Neutron production from thick LiF, C, Si, Ni, Mo, and Ta targets bombarded by 13.4-MeV deuterons

Hayato Takeshita1,∗, Yukinobu Watanabe1, Keita Nakano2, Seiya Manabe1, Katsumi Aoki1, Naoto Araki1, Kosuke Yoshinami1, Tadatomo Kin1, Nobukihiro Shigyo1, Jun Koga3, So Makise3, Tanaki Yoshioka4, Masaomi Tanaka5, and Takashi Teranishi5

1Department of Advanced Energy Engineering Science, Kyushu University, Fukuoka 816-8580 Japan
2Department of Applied Quantum Physics and Nuclear Engineering, Kyushu University, Fukuoka 819-0395, Japan
3Department of Physics, Kyushu University, Fukuoka 819-0395, Japan
4Research Center for Superheavy Elements, Kyushu University, Fukuoka 819-0395, Japan
5Research Center for Advanced Particle Physics, Kyushu University, Fukuoka 819-0395, Japan

Abstract. Double-differential thick target neutron yields from LiF, C, Si, Ni, Mo, and Ta targets bombarded by 13.4-MeV deuterons were measured by using an EJ-301 liquid organic scintillator at the Center for Accelerator and Beam Applied Science, Kyushu University. The measured \((d, xn)\) spectra were compared with the \((t, xn)\) spectra measured by the other group at the same incident energy per nucleon (6.7 MeV/u) and theoretical model calculations by Particle and Heavy Ion Transport code System (PHITS) and DEUteron-induced Reaction Analysis Code System (DEURACS). Some bumps are observed in the \((d, x)\) spectra for low-Z target elements, while no specific structure was seen in the \((t, x)\) spectra. The PHITS calculation, in which the intra-nuclear cascade of Liége (INCL) and generalized evaporation model (GEM) were used, generally overestimates neutron spectra while the DEURACS calculation agrees with experimental ones fairly well.

1 Introduction

As a candidate for intense neutron sources for nuclear applications, accelerator-based neutron sources using deuteron-induced reactions have been proposed in recent years. For example, at the International Fusion Materials Irradiation Facility (IFMIF), neutron beams generated by the \(\text{Li}(d, xn)\) reactions will be utilized in various irradiation tests for research and development of fusion reactors [1]. In these neutron sources, systematic estimation of neutron yields from the whole neutron source including accelerator is important because neutrons are produced not only from neutron converters made of light nuclei such as Li, Be, and C but also from structural materials of the accelerator. Therefore, we have measured systematically deuteron-induced thick target neutron yields \((d\text{-TTNYs})\) from various target materials [2], and validation of theoretical model calculation codes such as Particle and Heavy Ion Transport code System (PHITS) [3] and DEUteron-induced Reaction Analysis Code System (DEURACS) [4] has been performed.

On the other hand, Drosg et al. [5] have recently tackled the neutron production with triton irradiation, and measured the triton-induced thick target neutron yields \((t\text{-TTNYs})\) from various target materials using 6.7 MeV/u triton beams. Comparing the \((t, xn)\) and \((d, xn)\) data might offer better understanding of the nuclear reaction mechanism.
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In this work, we measured \(d\text{-TTNYs}\) at an incident energy of 13.4 MeV (6.7 MeV/u) to investigate the difference between the \((d, x)\) and \((t, x)\) spectra from the same materials (LiF, Si, Ni, Mo, and Ta). Moreover, we validated the theoretical nuclear reaction models implemented in PHITS and DEURACS by comparing their predictions with the measured \((d, x)\) spectra.

2 Experimental Procedure

The experiment was performed at the Center for Accelerator and Beam Applied Science (CABAS), Kyushu University. In the experiment, C, LiF, Si, Ni, Mo, and Ta were employed as the target materials. Figure 1 illustrates the experimental setup. Each target was placed in a vacuum chamber and bombarded by the 13.4-MeV deuterons accelerated by an 8-MV Tandem Van de Graaf accelerator. The targets were thick enough to stop incident deuterons completely (2 mm for C, 1 mm for LiF and Si, and 0.5 mm for the others). These thicknesses were chosen from the range calculations by the SRIM code [6]. The chamber was electrically insulated from the other experimental apparatuses to acquire the whole beam charge induced on the targets. In addition, a 125-μm-thick Mylar film was equipped with the chamber window at the forward directions. Neutrons emitted from the targets were detected by an EJ-301 liquid organic scintillator (5.08-cm diam. × 5.08-cm length) placed at the distance of 2.4 m from the target position for forward
emission angles (i.e., 0°, 15°, and 30° for C and LiF, and 0° for the others.) To estimate the contribution of neutrons scattered from floor and surrounding walls in the experimental room, additional background measurements were performed with an iron shadow bar (150-mm wide × 150-mm high × 300-mm thick) placed between the targets and detector.

3 Data Analysis

First, particle identification was performed by the conventional two-gate integration method because the EJ-301 detector is sensitive to gammas as well as neutrons. Figure 2 shows a two-dimensional plot of the total and slow components of the light output signals. Neutron events were clearly separated in the region of enough low light output. Next, the obtained light output spectra of neutron events per ADC channel were converted into those per light output units of electron equivalent. For this calibration, measurements of standard gamma sources 137Cs (0.662 MeV) and 60Co (1.17 and 1.33 MeV) were performed. In addition, calibration in the higher light output region was performed by using gammas following 12C(d,p)12C (3.09 and 3.68 MeV) and 12C(d,d)12C (4.44 MeV) reactions and neutrons emitted in 7Li(d,n)8Be and 12C(d,n)13N reactions with maximum emission energies. Finally, neutron energy spectra were derived by an unfolding method using the response functions of the EJ-301 detector calculated by the SCINFUL-QMD code [7]. The unfolding of the measured light output spectra was performed by the FORIST code [8] based on the least-squares method.

Uncertainties in the experimental data are caused by the following three major factors: the geometry of the detector, the response functions of the detector, and the scattering of neutrons in the air. The first one was estimated to be 2% as the uncertainty contained in the determination of the solid angle of finite-size detector. The second one was estimated to be 17% from Ref. [2] considering the effect of aluminum housing of the detector and the difference of the response functions calculated by SCINFUL-QMD and CECIL [9] codes. The third one was estimated to be 2% on the basis of the neutron transport calculated with PHITS code. Contributions of the other sources such as the particle identification, beam integration, and dead time corrections were assumed to be less than 1%.

4 Results and Discussion

4.1 Neutron spectra from C and LiF targets

The measured neutron spectra from C and LiF targets are shown in Fig. 3. Note that the error bars include only statistical uncertainties. Some bumps are observed around 5.5, 8.5, and 12 MeV for C, and 5.5, 15, and 26 MeV for LiF. The first bump is caused by deuteron breakup as detailed in Sec. 4.4. The second and third bumps are due to proton-transfer reactions leading to the ground and first excited states in the residual nuclei. Table 1 shows

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>reaction</th>
<th>7Li(d,n)3Be</th>
<th>12C(d,n)13N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State of residual</td>
<td>g.s.</td>
<td>1st</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q-value [MeV]</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>3.60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Q-values of \((d,n)\) reactions in LiF and C targets

![Figure 1. Schematic drawing of experimental setup. The iron shadow bar was placed only for background measurements.](image1)

![Figure 2. Two-dimensional plot of pulse integration with total (x-axis) and slow (y-axis) components for Ta target](image2)

![Figure 3. Double-differential neutron spectra from LiF (left) and C (right) targets at emission angles of 0°, 15°, and 30°](image3)
the Q-values of proton-transfer reactions for C and Li. It is apparent that the bumps correspond to the neutron emissions with each Q-value. The magnitudes of neutron spectra from both targets decrease rapidly as the emission angle increases. This tendency can be explained by the deuteron breakup since neutron emission by breakup is strongly forward-peaked.

### 4.2 Comparison with \((t, xn)\) spectra

Comparisons of the \((d, xn)\) and \((t, xn)\) spectra at an incident energy of 6.7 MeV/u are shown in Figs. 4a–4e. In the \((d, xn)\) spectra, some characteristic bumps are observed for LiF and Si targets. These bumps are caused by deuteron breakup or proton-transfer reactions, as mentioned above, while those contributions are not observed for heavier targets. On the other hand, no specific structure is seen in any \((t, xn)\) spectra. This spectral difference may be due to the difference of neutron separation energies of the incident particles. That is, the separation energy of triton \((S_t = 6.26 \text{ MeV})\) is much larger than that of deuteron \((S_d = 2.22 \text{ MeV})\), and therefore, the contribution of projectile breakup should be smaller. Moreover, the triton breakup can be described by three-body kinematics \((t \to p + 2n)\), which leads to structureless spectra.

To compare neutron yields by deuteron and triton irradiations, the neutron spectra were integrated over neutron energies from 2 MeV to the maximum emission energies. Fig. 4f shows the results as a function of target atomic number. The yields for the other elements measured in Ref. [5] are also plotted in the triton data. For both cases, the total neutron yields decrease exponentially with increasing atomic number. The deuteron irradiation produces more neutrons for low-Z elements and decreases more rapidly in the high-Z region than the triton incidence. Further detailed discussion for d-TTNYs will be given in Sec. 4.4.

### 4.3 Validation of PHITS calculations

To benchmark the PHITS code for low-energy deuteron-induced reactions, its calculations are compared with the experimental \((d, xn)\) spectra. The intra-nuclear cascade of Liège (INCL) [10] and generalized evaporation model (GEM) [11] were utilized for dynamical process and subsequent evaporation process, respectively. Total reaction cross sections were calculated by using the Minomo–Washiyama–Ogata formula [12], which is
dedicated for deuteron-nucleus reactions. The calculation results are shown in Fig. 5 (black lines). The PHITS calculation generally reproduces the spectral shapes for C and Si but overestimates the magnitudes for the heavier targets. Since the INCL was developed originally for intermediate energy reactions >100 MeV/u, this low energy may be beyond its applicability.

4.4 Validation of DEURACS calculations

In the DEURACS code, the elastic breakup (EBU) and non-elastic breakup (NBU) reactions are described by the continuum discretized coupled channel theory and the Glauber model [13], respectively, and the statistical decay (SD) process is calculated with the CCONC code [14]. In addition, the distorted wave born approximation is employed for \(^{12}\)C(\(d, n\))\(^{13}\)N at C target. Fig. 5 shows the calculation results (orange lines) along with each component. DEURACS calculation reproduces the experimental spectra successfully. It was found that NBU is dominant for C and Si targets and makes the bumps around half the incident energy although its contribution is modest for the heavier targets. However, some discrepancies are seen between the calculation and experimental results. Bumps around 8.5 MeV for C and around 13 and 17 MeV for Si are not reproduced by DEURACS. These bumps are caused by proton-transfer reaction to the discrete levels of Si.

5 Summary and Conclusions

Double-differential neutron yields from six thick target materials (LiF, C, Si, Ni, Mo, and Ta) bombarded by 13.4-MeV deuterons were measured. To investigate the difference in neutron production between deuterons and tritons at the same incident energy per nucleon, the measured (\(d, xn\)) spectra were compared with the existing (\(t, xn\)) data. Some bumps were observed in the deuteron case around half the incident energy, while no peak was observed for the triton case. In addition, the angle-differential neutron yields at 0° were derived by integrating neutron spectra over neutron emission energy. The experimental neutron yields decrease exponentially as the target atomic number increases for both cases. The measured d-TTNY spectra were compared with the theoretical model calculations using PHITS and DEURACS. The PHITS calculation generally overestimates the experimental data for heavy targets, while DEURACS calculation agrees with experimental data fairly well.

This work was partially funded by ImPACT Program of Council for Science, Technology and Innovation (Cabinet Office, Government of Japan).

References