

Energy evolution of cosmic-ray mass and intensity measured by the Pierre Auger Observatory

Vladimír Novotný¹ for the Pierre Auger Collaboration^{2,*}

¹Institute of Particle and Nuclear Physics, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Charles University, V Holešovičkách 2, 180 00 Prague 8, Czech Republic

²Observatorio Pierre Auger, Av. San Martín Norte 304, 5613 Malargüe, Argentina

Full author list: http://www.auger.org/archive/authors_2024_09.html

Abstract. The Pierre Auger Observatory has conducted measurements of the energy spectrum and mass composition of cosmic rays using different methods. Utilizing both surface and fluorescence detectors (SD and FD), the Observatory provides unprecedented precision in understanding these particles. While primarily designed to measure ultra-high energy cosmic rays, the FD's high-elevation telescopes and the dense arrays of SD stations enable observations down to 6 PeV and 60 PeV, respectively. To determine the depth of shower maximum, a critical parameter for identifying primary particle types, both direct longitudinal profile measurements from the FD and indirect signal analyses from the SD are employed. An energy evolution of the mass of primary particles, as well as of the spectral index of the flux intensity, are observed and characterized by features described in the presented work. The measurements benefit from the joint operation of the FD and SD, delivering a systematic uncertainty of 14% in energy determination and an accumulated exposure reaching 80 000 km² sr yr at the highest energies.

1 Introduction

The Pierre Auger Observatory [1] is the largest cosmic-ray observatory built to date, covering an area of ~3000 km². Using its fluorescence and surface detectors (FD and SD), it measures the intensity of incoming cosmic rays and their mass composition through the induced extensive air showers (EAS), as described in Sections 2 and 3, respectively. The SD comprises arrays of water-Cherenkov stations, one with the spacing of 1500 m, dedicated to ultra-high energies above 2 EeV, the 750 m array sensitive to showers above 100 PeV, and the 433 m array with full trigger efficiency above 60 PeV. The FD consists of 24 telescopes overlooking the 1500 m array of the SD, and 3 high-elevation telescopes that investigate lower energies down to 6 PeV.

2 Energy spectrum

The intensity of cosmic rays is measured separately using each of the SD arrays [2–4], with the use of the hybrid method, and solely by the FD in Cherenkov regime [5]. As described in

*e-mail: spokespersons@auger.org

Refs. [2, 5], the SD measurements are calibrated with FD-determined energies on a common subset of events. This method keeps the huge statistics collected by the SD which operates constantly, while the more precise FD data, limited to clear moonless nights, deliver an energy-scale uncertainty of 14 % [6].

Individual estimates of cosmic-ray intensity are shown in Fig. 1, together with the combined spectrum where the intensity is modeled as a sequence of power laws with parameters listed in Ref. [5].




Figure 1. The energy spectrum of cosmic rays derived from six data sets of the Pierre Auger Observatory (left) and the combined spectrum (right). Data come from Refs. [4, 5].

3 Mass composition

At the Pierre Auger Observatory, the mass composition of cosmic rays is determined from the depth of the EAS maximum, X_{\max} . This characteristic is accessible either directly or indirectly. In the direct method, the longitudinal profile of the EAS is measured using the FD [7] or a radio array [8]. One indirect method uses signal traces in water-Cherenkov stations of the SD processed by deep-learning algorithms [9]. The average and standard deviation of X_{\max} distribution obtained from both types of methods are shown in Fig. 2 as functions of energy. The X_{\max} scale reported by the SD measurement is calibrated with the FD data on a common subset, thus these are by construction consistent within systematic uncertainties.

Moments as well as full X_{\max} distributions can be interpreted in terms of logarithm of the mass number, $\ln A$. Particular models of hadronic interactions must be used for this purpose, such a study is performed in Ref. [11]. However, recent results show that contemporary interaction models are unable to consistently describe both SD and FD data of the Pierre Auger Observatory together [12], which leads to modified predictions of X_{\max} , shown in Fig. 3, and thus a changed $\ln A$ interpretation.

4 Conclusions

After 17 years of operation, the Pierre Auger Observatory has measured the energy spectrum of ultra-high energy cosmic rays as well as their mass composition. Both observables evolve with energy, suggesting complex characteristics of the sources of primary cosmic rays. The interactions of primaries with electromagnetic radiation during propagation also affect the spectrum and composition seen at Earth [13].

The features in the energy spectrum are named the *low-energy ankle*, the *2nd knee*, the *ankle*, the *instep* and a steep suppression above 47 EeV. The Peters' cycle [14] seems to be a




Figure 2. Energy evolution of the average (left) and standard deviation (right) of X_{\max} distribution. Data from horizontally-looking telescopes are marked as FD [7] while high-elevation data as HEAT 2017 [10]. The estimate from deep learning is given as SD [9], and AERA shows the radio dataset [8]. Reproduced from Ref. [11].




Figure 3. Average X_{\max} measured at the Pierre Auger Observatory accompanied by predictions of unmodified (without bands) and modified (with bands) models of hadronic interactions. The X_{\max} modifications are needed to describe the FD and SD data together. Bands correspond to systematic uncertainties. Taken from Ref. [12].

good framework to describe the mass composition which is dominated by protons around 1 EeV, by helium nuclei at about 10 EeV, and by the CNO group around 50 EeV and above [7]. However, this interpretation of X_{\max} data is valid only in the context of contemporary models of hadronic interactions. Because these models are unable to describe all available Auger data together, an even heavier composition could be expected when parameters of interaction models are adjusted.

Acknowledgements

This work was co-funded by the European Union and supported by the Czech Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports (Project No. FORTE - CZ.02.01.01/00/22_008/0004632).

References

- [1] A. Aab et al. (The Pierre Auger Collaboration), The Pierre Auger Cosmic Ray Observatory, *Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A* **798**, 172 (2015). [10.1016/j.nima.2015.06.058](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2015.06.058)
- [2] A. Aab et al. (The Pierre Auger Collaboration), Measurement of the cosmic-ray energy spectrum above 2.5×10^{18} eV using the Pierre Auger Observatory, *Phys. Rev. D* **102**, 062005 (2020). [10.1103/PhysRevD.102.062005](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.102.062005)
- [3] P. Abreu et al. (The Pierre Auger Collaboration), The energy spectrum of cosmic rays beyond the turn-down around 10^{17} eV as measured with the surface detector of the Pierre Auger Observatory, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **81**, 966 (2021). [10.1140/epjc/s10052-021-09700-w](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-021-09700-w)
- [4] G. Bricchetto Orquera et al. (The Pierre Auger Collaboration), The second knee in the cosmic ray spectrum observed with the surface detector of the Pierre Auger Observatory, *PoS ICRC2023*, 398 (2023). [10.22323/1.444.0398](https://doi.org/10.22323/1.444.0398)
- [5] V. Novotný et al. (The Pierre Auger Collaboration), Energy spectrum of cosmic rays measured using the Pierre Auger Observatory, *PoS ICRC2021*, 324 (2021). [10.22323/1.395.0324](https://doi.org/10.22323/1.395.0324)
- [6] B. Dawson et al. (The Pierre Auger Collaboration), The Energy Scale of the Pierre Auger Observatory, *PoS ICRC2019*, 231 (2019). [10.22323/1.358.0231](https://doi.org/10.22323/1.358.0231)
- [7] T. Fitoussi et al. (The Pierre Auger Collaboration), Depth of Maximum of Air-Shower Profiles above $10^{17.8}$ eV Measured with the Fluorescence Detector of the Pierre Auger Observatory and Mass Composition Implications, *PoS ICRC2023*, 319 (2023). [10.22323/1.444.0319](https://doi.org/10.22323/1.444.0319)
- [8] A. Abdul Halim et al. (The Pierre Auger Collaboration), Radio measurements of the depth of air-shower maximum at the Pierre Auger Observatory, *Phys. Rev. D* **109**, 022002 (2024). [10.1103/PhysRevD.109.022002](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.109.022002)
- [9] J. Glombitza et al. (The Pierre Auger Collaboration), Mass Composition from 3 EeV to 100 EeV using the Depth of the Maximum of Air-Shower Profiles Estimated with Deep Learning using Surface Detector Data of the Pierre Auger Observatory, *PoS ICRC2023*, 278 (2023). [10.22323/1.444.0278](https://doi.org/10.22323/1.444.0278)
- [10] J. Bellido et al. (The Pierre Auger Collaboration), Depth of maximum of air-shower profiles at the Pierre Auger Observatory: Measurements above $10^{17.2}$ eV and Composition Implications, *PoS ICRC2017*, 506 (2017). [10.22323/1.301.0506](https://doi.org/10.22323/1.301.0506)
- [11] E.W. Mayotte et al. (The Pierre Auger Collaboration), Measurement of the mass composition of ultra-high-energy cosmic rays at the Pierre Auger Observatory, *PoS ICRC2023*, 365 (2023). [10.22323/1.444.0365](https://doi.org/10.22323/1.444.0365)
- [12] A. Abdul Halim et al. (The Pierre Auger Collaboration), Testing hadronic-model predictions of depth of maximum of air-shower profiles and ground-particle signals using hybrid data of the Pierre Auger Observatory, *Phys. Rev. D* **109**, 102001 (2024). [10.1103/PhysRevD.109.102001](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.109.102001)
- [13] A. Abdul Halim et al. (The Pierre Auger Collaboration), Constraining models for the origin of ultra-high-energy cosmic rays with a novel combined analysis of arrival directions, spectrum, and composition data measured at the Pierre Auger Observatory, *JCAP* **01**, 022 (2024). [10.1088/1475-7516/2024/01/022](https://doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2024/01/022)
- [14] B. Peters, Primary cosmic radiation and extensive air showers, *Nuovo Cim.* **22**, 800 (1961). [10.1007/bf02783106](https://doi.org/10.1007/bf02783106)