Experimental and numerical analyses of the dynamic failure processes of symmetric Taylor impact specimens
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Abstract. Failure processes encountered in the Taylor impact specimen are of two kinds, Mode II cracks initiating within adiabatic shear bands and tensile cracks. Because friction occurring with the single Taylor configuration influences the failure processes, it is necessary to use the symmetric loading procedure providing frictionless interfaces. Numerical simulations of the symmetric Taylor test have been conducted to reproduce the failure processes observed with a highly ductile nickel and a high strength tungsten alloy. Because the adiabatic shear failure process is occurring along a three dimension path, the 3D module of the hydrocode AUTODYN was used.

1 Introduction

The impact test developed by Taylor [1] originally involved a long cylindrical specimen against a rigid target. From the dimensions of the deformed specimen, Taylor provides an estimate of the dynamic yield strength. Since, it has been mostly used to validate constitutive models at strain rate ranging from $10^3$ to $10^4$ s$^{-1}$, and to evaluate dynamic failure properties of materials [2-4]. Two kinds of failure processes have been observed with the Taylor specimens.

One of the failure process is Mode II cracks initiating within adiabatic shear bands (ASB) occurring around the circumference of the impact face. This failure process has been used to predict penetration performances of kinetic penetrator made of uranium and tungsten alloys. This prediction originated from firing of these penetrator materials again conventional hard roll armour steel revealing chiselling originating from adiabatic shear bands, see Figure 1 [5]. Consequent laboratory testing has revealed that the penetration performance was found to increase with the decrease of the strain at initiation of the adiabatic shear band.

The second failure process observed is tensile cracking occurring in the center of the Taylor specimen, and sometimes at the circumference of the impact face. Tensile failure in the specimen center has been observed with highly ductile metals like nickel, aluminium alloy and copper [6,7], see Figure 2. For the nickel, a decrease of the hardness was measured near the tensile failure zone revealing that recrystallization occurred [7].

Fig. 1. Residual penetrator made of (a) U0.75Ti and (b) 90% W alloys. (c) Section of a residual penetrator made of 90% W alloy revealing multiple shear bands [5].

Fig. 2. Tensile failure zone of a Taylor specimen made of nickel tested at 453 m/s [7].

Taylor testing has been intensively used in the original configuration consisting of one cylindrical specimen impacting an anvil made of hard steel as to reproduce a rigid wall, referred as the single Taylor test. To simulate the single Taylor test it is required to make an estimation of the friction coefficient between the
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specimen and the anvil, as well as, to assume that the anvil is rigid. With the symmetric loading procedure introduced by Erlich et al. [8], such hypotheses are no longer needed. In addition, friction occurring with the single configuration has been found to delay adiabatic shear band formation when compared to the symmetric configuration [9]. Such results motivate the use of the symmetric Taylor test configuration to study the failure processes involved in the impact Taylor specimen.

Numerical simulations of the symmetric Taylor test have been conducted with the hydrocode AUTODYN as to reproduce the failure processes observed with a highly ductile nickel and a high strength tungsten alloy. Because the adiabatic shear failure process is occurring along a three dimension path, the 3D module of AUTODYN was used. Failure criteria such as tensile and shear effective plastic strain have been evaluated to reproduce the failure mechanisms of the Taylor specimen.

2 The symmetric Taylor test

When compared to single Taylor testing, symmetric Taylor testing involves one cylindrical specimen sent with a gas gun against another identical specimen located at the gun muzzle. For the symmetric Taylor test, it is equivalent either to send two specimens against each other at a given impact speed $V_i$ or to send one specimen at a speed $2V_i$ against a second specimen at rest.

One inconvenient of Erlich’s approach is the use of ceramic pins to locate the specimen sitting at the gun muzzle making difficult coaxial and planar impact of the two specimens. To facilitate planar and coaxial impacts, two testing procedures involving Teflon sabots have been developed by the author [10]. The first procedure addresses materials of strength greater than 800 MPa in which the Teflon sabots are deformed with the Taylor specimens. The second procedure was developed for low strength materials, 100-800 MPa, in which a Teflon sabot and Teflon supports were designed to not interfere with the Taylor specimens.

The first procedure was used for a high strength tungsten alloy, 1500 MPa in yield stress. The second procedure was used for the low strength pure nickel, 240 MPa in yield stress.

2.1 Experimental procedure for pure nickel

A nickel elaborated by Eramet in plate 12 mm thick by electrodeposition with a 99.99% content of nickel was employed. The nickel was used in a heat treated condition providing a quasi-static yield stress of 240 MPa [6].

The experimental set-up is shown in Figure 3 with two Taylor specimens 9 mm in diameter and 36 mm in length. The loading procedure is similar at the precedent at an exception that the two sabots are identical and the launched sabot is not arrested. The sabots remain in contact during the deformation of the Taylor specimens. Through numerical simulations, it has been verified that the low amplitude of the reflected stress waves originating from the Teflon sabots did not add any plastic deformation to the Taylor specimens [8].

2.2 Experimental procedure for tungsten alloy

A tungsten alloy was elaborated in bar 12 mm in diameter by Cime Bocuze by powder metallurgy. The process involved a sintering cycle followed by swaging and a heat treatment as top reach a yield stress of 1500 MPa.

The experiment set-up is shown in Figure 4 with two Taylor specimens 9 mm in diameter and 36 mm in length. The loading procedure is similar at the precedent at an exception that the two sabots are identical and the launched sabot is not arrested. The sabots remain in contact during the deformation of the Taylor specimens. Through numerical simulations, it has been verified that the low amplitude of the reflected stress waves originating from the Teflon sabots did not add any plastic deformation to the Taylor specimens [8].

2.3 Experimental results

Figure 5 shows Taylor specimens tested at different impact speed. Mode II cracks were found to be generated at a threshold impact speed, $V_{fr}$, of 250 m/s for Taylor specimens made of tungsten alloy, see Figure 6. Mode II cracks were estimated to initiate at an impact speed of 453 m/s for Taylor specimens made of pure nickel, see Figure 5. No tensile cracks were observed with the tungsten alloy specimens. In the contrary, tensile cracks...
in the center of the specimen, recall Figure 2, was observed with the nickel tested at 453 m/s.
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Fig. 5. Symmetric Taylor specimens made of nickel and tungsten alloy.

\[ \sigma = (A + B \varepsilon_p^n) \left(1 + C \ln \left(\frac{\dot{\varepsilon}}{\dot{\varepsilon}_0}\right) + D\left(\frac{\dot{\varepsilon}}{\dot{\varepsilon}_1}\right)^k \right) \left(1 - \left(\frac{T - T_r}{T_m - T_r}\right)^m\right) \]

with the usual constants of the classical Johnson-Cook formulation: \( T_r, T_m \) the room and melting temperatures, \( \dot{\varepsilon}_0 \) a reference strain rate equal to 1 s\(^{-1}\) and \( A, B, C, n, m \) five material constants describing the mechanical response. This formulation enables to go back to the classical Johnson-Cook formulation when strain rates are lower than \( 10^3 \text{ s}^{-1} \). The constants for the nickel and the tungsten alloy are provided in Table 1.

A saturation stress in the \( 10^4 \text{ s}^{-1} \) strain rate regime was introduced based on Steinberg's argument which stipulates that at a given strain rate all effects of strain rate have saturated and the material strength becomes independent of strain rate [9]. Strength levelling observed at high impact speeds with the direct impact Hopkinson pressure bar technique has motivated the choice of a strain rate threshold, \( \dot{\varepsilon}_{TH} \), of \( 20000 \text{ s}^{-1} \) [11].

Table 1. Modified Johnson-Cook constants.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>A (MPa)</th>
<th>B (MPa)</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>m</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>k</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nickel</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W alloy</td>
<td>1750</td>
<td>829</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.0015</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4 Results

The 3D numerical model used is shown in Figure 7a along with material targets located in the plastically deformed region of the Taylor specimens. Simulations were conducted at impact speed of 543 m/s and 250 m/s for the pure nickel and the tungsten alloy, respectively. The loading duration was found to be 60 µs for the pure nickel and 30 µs for the tungsten alloy as shown in Figure 7b with the history of the material targets.
Fig. 7. 3D numerical model (a). Plastic deformation and temperature histories of the targets 1 to 6 for the nickel tested at 453 m/s (b1) and the tungsten alloy tested at 250 m/s (b2). Nickel recrystallization temperature is indicated by a dot line.

4-1 Tensile failure of the nickel specimen

The decrease in strength associated with the recrystallization phenomena was taken in account in the numerical simulation by introducing a recrystallization temperature criterion. The work of Lichtenberger provides recrystallized hardness temperature curves for different level of initial plastic deformation [11]. From these curves, quasi-static recrystallization temperatures of 290 and 230 °C were deduced for a plastic deformation of 30 and 70%, respectively. Based on this work, a temperature of 230 °C was selected as a recrystallization temperature criterion. Such temperature is reached 12 µs after impact, see Figure 7 [7]. At this instant the plastic deformation is about 70%.

Numerical simulations were consequently generated incorporating two types of JCM models, with the first model representative of the original material, see section 3.1, and the second model representative of the recrystallized material. The yield and hardening coefficients for the recrystallized material were identified as to provide a rigid plastic behaviour with a quasi-static yield stress of 50 MPa. Precisely, the A and B coefficients of the JCM models were 200 and 800 MPa for the first model, and 100 and 400 MPa for the second model, respectively. The simulations were conducted by monitoring the temperature. At the recrystallization temperature criterion of 230 °C, the model representative of the recrystallized material was applied.

An effective tensile failure criterion of 0.8 was identified to reproduce the planar size, Recall Figure 2. The failure zone was not quite reproduce in depth as it was limited to an axial tensile failure of 1 mm, see Figure 8. Based on the work of Chapman and all, the extend of tensile failure inside the specimen comes from the coalescence of radial release waves at the specimen axis [10]. As suggested in this work, simulations conducted with a critical threshold pressure appear to be a mean to generate this bulk tensile failure.

Fig. 8. Tensile failure zone for the nickel tested at 453 m/s.

4-2 Shear failure of the nickel and tungsten alloy specimens

The shear failure process was reproduced using an effective shear strain criterion for the two metals.

Figures 9 reveals the shear failure zones obtained with a criterion $\varepsilon_{12} = \varepsilon_{23} = \varepsilon_{31}$ of 0.10 and 0.12, for the nickel tested at 453 m/s. Figures 10 reveals the shear failure zones obtained with a criterion $\varepsilon_{12} = \varepsilon_{23} = \varepsilon_{31}$ of 0.55 and 0.57, for the tungsten alloy at an impact speed of 250 m/s.

The use of a shear strain criterion enables to reproduce the shear failure processes observed with Taylor specimens made of a pure nickel and of a high strength tungsten alloy. To obtain a finer resolution, it will be necessary to refine the mesh size and to conduct a convergence study with meshes 25, 50, 75 et 100 µm in size.
5 Conclusion

Experimental and 3D numerical investigations were conducted to evaluate the failure processes of impact Taylor specimens made of a pure nickel and a high strength tungsten alloy. The symmetric loading procedure of the Taylor test was used to provide frictionless conditions at the impact interfaces.

Because recrystallization occurring in the center of the nickel specimen results in a softening state, the numerical simulations involved two materials models representing the initial state and another one modelling the softening state. Tensile failure occurring in the center of the specimen was triggered through the use of an effective tensile strain criterion. Shear cracks on the periphery of the Taylor specimens originating from adiabatic shear bands were modelled with the use of an effective shear strain criterion.

For the tungsten alloys, no tensile failure was observed as well as any evidence of recrystallization of the highly ductile nickel phase was identified. The only failure process observed with the tungsten alloy consisting of shear cracks initiating through adiabatic shear bands was modelled with the use of an effective shear strain offset.
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