. (13)</td>
<td>1.26E+06</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>167742</td>
<td>1.75 ([\text{CO}]^1) ([\text{O}_2]^3) ([\text{H}_2\text{O}]^2.25)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.3 Influence of CO concentration on SNCR process

The presence of CO, where reagent is injected, affects kinetics of NOX reduction with urea. Effect of CO oxidation leads to production of H, O, and OH due to reactions (14)-(16).

\[ \text{CO} + \text{OH} \leftrightarrow \text{CO}_2 + \text{H} \quad \text{(14)} \]

\[ \text{H} + \text{O}_2 \leftrightarrow \text{O} + \text{OH} \quad \text{(15)} \]

\[ \text{O} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \leftrightarrow \text{OH} + \text{OH} \quad \text{(16)} \]

The influence of CO on the SNCR process indicating that the presence of CO shifts the selectivity by increasing the rate of NH2- formation and the rate of NH3 oxidation to NO. Higher CO level shifts the optimal temperature for NO reduction to lower temperatures due to enhanced chemical rates in presence of CO. The shift in selectivity is accomplished by two mechanisms:
- Increasing local temperature
- Increasing net production of OH radical.

The first effect is due to exothermicity of the main CO burnout reaction (14), while the second effects is due to chain branching that results from the H produced in this reaction. The contribution of CO oxidation to the local temperature is accounted for in the model. Empirical adjustment to the rates is used to account for the effect of CO without including details radical chemistry. The empirical adjustment is applied in the form of shift in effective temperature to account for the radical chemistry effects of CO as follows and the rate of reactions (7), (8), (9), (10) is modified as [3]

\[ k = A \cdot e^{\frac{-E}{T+S_{CO}}} \cdot (T+S_{CO})^\beta \quad \text{(15)} \]

\( S_{CO} \) is the shift in the temperature required to achieve the same NO reduction and reagent decomposition compared to the case without CO [3].

\[ S_{CO} = 17.5 \ln(X_{CO}) + 173 \quad \text{(16)} \]

where \( X_{CO} \) is volume fraction of CO. Application of modified rate of reaction is not possible to set in CFD code ANSYS CFX. Analysis of equation (15) shown that this equation can be simplified as follows and term \( X_{CO}^\gamma \) describes CO shift in simplified form.

\[ k = A \cdot X_{CO}^\gamma \cdot e^{\frac{-E}{T+S_{CO}}} \cdot T^\beta \quad \text{(17)} \]

**Table 2. Kinetics parameters of SNCR Model with CO shift.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reaction</th>
<th>A ( \left[ \text{mol}^{-1} \text{m}^3 \text{s}^{-1} \right] )</th>
<th>( \beta )</th>
<th>( \gamma )</th>
<th>E ( \left[ \text{J} \text{mol}^{-1} \right] )</th>
<th>n</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Eqv. (7)</td>
<td>8.63E+04</td>
<td>5.27</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>311822</td>
<td>2;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eqv. (8)</td>
<td>1.39E+05</td>
<td>5.27</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>345403</td>
<td>2;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Eqv. (9) & 2.63E+01 & 7.61 & 0.97 & 537456 & Eqv. (10) & 3.88E+11 & 0.81 & 0.46 & 281090 & $[\text{NH}_3]^i$ & $[\text{O}_2]^i$ & $[\text{HNCO}]^i$ & $[\text{O}_2]^i$

Previous equation was used to analysis of kinetics data of reactions (7), (8), (9), (10) calculated by equation (15) and (16). The influence of CO on the SNCR process can be described by modifying the kinetic parameters of the equations (7), (8), (9), (10).

2.4 Influence of O₂ concentration

The influence of oxygen concentration in flue gas in the SNCR process was presented in several studies. Higher concentration of oxygen shifts the temperature window towards lower temperatures. The higher concentration of oxygen did not involve reduction of NOₓ.

2.5 Influence of H₂O concentration

Presence of H₂O in flue gas also affects the efficiency of NOₓ reduction. Concentration of H₂O in reacting zone effects due to radical O. This radical inhibits the formation of NH₂⁻. The process of inhibition is described by following reactions [1]:

\[
\text{O} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightleftharpoons \text{OH} + \text{OH} \quad (18) \\
\text{NH}_2 + \text{OH} \rightleftharpoons \text{NH}_3 + \text{O} \quad (19)
\]

Higher concentration of water in flue gas shifts the temperature window to higher temperatures. But this effect is not cross-validated.

2.6 Influence of H₂ concentration

Addition of hydrogen shifts the temperature to lower values. Process of dissociation and/or oxidation of hydrogen produce radicals OH, H and O. These radicals influence the concentration of NH₂⁻ due to the NH₃ → NH₂⁻ conversion, see following equations

\[
\text{NH}_3 + \text{OH} \rightleftharpoons \text{NH}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad (20) \\
\text{NH}_3 + \text{O} \rightleftharpoons \text{NH}_2 + \text{OH} \quad (21) \\
\text{NH}_3 + \text{H} \rightleftharpoons \text{NH}_2 + \text{H}_2 \quad (22)
\]

3 High temperature modification of SNCR - Selective cooling of the flue gas

SNCR technology can operate in a narrow range of temperature (extremity 850-1050°C, optimum 950°C). This temperature window occurs usually upstream heat exchangers like super heater, over heater etc. Sometimes the temperature window reaches up to domain where the heat exchangers are located. If the flue gas reaches heat exchangers than the temperature of flue gas fall very fast. It means if the reagent (urea) is injected just upstream heat exchangers than the reagent reaches cold walls of exchanger very quick and the process of NOₓ reduction is stopped due to low temperature of flue gas. Another necessary condition is residence time of reagent at temperature window which cannot be met in the case of injection of reagent just upstream heat exchangers. Sometimes SNCR technology cannot be designed for the above-mentioned reasons because temperature of flue gas is too high in combustion chamber and upstream heat exchangers respectively.

4 CFD model of selective cooling of the flue gas

As has been said, the presence of H₂O, where reagent is injected, affects kinetics of NOₓ reduction and higher concentration of H₂O in flue gas shifts the temperature window to higher temperatures. This leads to the idea that if additional water can be transported to the injection region, SNCR technology will be able to operate at higher temperatures.

In cases when the flue gas temperatures are too hot, as is mostly the case at load peaks and/or in limited areas, cooling of the flue gas might be a viable alternative. This simply could be accomplished by increasing the quantity of process water. Since the changing of the fluid flow in the injectors would change the spraying pattern as well, a better solution is to install additional injectors for cooling water close to the reagent injectors.

With this concept, cooling water can be switched on or off for each injector independently when needed depending on the flue gas temperature in this location, while the distribution of the reagent would not be affected.
The SNCR technology is relatively a simple technological unit, but it includes some important parts which affect dominantly the efficiency of NO\textsubscript{X} reduction. One of important parts is a nozzle. Three types of nozzles are generally used at present. The following nozzles are used: 1) cone spraying nozzle, 2) plane spraying nozzle, 3) cone spraying nozzle with an angle of 45°. The main parameters of the nozzle and injection lance are affected by the sprayed reagent and the depth of penetration of flue gas by reagent respectively. It is not possible to measure the spraying process in situ, because the nozzles are situated in the combustion chamber. Due to the insufficient information about the process of spraying and cooling of the flue gas, it was decided to analyse the flow in the nozzle in detail. The spraying process is theoretically demonstrated by mixing of two perpendicular flows of fluids. The main flow is flue gas and the second flow is the air with droplets of urea solution.

The penetration of flue gas is ca 2 m. This is a low value according to the dimension of the combustion furnace. The NO\textsubscript{X} molecules are reduced near the wall of combustion chamber and the core of flue gas flow is not affected by reagent. Therefore, there is a significant problem with balanced penetration of flue gas and this is the main reason of low efficiency of SNCR reaching ca 65% at high stoichiometric ratio ca 2.8.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nozzle type</th>
<th>Penetration [m]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cone</td>
<td>ca 1,2 - 2,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plane</td>
<td>ca 0,6 - 2,1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cone 45°</td>
<td>ca 0,9 - 2,4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The process of selective cooling of flue gas was simulated on the base of real design of SNCR technology. Simulation domain and boundary condition was focused on detail of couple of injection lances (regent and cooling water) with cone nozzle.

![Simulation domain](image)

**Fig. 4.** Detail of simulation domain

So the domain was basically a large duct with two injection lances, which was perpendicular to main flow of flue gas in duct. Grid was strictly built form hexahedral elements and total number of elements was approximately 850 000.

The basic equation set, which describes both regimes of flow, represents the application of the conservation laws. The law of mass, momentum and energy conservation are used for numerical modelling of flow [12]. The law of momentum conservation represents the Navier-Stokes equation [12]. The law of mass conservation represents the continuity equation [12], and the law of energy equation represents the energy equation [12]. The CFD code ANSYS CFX was used for simulations. Turbulent flow is stochastic, but it is statistic stable. Arbitrary physical quantity can be decompiled on sum of average value and fluctuation value $u = \bar{u} + u'$.

Commonly used turbulent models are based on description of local turbulent intensity by length and velocity scale. Flow regime was analysed by means of Reynolds number.

$$ Re = \frac{\rho \cdot v \cdot D}{\eta} \approx 100000 \quad (22) $$

Where: $v$ is velocity [m/s], $D$ is characteristic dimension [m], $\rho$ is density [kg/m\textsuperscript{3}] and $\eta$ is dynamic viscosity [Pa*s] of the fluid.

As is evident, this is a turbulent flow and the jet flow produces shear stress. That is why Shear Stress Transport k-\omega turbulence model is used for CFD simulation [12]. The turbulent viscosity is specified by two transport equations. These equations solve turbulent kinetic energy and vorticity $\omega$ [12]. The production of turbulence by expansion is included in the simulation too.

As already mentioned, the CFD simulation was focused on effect of water injection upstream injection area of reagent at higher temperature of flue gas than optimal. The practical experience with the selective cooling system already exists and this system is installed and operated. But the process of cooling of flue gas is not fully understood yet.

Boundary condition was specified on the base of the process data that was recorded during the commissioning and optimizing of the SNCR technology with selective cooling system. Test of selective cooling was realised at maximum load of the boiler if the temperature of the flue gas is maximum. Effect of cooling water was evaluated on the base of time record of boiler process data.

The first step of the test was stabilisation of maximum load of the boiler and then was started SNCR technology in automatic mode. After the suppression of the effects of unsteady running (PID regulator reach the set value of NO\textsubscript{X}) were installed suction pyrometers 1,3 m upstream couple of cooling and injection lances. The suction pyrometers were used do measure of flue gas temperature. Flue gas sucked up through the pyrometer were analysed by FTIR [8] equipment and the analysis was mainly focused on concentration of O\textsubscript{2}, CO and NO\textsubscript{X}.

Once the boiler was stabilised and all important values were approximately constant so technology was blocked. PID regulator was deactivated so all valves and other regulation equipment were “frozen” in current status. Next SNCR technology was ready for selective cooling test.

The test was very simple and consisted of a manual closing of the cooling water supply. This test thus
showed the response of the SNCR system to the presence of cooling water in the injection area and 100 mm upstream respectively.

In the picture we can see that if the cooling water was not in the injection area than the concentration of NOx increased from ca 185 to ca 275 mg/m³ in the stack of power plant.

As already mentioned, the CFD model and its boundary conditions were defined on the base of cooling water test. Computation domain represented approximately one sixth of the real combustion chamber. CFD simulation the simulation was calculated for two basic conditions, 1) the cooling nozzle switched on and 2) the cooling nozzle switched off. This corresponded to the selective cooling test.

Next the effect of cooling water was investigated in injection area. Following figures shown the concentration field of NO in plane parallel to flue gas flow and the plane is located in the centre of lances. Cooling water in injection area creates cold cover where the temperature is lower than temperature of surrounding gas which is too high. Inside this area the temperature is ideal for reduction of NO (7) and NO₂ (8).

As it is evident from the previous table CFD model is not reach the same reduction. Compared to the test, the reduction is approximately 50% lower. This means, that the model is pessimistic and understates the effect of cooling water in injection area.

### Table 4. Penetration of flue gas by reagent.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reduction of NOₓ [%]</th>
<th>Test</th>
<th>CFD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cooling lance ON</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cooling lance OFF</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effect of Cooling water</td>
<td>+27</td>
<td>+16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

When the cooling water is not in injection area than temperature is too high. NO and NO₂ are reduced but only in area where reagent is evaporated. Temperature exceeds the upper limit of the temperature window outside and reagent produces NO (9).

### 5 Conclusion

The paper is focused on the simulation of high temperature modification of SNCR technology which is based on injection of cooling water upstream injection area of reagent. Chemical reactions used to describing of SNCR process are able to predict quite accurately the reduction of NO, but chemistry of SNCR process is strongly simplified due to practical usability and
limitation of number of chemical equations included in the CFD model. Described CFD model of SNCR process can be used for prediction of the efficiency of SNCR technology, but the model in not able to describe complexity of the phenomena.

Effect of cooling water injection upstream injection area of reagent was analysed on the base of real test. Recorded data of the test was used to definition of CFD model of couple of injection and cooling lance. Whereas the proposed SNCR model does not include detail chemistry of OH radical, than the CFD model is not able to describe full effect of cooling water in injection area. This is evident from a comparison of the test results and CFD model. Effect of cooling water is reduced to local cooling due to evaporation of water.

The project will continue and future goal will be modification of kinetics of SNCR process, which describes effect which fully describes the effect of water on the rate of chemical reactions and shift of temperature window to higher temperatures.
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