https://doi.org/10.1051/epjconf/202226008004

Direct measurement of the ^{19}F($p, \alpha\gamma$)^{16}O reaction in JUNA

L.Y. Zhang[1,9], *J.* Su[1,9], *J.J.* He[1,9,*], *M.* Wiescher[2], *R.J.* deBoer[2], *D.* Kahl[3], *Y.J.* Chen[1], *X.Y.* Li[1], *J.G.* Wang[4], *L.* Zhang[5], *F.Q.* Cao[5], *H.* Zhang[5], *Z.C.* Zhang[6], *T.Y.* Jiao[4], *Y.D.* Sheng[1], *L.H.* Wang[1], *L.Y.* Song[1], *X.Z.* Jiang[1], *Z.M.* Li[1], *E.T.* Li[6], *S.* Wang[7], *G.* Lian[5], *Z.H.* Li[5], *X.D.* Tang[4], *H.W.* Zhao[4], *L.T.* Sun[4], *Q.* Wu[4], *J.Q.* Li[4], *B.Q.* Cui[5], *L.H.* Chen[5], *R.G.* Ma[5], *B.* Guo[5], *S.W.* Xu[4], *J.Y.* Li[4], *N.C.* Qi[8], *W.L.* Sun[8], *X.Y.* Guo[8], *P.* Zhang[8], *Y.H.* Chen[8], *Y.* Zhou[8], *J.F.* Zhou[8], *J.R.* He[8], *C.S.* Shang[8], *M.C.* Li[8], *X.H.* Zhou[4], *Y.H.* Zhang[4], *F.S.* Zhang[1,9], *Z.G.* Hu[4], *H.S.* Xu[4], *J.P.* Cheng[1], and *W.P.* Liu[5]

[1]Key Laboratory of Beam Technology and Material Modification of Ministry of Education, College of Nuclear Science and Technology, Beijing Normal University, Beijing 100875, China
[2]The Joint Institute for Nuclear Astrophysics, Department of Physics, University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, Indiana 46556 USA
[3]Extreme Light Infrastructure – Nuclear Physics, Horia Hulubei National Institute for R&D in Physics and Nuclear Engineering (IFIN-HH), Bucharest-Măgurele 077125, Romania
[4]Institute of Modern Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Lanzhou 730000, China
[5]China Institute of Atomic Energy, Beijing 102413, China
[6]College of Physics and Optoelectronic Engineering, Shenzhen University, Shenzhen 518060, China
[7]Shandong Provincial Key Laboratory of Optical Astronomy and Solar-Terrestrial Environment, Institute of Space Sciences, Shandong University, Weihai 264209, China
[8]Yalong River Hydropower Development Company, Chengdu 610051, China
[9]Beijing Radiation Center, Beijing 100875, China

Abstract. The ^{19}F($p, \alpha\gamma$)^{16}O reaction is of crucial importance for Galactic ^{19}F abundances and CNO cycle loss in first generation Population III stars. Due to its extremely small cross sections, the ^{19}F($p, \alpha\gamma$)^{16}O reaction has not been measured in the low energy part of the Gamow window(70-200 keV). As a day-one campaign, the experiment was performed under the extremely low cosmic-ray-induced background environment of the China JinPing Underground Laboratory(CJPL), one of the deepest underground laboratories in the world. The γ-ray yields were measured over $E_{c.m.}$=72.4–344 keV, covering the full Gamow window for the first time. The direct experimental data will help people to expound the fluorine over-abundances, energy generation, as well as heavy-element nuclosynthesis scenario in asymptotic giant branch (AGB) stars, with the astrophysical model on the firm ground.

1 Introduction

Fluorine is one of the most interesting elements in nuclear astrophysics, its astrophysical origin is puzzling. ^{19}F can be produced in the convective zone triggered by a thermal pulse in asymptotic giant branch (AGB) stars [1, 2]. So far, however, the astronomically observed fluorine over-abundances cannot be understood by using current AGB models [3–6]. In AGB

---

[*] e-mail: hejianjun@bnu.edu.cn



Figure 1. Level scheme of the ^{19}F$(p, \alpha)^{16}$O reaction. The total reaction rate is dominated by the (p, α_0) and $(p, \alpha\gamma)$ channel.

stars, ^{19}F is readily annihilated by hydrogen via the ^{19}F$(p, \alpha)^{16}$O reaction [3, 7, 8]. Given its importance, a precise and complete measurement of the total cross section appeared desirable. The ^{19}F$(p, \alpha)^{16}$O reaction occurs via three types of channels, i.e., (p, α_0), (p, α_π) and $(p, \alpha\gamma)$, as shown in Fig. 1. The total reaction rate is dominated by the (p, α_0) and $(p, \alpha\gamma)$ channel [3]. For the $(p, \alpha\gamma)$ channel, the energy range of $E_{c.m.} > 189$ keV has been studied which is much higher than the the low energy edge of the Gamow window(70-350 keV) [9, 10]. In this paper, we report on the progress of a direct measurement of the ^{19}F$(p, \alpha\gamma)^{16}$O reaction at Jinping Underground Nuclear Astrophysics experimental facility (JUNA). In the present work, the studies have been extended to $E_{c.m.}$=72.4-344 keV, the lowest to date. The results from present and previous work allow to calculate the reaction rates over a wide range of temperatures.

2 Experiment

The experiment was carried out on the JUNA accelerator at CJPL [11]. The experimental setup is similar to the one described in Ref. [2, 12]. The beam current was 1 mA for the low energy measurements. Two very strong and durable implanted ^{19}F targets were used [10, 12]. A 4π BGO detector array specially designed for the JUNA project [13] was equipped to detect the γ-rays, which was already used in previous work [12].

The γ-ray spectrum taken at a proton beam energy of E_p = 130 keV with the 4π BGO array was shown in Fig. 2. Two background peaks at 1460.8 keV (from ^{40}Ar) and 2614.5 keV (from ^{208}Tl) and the 6130 keV peak from the ^{19}F$(p,\alpha\gamma_2)^{16}$O reaction were used for energy calibration [2].

The γ-ray yield and hence the S Factors of the ^{19}F$(p,\alpha\gamma_2)^{16}$O reaction were determined by the integration of the 6130 keV peak of the spectrum(the red region in Fig. 2). The details can be found in Ref [2]. In conclusion, The present S Factors are much larger than the previous predictions. The thermonuclear ^{19}F$(p,\alpha\gamma_2)^{16}$O rate has been determined down to 0.05 GK



Figure 2. γ-ray spectrum of the ^{19}F+p experiments measured by a 4π BGO array at a proton energy of E_p = 130 keV [2].

and parameterized by the standard format of [14],

$$
\begin{aligned}
&N_A\langle\sigma v\rangle_{(p,\alpha\gamma)}\\
&= \exp(62.821 - \frac{0.022063}{T_9} - \frac{10.5347}{T_9^{1/3}} - 67.9612T_9^{1/3} + 50.592T_9 - 24.33T_9^{5/3} + 11.0325\ln T_9)\\
&+ \exp(30.5159 - \frac{0.097764}{T_9} + \frac{17.4599}{T_9^{1/3}} + 38.7519T_9^{1/3} - 134.383T_9 + 57.3453T_9^{5/3} + 37.5491\ln T_9)\\
&+ \exp(-18.6175 - \frac{0.349603}{T_9} + \frac{39.0245}{T_9^{1/3}} + 67.2527T_9^{1/3} - 116.029T_9 + 39.954T_9^{5/3} + 42.7072\ln T_9)\\
&+ \exp(-91.3551 - \frac{0.136527}{T_9} + \frac{0.16144}{T_9^{1/3}} + 21.6386T_9^{1/3} + 873.979T_9 - 1709.51T_9^{5/3} - 7.5102\ln T_9)
\end{aligned}
$$

with a fitting error of less than 1% over a temperature region of 0.01–1 GK [2].

We wish to thank the staff of the CJPL and Yalong River Hydropower Development Company for logistics support. This work was financially supported by the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 11490562, 11825504, 12075027, 11961141004). RJD utilized resources from the Notre Dame Center for Research Computing and RJD and MW were supported by the National Science Foundation through Grant No. Phys-2011890, and the Joint Institute for Nuclear Astrophysics through Grant No. PHY-1430152 (JINA Center for the Evolution of the Elements). RJD acknowledges useful discussions with Daniel Odell regarding Bayesian uncertainty estimation applied to R-matrix theory. DK acknowledges the support of the Romanian Ministry of Research and Innovation under research contract 10N/PN 19 06 01 05.

References

[1] D. Clayton, *Handbook of Isotopes in the Cosmos: Hydrogen to Gallium*, 1st edn. (Cambridge University Press, 2003)

[2] L.Y. Zhang, J. Su, J.J. He, M. Wiescher, R. deBoer, D. Kahl, Y.J. Chen, X.Y. Li, J.G. Wang, L. Zhang et al., Physical Review Letters **127**, 152702 (2021)

[3] J.J. He, I. Lombardo, D. Dell'Aquila, Y. Xu, L.Y. Zhang, W.P. Liu, Chinese Physics C **42**, 015001 (2018)

[4] M. Lugaro, C. Ugalde, A.I. Karakas, J. Görres, M. Wiescher, J.C. Lattanzio, R.C. Cannon, The Astrophysical Journal **615**, 934 (2004), publisher: IOP Publishing

[5] A. Jorissen, V.V. Smith, D.L. Lambert, Astronomy and Astrophysics **261**, 164 (1992)

[6] S. Cristallo, O. Straniero, R. Gallino, L. Piersanti, I. Domínguez, M.T. Lederer, The Astrophysical Journal **696**, 797 (2009), publisher: American Astronomical Society

[7] S. Lucatello, T. Masseron, J.A. Johnson, M. Pignatari, F. Herwig, The Astrophysical Journal **729**, 40 (2011)

[8] C. Abia, K. Cunha, S. Cristallo, P.d. Laverny, I. Domínguez, A. Recio-Blanco, V.V. Smith, O. Straniero, The Astrophysical Journal **737**, L8 (2011), publisher: American Astronomical Society

[9] K. Spyrou, C. Chronidou, S. Harissopulos, S. Kossionides, T. Paradellis, C. Rolfs, W.H. Schulte, L. Borucki, The European Physical Journal A pp. 79–85 (2000)

[10] L.Y. Zhang, S.W. Xu, J.J. He, S. Wang, H. Chen, J. Hu, S.B. Ma, N.T. Zhang, S.Q. Hou, W.P. Liu, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section B: Beam Interactions with Materials and Atoms **438**, 48 (2019)

[11] Q. Wu, L.T. Sun, B.Q. Cui, G. Lian, Y. Yang, H.Y. Ma, X.D. Tang, X.Z. Zhang, Z.M. Zhang, W.P. Liu, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment **830**, 214 (2016)

[12] L.Y. Zhang, Y.J. Chen, J.J. He, J. Su, X.Y. Li, H. Zhang, Y.J. Li, W.P. Liu, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section B: Beam Interactions with Materials and Atoms **496**, 9 (2021)

[13] J. Su, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment, **to be submitted** (2022)

[14] T. Rauscher, F.K. Thielemann, Atomic Data and Nuclear Data Tables **75**, 1 (2000)