

Parametrizations of three-body hadronic B - and D -decay amplitudes

Benoît Loiseau^{1,*}, *Diogo Boito*², *Jean-Pierre Dedonder*¹, *Bruno El-Bennich*³, *Rafel Escribano*⁴, *Robert Kamiński*⁵, and *Leonard Leśniak*⁵

¹Sorbonne Université, Campus Pierre et Marie Curie, Sorbonne Paris Cité, Université Paris Diderot, et IN2P3-CNRS, LPNHE, Groupe Phénoménologie, Paris, France

²Instituto de Física de São Carlos, Universidade de São Paulo, SP, Brazil

³Laboratório de Física Teórica e Computacional, Universidade Cruzeiro do Sul, São Paulo, SP, Brazil

⁴Grup de Física Teòrica and IFAE, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Bellaterra (Barcelona), Spain

⁵H. Niewodniczański Institute of Nuclear Physics, PAN, Kraków, Poland

Abstract. A short review of our recent work on amplitude parametrizations of three-body hadronic weak B and D decays is presented. The final states are here composed of three light mesons, namely the various charge $\pi\pi\pi$, $K\pi\pi$ and $KK\bar{K}$ states. These parametrizations are derived from previous calculations based on a quasi-two-body factorization approach where the two-body hadronic final state interactions are fully taken into account in terms of unitary S - and P -wave $\pi\pi$, πK and $KK\bar{K}$ form factors. They are an alternative to the isobar-model description and can be useful in the interpretation of CP asymmetries.

1 Introduction

1.1 Motivations: why study three-body hadronic B and D decays?

Three-body hadronic B and D decays provide a rich tool to study not only the Standard Model, QCD, CP violation [1] but also hadron physics. The hadron physics, often characterized by two-body resonances and their interferences, affect weak observables and any reliable determination of the later will require a good knowledge of the final state meson-meson interactions. This can be realized by introducing theoretical constraints such as unitarity, analyticity, chiral symmetry and the use of data from reactions other than B and D decays. Basic Dalitz-plot analyzes rely on sums of relativistic Breit-Wigner amplitudes representing the different possible implied resonances to which some non resonant background amplitude is added. The S -wave resonance contributions are often difficult to fit. Can one go beyond this isobar model approach?

One can replace the sums of relativistic Breit-Wigner components by parametrizations [2] in terms of unitary two-meson form factors keeping the weak-interaction dynamics governing the flavor-changing process via W -meson exchange. These parametrizations are based on published results and motivated by analyzes of high-statistics present and forthcoming data at BES III, LHCb, Belle II, Super c -tau factory Up to now there is no three-body decay factorization theorem but major contributions arise from intermediate resonances such

*e-mail: loiseau@lpnhe.in2p3.fr

as $\rho(770)$, $K^*(892)$, $\phi(1020)$ which allows to describe three-body decays as quasi-two-body ones. For instance, for the three-meson final state of the $D^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^- \pi^+$ decay, one can introduce quasi-two-body pairs, $[K_S^0 \pi^+]_L \pi^-$, $[K_S^0 \pi^-]_L \pi^+$, $K_S^0 [\pi^+ \pi^-]_L$, two of the three mesons forming a state of angular momentum 0 or 1 with $L = S$ or P , respectively.

1.2 QCD quasi-two-body factorization

Decays are mediated by local four-quark operators $O_i(\mu)$ forming the weak effective non-renormalizable Hamiltonian \mathcal{H}_{eff} . Schematically for $B \rightarrow M_1 M_2^* (M_2^* \rightarrow M_3 M_4)$ one has

$$\langle M_1 M_2^* | \mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}} | B \rangle = \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} V_{\text{CKM}} \sum_i C_i(\mu) \langle M_1 M_2^* | O_i(\mu) | B \rangle, \quad (1)$$

where G_F is the Fermi decay constant, V_{CKM} the product of Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa matrix elements and $C_i(\mu)$ Wilson coefficients renormalized at scale $\mu \sim m_b$ (or m_c in D decays). In the factorization approach [3] with the strong coupling $\alpha_s(\mu)$, i.e. at scale μ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle M_1 M_2^* | O_i(\mu) | B \rangle &= \left(\langle M_1 | J_1^\nu | B \rangle \langle M_2^* | J_{2\nu} | 0 \rangle \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \langle M_1 | J_3^\nu | 0 \rangle \langle M_2^* | J_{4\nu} | B \rangle \right) \left[1 + \sum_n r_n \alpha_s^n(\mu) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}}{m_b}\right) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where r_n are strong interaction constant factors and $|0\rangle$ the vacuum state. For the leading order the factorization takes place with either weak quark currents J_1 , J_2 or J_3 , J_4 . The radiative corrections can be evaluated to a given order $\alpha_s^n(\mu)$. The nonperturbative corrections to the heavy-quark limit $\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}}{m_b}\right)$ are less reliable for D decays as $m_c \sim m_b/3$; therefore, even though the factorization is more phenomenological for charmed mesons, it can still represent a good starting point.

The amplitude $\langle M_1 | J_1^\nu | B \rangle (= \langle M_1 \bar{B} | J_1^\nu | 0 \rangle)$ is a heavy-to-light transition form factor which can be evaluated within light-front and relativistic constituent quark models, light-cone sum rules, continuum functional QCD and lattice QCD (see Appendix A4 of Ref. [2]). Semi-leptonic decay measurements like $D^0 \rightarrow \pi^- e^+ \nu_e$ can also allow a phenomenological determination of these form factors.

The matrix element $\langle M_2^* | J_{2\nu} | 0 \rangle \propto \langle M_3 M_4 | J_{2\nu} | 0 \rangle$, where the $M_3 M_4$ resonance, M_2^* , originates from a $\bar{q}q$ pair, corresponds to the $M_3 M_4$ form factor. It has been shown, in Ref. [4], that, using dispersion relations and field theory, this form factor can be fully determined, if the $M_3 M_4$ strong interaction is known at all energies. These form factors are calculated from Muskhelishvili-Omnès equations [5] using two-body data, unitarity, asymptotic QCD and chiral symmetry constraints at low energies.

The term $\langle M_1 | J_3^\nu | 0 \rangle$, related to the M_1 weak decay constant, is known from experiment, e.g. the pion decay constant, f_π or that of the kaon, f_K . It can also be evaluated with lattice-regularized QCD and other nonperturbative approaches.

The matrix element $\langle M_2^* | J_{4\nu} | B \rangle \propto \langle M_3 M_4 | J_{4\nu} | B \rangle$ corresponding to B meson transitions to two-meson pairs via the M_2^* resonance is the biggest uncertainty in our approach. It could be evaluated from semi-leptonic processes: like $B^0 \rightarrow K^+ \pi^- \mu^+ \mu^-$ or $D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \mu^+ \mu^-$. In the derivation of the amplitude presented here it will be related to the $\langle M_2^* | \rightarrow M_3 M_4 | J_{2\nu} | 0 \rangle$ form factor. Within the soft-collinear effective theory, the amplitude can be factorized in terms of generalized B -to-two-body form factor and two-hadron light-cone distribution amplitude [6].

1.3 Application to the $D^+ \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$ decay

In this process, studied in Ref. [7], the final state $\pi^+ \pi^+$ interaction can be neglected and the quasi-two-body $[K^- \pi^+]_{S,P} \pi^+$ can be introduced. There is no penguin contribution (loop with W meson) and only the effective Wilson-coefficients $a_{1(2)}$ appear in the quasi-two-body factorized amplitude,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle [K^- \pi^+]_{S,P} \pi^+ | \mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}} | D^+ \rangle &= \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \cos^2 \theta_C \left[a_1 \langle [K^- \pi^+]_{S,P} | \bar{s} \gamma^\nu (1 - \gamma_5) c | D^+ \rangle \langle \pi_2^+ | \bar{u} \gamma_\nu (1 - \gamma_5) d | 0 \rangle \right. \\ &\quad \left. + a_2 \langle [K^- \pi_1^+]_{S,P} | \bar{s} \gamma^\nu (1 - \gamma_5) d | 0 \rangle \langle \pi_2^+ | \bar{u} \gamma_\nu (1 - \gamma_5) c | D^+ \rangle \right] + (\pi_1^+ \leftrightarrow \pi_2^+), \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

θ_C being the Cabbibo angle. The matrix element $\langle [K^- \pi_1^+]_{S,P} | \bar{s} \gamma^\nu (1 - \gamma_5) d | 0 \rangle$ is given by the $K\pi$ form factors. The term $\langle [K^- \pi_1^+]_{S,P} | \bar{s} \gamma^\nu (1 - \gamma_5) c | D^+ \rangle$ is less straightforward to evaluate. Assuming a dominant intermediate resonance R , it can be written as being proportional to the D to R [$R \rightarrow K\pi$] transition form factor multiplied by the $K\pi$ form factors. This description is a feature of crucial importance to our proposed parametrizations. In Eq. (3), $\langle \pi_2^+(p) | \bar{u} \gamma_\nu (1 - \gamma_5) d | 0 \rangle = -i f_\pi p_\nu$ and $\langle \pi_2^+ | \bar{u} \gamma_\nu (1 - \gamma_5) c | D^+ \rangle$ is the $D\pi$ transition form factor.

Parametrized amplitudes based on quasi-two-body factorization have been given in Ref. [2] in terms of analytic and unitary meson-meson form factors for final states composed of three light mesons, namely the various charge $\pi\pi\pi$, $K\pi\pi$ and $KK\bar{K}$ states. For these hadronic three-body decays we have shown, in previous studies, that this approach is phenomenologically successful. Below, we illustrate these parametrizations for the $B \rightarrow K\pi^+ \pi^-$ [8–10] and $D^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 K^+ K^-$ [11] for meson-meson final states in S wave. Formulae for meson-meson final states in P wave are given in Ref. [2].

2 Parametrized amplitudes for the $B \rightarrow K\pi^+ \pi^-$ decays

2.1 Parametrization of the $B \rightarrow K[\pi^\pm \pi^\mp]_S$ amplitudes

Let us label the momenta as $B(p_B) \rightarrow K(p_1)\pi^+(p_2)\pi^-(p_3)$ with $s_{12} = (p_1 + p_2)^2$, $s_{13} = (p_1 + p_3)^2$, $s_{23} = (p_2 + p_3)^2$ and $s_{12} + s_{13} + s_{23} = m_B^2 + m_K^2 + 2m_\pi^2$. As can be seen from Eq. (1) of Ref. [8] the $B \rightarrow K[\pi^+ \pi^-]_S$ amplitude can be parametrized in terms of three complex parameters, b_i^S , $i = 1, 2, 3$, for the different charged states $B = B^\pm$, $K = K^\pm$ and $B = B^0(\bar{B}^0)$, $K = K^0(\bar{K}^0)$ or K_S^0 . For the B^- decays one has

$$\mathcal{A}_S(s_{23}) \equiv \langle K^- [\pi^+ \pi^-]_S | \mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}} | B^- \rangle = b_1^S (M_B^2 - s_{23}) F_{0n}^{\pi\pi}(s_{23}) + (b_2^S F_0^{BK}(s_{23}) + b_3^S) F_{0s}^{\pi\pi}(s_{23}), \quad (4)$$

where $F_0^{BK}(s)$ is the B to K transition form factor (see Refs. [2, 8]). The non-strange scalar form factor $F_{0n}^{\pi\pi}(s)$ contains the contributions of $f_0(500)$, $f_0(980)$ and $f_0(1400)$. Several models are compared in Fig. 8 of Ref. [12]. Although there are large differences, it has been checked by the authors that, with the fitted form factor to obtain the lowest χ^2 for $D^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$, the main conclusions achieved for the $B^\pm \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^\pm$ in Ref. [13] were unchanged (see Ref. [12] for explanations). The modulus of the Moussallam pion scalar form factor [14], calculated by solving the Muskhelishvili-Omnès equation [5], is close to that of the form factor obtained in Ref. [12], notably below 1 GeV. A plot of the strange scalar form factor $F_{0s}^{\pi\pi}(s)$, which receives the contribution of the $f_0(980)$ and $f_0(1400)$, can be found in Fig. 6 of Ref. [15]. It has been calculated using the Muskhelishvili-Omnès approach.

In terms of the original amplitude [8] one has¹, $F_0^{B \rightarrow f_0(980)}(m_K^2)$ being the B to $f_0(980)$ transition form factor evaluated at m_K^2 [2],

$$b_1^S = \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \left[\chi f_K F_0^{B \rightarrow f_0(980)}(m_K^2) U - \tilde{C} \right], \quad (5)$$

where $\tilde{C} = f_\pi F_\pi (\lambda_u P_1^{GIM} + \lambda_t P_1)$, $\lambda_u = V_{ub} V_{us}^*$, $\lambda_t = V_{tb} V_{ts}^*$, F_π is the $B\pi$ form factor at $m_\pi^2 = 0$, P_1^{GIM} , P_1 complex charming penguin parameters and U is a short-distance contribution given in terms of CKM matrix element multiplied by effective Wilson coefficients. The fitted parameter χ represents the strength of the non-strange pion form factor contribution, furthermore. Its value can be estimated from the $f_0(980)$ decay properties [8]. A summary of the models for the scalar-isoscalar pion form factor can be found in Appendix A4 of Ref. [2] and, as just noted above, see also the recent determination of Ref. [15] and the review talk [16].

2.2 Parametrization of the $B \rightarrow [K\pi^\pm]_S \pi^\mp$ amplitudes

In terms of the two complex parameters c_1^S , c_2^S (see Eq. (68) of Ref. [10]) one has

$$\mathcal{A}_S(s_{12}) \equiv \langle \pi^- [K^- \pi^+]_S | \mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}} | B^- \rangle = (c_1^S + c_2^S s_{12}) \frac{F_0^{B\pi}(s_{12}) F_0^{K\pi}(s_{12})}{s_{12}}, \quad (6)$$

where $F_0^{K\pi}(s)$ (contribution of $K_0^*(800)$ or κ and of $K_0^*(1430)$, see e.g. Fig. 7 of Ref. [12]) and $F_0^{B\pi}(s)$ are the $K\pi$ and $B\pi$ scalar form factors, respectively. This parametrization has been used with success in the amplitude analysis [17] of the Dalitz-plot distribution of the LHCb $\bar{B} \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$ data. One has [10]

$$c_1^S = \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} (M_B^2 - m_\pi^2)(m_K^2 - m_\pi^2) \left[\lambda_u \left(a_4^u(S) - \frac{a_{10}^u(S)}{2} + c_4^u \right) + \lambda_c \left(a_4^c(S) - \frac{a_{10}^c(S)}{2} + c_4^c \right) \right], \quad (7)$$

where $\lambda_c = V_{cb} V_{cs}^*$. The $a_i^{u(c)}(S)$, $i = 4, 10$ are the leading order effective Wilson coefficients including vertex and penguin corrections. The $c_4^{u(c)}$ are free fitted parameters simulating non-perturbative and higher order contributions to the penguin diagrams. Models for the $F_0^{K\pi}(s)$ form factor are described in Ref. [2], see also some complementary aspects in Ref. [16].

3 $D^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 [K^+ K^-]_S$ and $D^0 \rightarrow [K_S^0 K^\pm]_S K^\mp$ parametrized amplitudes

The $[K^+ K^-]$ pairs can have isospin 0 or 1 but the $[K_S^0 K^\pm]$ ones have isospin 1. The $f_0(980)$, $f_0(1400)$, $a_0(980)^0$ and $a_0(1450)^0$ contribute to the following parametrized amplitude

$$\mathcal{A}_{S,0}^0(s_{23}) = h_1^S (m_{D^0}^2 - s_{23}) F_{0n}^{K\bar{K}}(s_{23}) + h_2^S (m_{K^0}^2 - s_{23}) F_{0s}^{K\bar{K}}(s_{23}) + h_3^S (m_{D^0}^2 - s_{23}) G_0^{K\bar{K}}(s_{23}). \quad (8)$$

where s_{23} is the energy squared of the $K^+ K^-$ pair while s_{12} is associated to the $K_S^0 K^-$ pair and s_{13} to the $K_S^0 K^+$ one. The decay amplitude associated with the $a_0(980)^-$ and $a_0(1450)^-$ resonances, can be parametrized as:

$$\mathcal{A}_{S,-}^0(s_{12}) = (h_4^S + h_5^S s_{12}) G_0^{K\bar{K}}(s_{12}). \quad (9)$$

The amplitude carrying contributions from $a_0(980)^+$ and $a_0(1450)^+$ reads

¹The interested reader will find, in Appendix B of Ref. [2], the corresponding relations for the other parameters.

$$\mathcal{A}_{S,+}^0(s_{13}) = \left[h_6^S \frac{F_0^{DK}(s_{13})}{s_{13}} + h_7^S (m_K^2 - s_{13}) \right] G_0^{K\bar{K}}(s_{13}). \quad (10)$$

Models for the $F_{0n(s)}^{K\bar{K}}(s)$ form factors entering Eq. (8) have been derived in Ref. [18, 19] (see their Figs. 1) solving three coupled channels viz. $\pi\pi$, $K\bar{K}$ and 4π (effective 2π - 2π or $\sigma\sigma$ or $\rho\rho$...) and imposing chiral symmetry constraints. The $F_{0s}^{K\bar{K}}(s)$ form factor has also been calculated in a dispersive approach in Ref. [15] (see their Fig. 7).

In Eqs. (9) and (10), the scalar-isovector $G_0^{K\bar{K}}(s)$ form factor, built in Ref. [20] from a unitary S -wave coupled channel ($\eta\pi$, $K\bar{K}$) model, is plotted in their Fig. 7. This model, derived from the Muskhelishvili-Omnès equation [5], imposes the presence of the $a_0(980)$ and $a_0(1450)$ and includes asymptotic QCD and chiral symmetry constraints. Models for the transition form factor $F_0^{DK}(s)$ in Eq. (10) can be found in Ref. [2]. The above complex h_i^S coefficients are given in terms of the original amplitudes in Appendix B of Ref. [2].

4 Concluding remarks

Alternatives to isobar Dalitz-plot model for weak D , B decays into various $\pi\pi\pi$, $K\pi\pi$ and $KK\bar{K}$ charge states have been presented in Ref. [2]. Let us recall that isobar parametrizations do not respect unitarity and extraction of strong CP phases should be taken with caution. Furthermore S -wave resonance contributions are hard to fit.

Our parametrizations, although not fully three-body unitary, are based on a sound theoretical application of QCD factorization to a hadronic quasi-two-body decay. They assume that final three-meson state are preceded by intermediate resonant states which is justified by phenomenological and experimental evidence. Analyticity, unitarity, chiral symmetry plus correct asymptotic behavior of the two-meson scattering amplitude in S and P waves are implemented via analytical and unitary S - and P -wave $\pi\pi$, πK and $K\bar{K}$ form factors entering in hadronic final states of our amplitude parametrizations.

These parametrized amplitudes can be readily used adjusting parameters in a least-square fit to the Dalitz plot for a given decay channel and employing tabulated form factors as functions of momentum squared or energy. The reproduction of the Dalitz-plot data might require some adjustment of the meson-meson form factors. The addition of phenomenological amplitudes (contributions of higher interacting waves, in particular D waves or $J=2$ resonances), and possible three-body rescattering effects may be necessary.

We have exemplified here expressions for the $B \rightarrow K\pi^+\pi^-$ [8–10] and $D^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 K^+ K^-$ [11] for meson-meson final states in S wave. In Ref. [2] one can find other explicit amplitude expressions for meson-meson final states in S and P wave for $B^\pm \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^\pm$, $B \rightarrow K\pi^+\pi^-$, $B^\pm \rightarrow K^+K^-K^\pm$, $D^+ \rightarrow \pi^-\pi^+\pi^+$, $D^+ \rightarrow K^-\pi^+\pi^+$, $D^0 \rightarrow K_S^0\pi^+\pi^-$. Previous studies have shown that this approach is successful. In addition, expressions for $D^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 K^+K^-$ are also given in Ref. [2]. We have derived preliminary parametrized amplitudes for the $B^\pm \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^\pm$ decays [1, 21] and for the $B^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 K^+K^-$ process presently analyzed by the LHCb collaboration.

B.L. thanks A. Bondar and S. Eidelman for their kind invitation to present this contribution to this CHARM2018 international workshop. B.E. and B.L. are grateful to the Mainz Institute for Theoretical Physics (MITP) for its hospitality and its partial support during the completion of this work.

References

- [1] R. Aaij *et al.* [LHCb Collaboration], Measurements of CP violation in the three-body phase space of charmless B^\pm decays, Phys. Rev. D **90**, 112004 (2014).

- [2] D. Boito, J.-P. Dedonder, B. El-Bennich, R. Escribano, R. Kamiński, L. Leśniak, B. Loiseau, Parametrizations of three-body hadronic B - and D -decay amplitudes in terms of analytic and unitary meson-meson form factors, *Phys. Rev. D* **96**, 113003 (2017).
- [3] G. Buchalla, A. J. Buras and M. E. Lautenbacher, Weak decays beyond leading logarithms, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **68**, 1125 (1996); arXiv [hep-ph/9512380].
- [4] G. Barton, Introduction to dispersion techniques in field theory, W. A. Benjamin, Inc., New York (1965).
- [5] N. I. Muskhelishvili, Singular integral equations, (P. Nordhof 1953), chapters 18 and 19; R. Omnès, On the solution of certain singular integral equations of quantum field theory, *Nuovo Cim.* **8**, 316 (1958).
- [6] R. Klein, T. Mannel, J. Virto, K. Keri Vos, CP violation in multibody B decays from QCD factorization, *JHEP* **10**, 117 (2017).
- [7] D. R. Boito and R. Escribano, $K\pi$ form-factors and final state interactions in $D^+ \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$ decays, *Phys. Rev. D* **80**, 054007 (2009).
- [8] A. Furman, R. Kamiński, L. Leśniak and B. Loiseau, Long-distance effects and final state interactions in $B \rightarrow \pi\pi K$ and $B \rightarrow K\bar{K}K$ decays, *Phys. Lett. B* **622**, 207 (2005).
- [9] B. El-Bennich, A. Furman, R. Kamiński, L. Leśniak, B. Loiseau, Interference between $f_0(980)$ and $\rho(770)^0$ resonances in $B \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- K$ decays, *Phys. Rev. D* **74**, 114009 (2006).
- [10] B. El-Bennich, A. Furman, R. Kamiński, L. Leśniak, B. Loiseau and B. Moussallam, CP violation and kaon-pion interactions in $B \rightarrow K\pi^+ \pi^-$ decays, *Phys. Rev. D* **79**, 094005 (2009); Erratum-ibid, *Phys. Rev. D* **83**, 039903 (2011).
- [11] J.-P. Dedonder, R. R Kamiński, L. Leśniak and B. Loiseau, Dalitz plot studies of $D^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 K^+ K^-$ decays in a factorization approach, work in progress.
- [12] J.-P. Dedonder, R. R Kamiński, L. Leśniak and B. Loiseau, Dalitz plot studies of $D^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$ decays in a factorization approach, *Phys. Rev. D* **89**, 094018 (2014).
- [13] J.-P. Dedonder, A. Furman, R Kamiński, L. Leśniak and B. Loiseau, Final state interactions and CP violation in $B^\pm \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^\pm$ decays, *Acta Phys. Pol. B* **42**, 2013 (2011).
- [14] B. Moussallam, N_f dependence of the quark condensate from a chiral sum rule, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **14**, 111 (2000).
- [15] S. Ropertz, C. Hanhart, B. Kubis, A new parametrization for the scalar pion form factors, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **78**, 1000 (2018); contribution to this CHARM2018 international workshop.
- [16] E. Passemar, Dispersive analyses: Perspective and Discussion, FCNLB2019 talk, MITP, Mainz, <https://indico.mitp.uni-mainz.de/event/177/session/7/material/0/2.pdf>
- [17] R. Aaij *et al.* [LHCb Collaboration], Amplitude analysis of the decay $\bar{B} \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$ and first observation of the CP asymmetry in $\bar{B} \rightarrow K^*(892)^- \pi^+$, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120**, 261801 (2018); arXiv: 1712.09320 [hep-ex].
- [18] A. Furman, R. Kamiński, L. Leśniak, P. Żenczykowski, Final state interaction in $B^\pm \rightarrow K^+ K^- K^\pm$, *Phys. Lett. B* **699**, 102 (2011).
- [19] L. Leśniak and P. Żenczykowski, Dalitz-plot dependence of CP asymmetry in $B^\pm \rightarrow K^\pm K^+ K^-$ decays, *Phys. Lett. B* **737**, 201 (2014).
- [20] M. Albaladejo, B. Moussallam, Form factors of the isovector scalar current and the $\eta\pi$ scattering phase shifts, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **75**, 488 (2015).
- [21] C.-L. Hsu *et al.* (Belle Collaboration), Measurement of branching fraction and direct CP asymmetry in charmless $B^- \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^-$ decays at Belle, *Phys. Rev. D* **96**, 031101(R) (2017).