Sensitivity Study of Nuclear Reactions Influencing Photospheric Radius Expansion X-Ray Bursts
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Abstract. Up to now, more than 62 of the 115 X-ray sources of low-mass-X-ray binaries have been identified as photospheric radius expansion (PRE) bursters [1]. Galloway and collaborators expect more PRE bursters in their near future analysis [2]. Although more than half of the discovered X-ray sources are PRE bursters, the bursting mechanism of PRE burster is still not adequately understood. This is because of the complicated hydrodynamics and variable accretion rates. An example is the accretion-powered millisecond pulsar SAX J1808.4−3658 [3, 4] that powered up the brightest Type-I X-ray burst (XRB) recorded by NICER in recent history [5]. The first 1D multi-zone model of SAX J1808.4−3658 was recently constructed [6,7]. The pioneering model offers a first concurrent and direct comparison with the observed light curves, fluences, and recurrence times. With the three observables, a comparison between theory and observations could be more sensitive than the previous studies of the clocked burster and post-processing models. We perform a sensitivity study on (α, p), (α, γ), (p, α), and (p, γ) reactions with a total up to ∼1,500 reactions. Our current result indicates that the observables are more sensitive to the competition between the reactions involving alpha-capture, e.g., the \textsuperscript{22}Mg(α, p) and \textsuperscript{22}Mg(p, γ) reactions competing at the \textsuperscript{22}Mg branch point [8].

Generally, due to less extensive rapid-proton capture (rp-) process but mainly powered by alpha-proton (αp-) process, the light curves of PRE bursts commence with rapid onsets (≤1 s), extend with wide plateau (∼10 s), and end with short burst tail (≤ 30 s) [9–11]. A close match of modeled and observed PRE burst permit us to probe the complicated burst mechanism of PRE bursters. Johnston et al. [6] recently constructed the first PRE burst model quantitatively describing the accretion-powered millisecond pulsar SAX J1808.4−3658, whereas the other simplified model built by Goodwin et al. [7] provides an intuitive grasp of the observables of SAX J1808.4−3658 burster. The host neutron star of this PRE burster spins up to millisecond periods by the accretion of stellar matter from a low-mass companion star in its X-ray binary system [3]. The essential properties of an epoch of this PRE burster includes the burst light curves, fluences (integral of flux over time), and recurrence times. This PRE burster offers

\*e-mail: lamyihua@impcas.ac.cn
**e-mail: alexander.heger@monash.edu

© The Authors, published by EDP Sciences. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).
more concurrent observables for us to compare with models. Therefore, we expect the comparison of these PRE-burster observables is more sensitive than merely the comparison of light curves and recurrence times, e.g., the comparison of light curves and recurrence times of the GS 1826–24 cycled burster. Recently, only the PRE models were used to quantitatively match with these three observables. Table 1 shows the comparison of available models, their characteristics, and XRB observables that had been studied with published results.

### Table 1. XRB models and the respective observables that have been studied.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Models</th>
<th>self-consistent hydrodynamics</th>
<th>modeled light curve</th>
<th>matched with observation</th>
<th>fluence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0D One-zone post-processing</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0D One-zone</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1D Multi-zone GS 1826–24</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[12, 13]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1D Multi-zone SAX J1808.4–3658</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[6, 7]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The models’ features that have not been used to quantitatively compare with the observable are indicated as dash lines.

Concurrently comparing light curves, fluences, and recurrence times permits us to scrutinize the constructed XRB models, e.g., the assumed hydrodynamics, to simulate and to study the extreme astrophysical environment. Moreover, the sensitivity study on these three observables helps us to identify important reactions, and then to constrain and to improve the pioneer PRE XRB model, e.g., the H/He/metallicity ratios and accretion rate. With a more constrained PRE XRB model, this opens new opportunities for us to study neutron-star compactness, mass, radius, and cooling processes of SAX J1808.4–3658 burster of which the host neutron star is extremely cold, exhibiting rapid and active cooling processes [14]. In additions, the hydrodynamic data generated from an 1D multi-zone SAX J1808.4–3658 XRB model could be beneficial for one-zone post-processing and one-zone models to obtain the nuclear energy generation (XRB flux) consistent with the input hydrodynamic snapshots.

![Figure 1. SAX J1808.4–3658 PRE bursts of Epoch Oct 2002. The observed bursts O1, O4, O5, and O6 (black lines), and the corresponding modeled bursts B1, B4, B5, and B6 (red lines) based on the restored Johnston et al. [6] model using Hu et al. 22Mg(α, p) reaction rate (yellow diamonds and purple stars in Fig. 2). Nevertheless, with implementing the most updated 22Mg(α, p) reaction rate experimentally deduced by Hu et al. [8] with the lowest uncertainty compared to all currently available 22Mg(α, p) rates, an extra burst is produced and the overall recurrence times of all PRE bursts are shifted (blue open squares in Fig. 2). This most updated 22Mg(α, p) rate is in fact almost one order of magnitude lower than Non-Smoker 22Mg(α, p) rate. The primary reason for producing an extra burst is due to the competition between the 22Mg(α, p) and 22Mg(p, γ) reactions at the 22Mg branch point within the accreting envelope maximum temperature range of PRE burst which lasts for around 10 s and is 1/3 of the duration of a PRE burst. The process of restoring the PRE model permits us to constrain the mass...](https://doi.org/10.1051/epjconf/202226011028)
fractions of H and He in the accreting envelope of the PRE model to be $X_H = 41.3 \pm 0.3\%$ and $X_{He} = 56.7 \pm 0.3\%$. We find that the comparison of fluences-recurrence times due to a varied selected reaction is more sensitive than merely comparing the light curves of XRB, e.g., the light curve of GS 1826−24 clocked burster. This finding motivates us to explore the sensitivity of $\sim 1,500$ reactions along the nuclear reaction flow path during an episode of PRE bursts. These $\sim 1,500$ reactions consist of $(\alpha, p)$, $(\alpha, \gamma)$, $(p, \alpha)$, and $(p, \gamma)$ reactions.

Our preliminary results indicate that these three observables are more sensitive to the competition between the reactions involving alpha-capture, e.g., the $^{22}\text{Mg}(\alpha, p)$ and $^{22}\text{Mg}(p, \gamma)$ as shown above. Contrarily to the influence of $^{22}\text{Mg}(\alpha, p)$ reaction, if the $^{34}\text{Ar}(\alpha, p)$ reaction is one order of magnitude lower than its Non-Smoker counterpart, the PRE model produces one PRE burst fewer than the model implementing Non-Smoker $^{34}\text{Ar}(\alpha, p)$ rate. We anticipate that a list of important reactions of PRE burst is different from what was found by Cyburt et al. [15] based on the GS 1826−24 model. A set of more precisely determined important reaction rates definitely allows us to further constrain the pioneering PRE models. Further results will be made available and published elsewhere [16].
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